



United States
of America

Congressional Record

PROCEEDINGS AND DEBATES OF THE 111th CONGRESS, SECOND SESSION

Vol. 156

WASHINGTON, MONDAY, NOVEMBER 15, 2010

No. 148

House of Representatives

The House met at 2 p.m. and was called to order by the Speaker pro tempore (Mr. JACKSON of Illinois).

DESIGNATION OF THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following communication from the Speaker:

WASHINGTON, DC,
November 15, 2010.

I hereby appoint the Honorable JESSE L. JACKSON, Jr. to act as Speaker pro tempore on this day.

NANCY PELOSI,
Speaker of the House of Representatives.

PRAYER

The Chaplain, the Reverend Daniel P. Coughlin, offered the following prayer:

Lord our God, You are the beginning and the end; the same yesterday, today and forever. Be with all Members in the final weeks of this 111th Congress. Knowing Your faithful presence to all creation, may they graciously enter into this end time with resignation and hopeful resolve.

As the brilliant colors of autumn fade, Lord, we are quite aware the trees themselves are planting seeds for future growth. Increased moisture and the blanket of fallen leaves will create an atmosphere that incubates fragile nature until another season bursts forth with the surprise of new life.

May debate in Congress lead to lasting truth; and compromise unite all the elements necessary for a peaceful transition into the next cycle of history.

Amidst the clamor of rhetoric, Your Word stands still in judgment. In the broken and the fallen, Your compassion abounds, because You are the source of life and love, both now and forever.

Amen.

THE JOURNAL

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair has examined the Journal of the last day's proceedings and announces to the House his approval thereof.

Pursuant to clause 1, rule I, the Journal stands approved.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Will the gentlewoman from the Virgin Islands (Mrs. CHRISTENSEN) come forward and lead the House in the Pledge of Allegiance.

Mrs. CHRISTENSEN led the Pledge of Allegiance as follows:

I pledge allegiance to the Flag of the United States of America, and to the Republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all.

COMMUNICATION FROM THE CLERK OF THE HOUSE

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following communication from the Clerk of the House of Representatives:

OFFICE OF THE CLERK,
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,
Washington, DC, September 30, 2010.

Hon. NANCY PELOSI,
The Speaker, House of Representatives,
Washington, DC.

DEAR MADAM SPEAKER: Pursuant to the permission granted in Clause 2(h) of Rule II of the Rules of the U.S. House of Representatives, the Clerk received the following message from the Secretary of the Senate on September 30, 2010 at 11:14 a.m.:

That the Senate passed with amendments H.R. 1061.

That the Senate passed with an amendment H.R. 1722.

That the Senate passed S. 685.

That the Senate passed S. 3794.

That the Senate agreed to S. Con. Res. 52.

That the Senate agreed to S. Con. Res. 72.

That the Senate agreed to S. Con. Res. 74.

That the Senate passed S. 2847.

With best wishes, I am

Sincerely,

LORRAINE C. MILLER.

COMMUNICATION FROM THE CLERK OF THE HOUSE

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following communication from the Clerk of the House of Representatives:

OFFICE OF THE CLERK,
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,
Washington, DC, September 30, 2010.

Hon. NANCY PELOSI,
The Speaker, House of Representatives,
Washington, DC.

DEAR MADAM SPEAKER: Pursuant to the permission granted in Clause 2(h) of Rule II of the Rules of the U.S. House of Representatives, the Clerk received the following message from the Secretary of the Senate on September 30, 2010 at 11:13 a.m.:

That the Senate passed without amendment H.R. 6200.

That the Senate passed without amendment H.R. 4543.

That the Senate passed without amendment H.R. 5341.

That the Senate passed without amendment H.R. 5390.

That the Senate passed without amendment H.R. 5450.

That the Senate passed without amendment H. Con. Res. 319.

With best wishes, I am

Sincerely,

LORRAINE C. MILLER.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 4 of rule I, the following enrolled bills were signed by the Speaker on Thursday, September 30, 2010:

H.R. 946, to enhance citizen access to Government information and services by establishing that Government documents issued to the public must be written clearly, and for other purposes;

H.R. 2701, to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2010 for intelligence and intelligence-related activities of the United States Government, the Community Management Account, and the Central Intelligence Agency Retirement and Disability System, and for other purposes;

□ This symbol represents the time of day during the House proceedings, e.g., □ 1407 is 2:07 p.m.

Matter set in this typeface indicates words inserted or appended, rather than spoken, by a Member of the House on the floor.



Printed on recycled paper.

H7399

H.R. 3219, to amend title 38, United States Code, and the Servicemembers Civil Relief Act to make certain improvements in the laws administered by the Secretary of Veterans Affairs, and for other purposes;

H.R. 3940, to clarify the availability of existing funds for political status education in the Territory of Guam, and for other purposes;

H.R. 4543, to designate the facility of the United States Postal Service located at 4285 Payne Avenue in San Jose, California, as the "Anthony J. Cortese Post Office Building";

H.R. 5341, to designate the facility of the United States Postal Service located at 100 Orndorf Drive in Brighton, Michigan, as the "Joyce Rogers Post Office Building";

H.R. 5390, to designate the facility of the United States Postal Service located at 13301 Smith Road in Cleveland, Ohio, as the "David John Donafee Post Office Building";

H.R. 5450, to designate the facility of the United States Postal Service located at 3894 Crenshaw Boulevard in Los Angeles, California, as the "Tom Bradley Post Office Building";

H.R. 6200, to amend part A of title XI of the Social Security Act to provide for a 1-year extension of the authorizations for the Work Incentives Planning and Assistance program and the Protection and Advocacy for Beneficiaries of Social Security program;

S. 3397, to amend the Controlled Substances Act to provide for take-back disposal of controlled substances in certain instances, and for other purposes;

S. 3729, to authorize the programs of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration for fiscal years 2011 through 2013, and for other purposes and the Speaker signed on Friday, October 1, 2010:

H.R. 3619, to authorize appropriations for the Coast Guard for fiscal year 2011, and for other purposes;

S. 1510, to transfer statutory entitlements to pay and hours of work authorized by laws codified in the District of Columbia Official Code for current members of the United States Secret Service Uniformed Division from such laws to the United States Code, and for other purposes;

S. 3196, to amend the Presidential Transition Act of 1963 to provide that certain transition services shall be available to eligible candidates before the general election;

S. 3751, to amend the Stem Cell Therapeutic and Research Act of 2005;

S. 3802, to designate a mountain and icefield in the State of Alaska as the "Mount Stevens" and "Ted Stevens Icefield", respectively.

COMMUNICATION FROM THE HONORABLE JEAN SCHMIDT, MEMBER OF CONGRESS

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following communication from the Honorable JEAN SCHMIDT, Member of Congress:

CONGRESS OF THE UNITED STATES,
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,
Washington, DC, October 6, 2010.

Hon. NANCY PELOSI
Speaker, House of Representatives,
Washington, DC.

DEAR MADAME SPEAKER: This is to notify you formally, pursuant to rule VIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, that I have been served with a subpoena for documents issued by the Court of Common Pleas, Clermont County, Ohio.

After consulting with counsel, I will make the determinations required by Rule VIII.

Sincerely,

JEAN SCHMIDT,
Member of Congress.

COMMUNICATION FROM PRESS SECRETARY, THE HONORABLE TOM MCCLINTOCK, MEMBER OF CONGRESS

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following communication from Bill George, Press Secretary, the Honorable TOM MCCLINTOCK, Member of Congress:

CONGRESS OF THE UNITED STATES,
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,
Washington, DC, October 13, 2010.

Hon. NANCY PELOSI
Speaker, House of Representatives,
Washington, DC.

DEAR MADAM SPEAKER: This is to formally notify you, pursuant to rule VIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, that I have received a criminal trial subpoena for witness testimony, issued by the State of California, Placer County, Superior Court.

After consulting with the Office of General Counsel, I have determined that compliance with the subpoena is consistent with the privileges and rights of the House.

Sincerely,

BILL GEORGE,
Press Secretary.

COMMUNICATION FROM DISTRICT DIRECTOR, THE HONORABLE TOM MCCLINTOCK, MEMBER OF CONGRESS

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following communication from Rocky Deal, District Director, the Honorable TOM MCCLINTOCK, Member of Congress:

CONGRESS OF THE UNITED STATES,
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,
Washington, DC, October 13, 2010.

Hon. NANCY PELOSI
Speaker, House of Representatives,
Washington, DC.

DEAR MADAM SPEAKER: This is to formally notify you, pursuant to rule VIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, that I have received a criminal trial subpoena for witness testimony, issued by the State of California, Placer County, Superior Court.

After consulting with the Office of General Counsel, I have determined that compliance with the subpoena is consistent with the privileges and rights of the House.

Sincerely,

ROCKY DEAL,
District Director.

COMMUNICATION FROM DISTRICT OFFICE MANAGER, THE HONORABLE TOM MCCLINTOCK, MEMBER OF CONGRESS

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following communication from Kathryn Jean Arts, District Office Manager, the Honorable TOM MCCLINTOCK, Member of Congress:

CONGRESS OF THE UNITED STATES,
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,
Washington, DC, October 13, 2010.

Hon. NANCY PELOSI
Speaker, House of Representatives,
Washington, DC.

DEAR MADAM SPEAKER: This is to formally notify you, pursuant to rule VIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, that I have received a criminal trial subpoena for witness testimony, issued by the State of California, Placer County, Superior Court.

After consulting with the Office of General Counsel, I have determined that compliance with the subpoena is consistent with the privileges and rights of the House.

Sincerely,

KATHRYN JEAN ARTS,
District Office Manager.

COMMUNICATION FROM CONSTITUENT SERVICES DIRECTOR, THE HONORABLE TOM MCCLINTOCK, MEMBER OF CONGRESS

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following communication from Danielle Constantini, Constituent Services Director, the Honorable TOM MCCLINTOCK, Member of Congress:

CONGRESS OF THE UNITED STATES,
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,
Washington, DC, October 15, 2010.

Hon. NANCY PELOSI
Speaker, House of Representatives,
Washington, DC.

DEAR MADAM SPEAKER: This is to formally notify you, pursuant to rule VIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, that I have received a criminal trial subpoena for witness testimony, issued by the State of California, Placer County, Superior Court.

After consulting with the Office of General Counsel, I have determined that compliance with the subpoena is consistent with the privileges and rights of the House.

Sincerely,

DANIELLE COSTANTINI,
Constituent Services Director.

COMMUNICATION FROM PRESS SECRETARY, THE HONORABLE TOM MCCLINTOCK, MEMBER OF CONGRESS

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following communication from Bill George, Press Secretary, the Honorable TOM MCCLINTOCK, Member of Congress:

CONGRESS OF THE UNITED STATES,
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,
Washington, DC, November 1, 2010.

Hon. NANCY PELOSI
Speaker, House of Representatives,
Washington, DC.

DEAR MADAM SPEAKER: This is to formally notify you, pursuant to rule VIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, that I have received a criminal trial subpoena for witness testimony, issued by the State of California, Placer County, Superior Court.

After consulting with the Office of General Counsel, I will make the determinations required by Rule VIII.

Sincerely,

BILL GEORGE,
Press Secretary.

COMMUNICATION FROM DISTRICT DIRECTOR, THE HONORABLE TOM MCCLINTOCK, MEMBER OF CONGRESS

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following communication from Rocky Deal, District Director, the Honorable Tom McClintock, Member of Congress:

CONGRESS OF THE UNITED STATES,
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,
Washington, DC, November 1, 2010.

Hon. NANCY PELOSI,
Speaker, House of Representatives,
Washington, DC.

DEAR MADAM SPEAKER: This is to formally notify you, pursuant to rule VIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, that I have received a criminal trial subpoena for witness testimony, issued by the State of California, Placer County, Superior Court.

After consulting with the Office of General Counsel, I will make the determinations required by Rule VIII.

Sincerely,

ROCKY DEAL,
District Director.

COMMUNICATION FROM COMMUNITY OUTREACH DIRECTOR, THE HONORABLE TOM MCCLINTOCK, MEMBER OF CONGRESS

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following communication from Norman Gonzales, Community Outreach Director, the Honorable Tom McClintock, Member of Congress:

CONGRESS OF THE UNITED STATES,
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,
Washington, DC, November 1, 2010.

Hon. NANCY PELOSI,
Speaker, House of Representatives,
Washington, DC.

DEAR MADAM SPEAKER: This is to formally notify you, pursuant to rule VIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, that I have received a criminal trial subpoena for witness testimony, issued by the State of California, Placer County, Superior Court.

After consulting with the Office of General Counsel, I will make the determinations required by Rule VIII.

Sincerely,

NORMAN GONZALES,
Community Outreach Director.

COMMUNICATION FROM FIELD REPRESENTATIVE, THE HONORABLE TOM MCCLINTOCK, MEMBER OF CONGRESS

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following communication from Charles Ross Branch, Field Representative, the Honorable Tom McClintock, Member of Congress:

CONGRESS OF THE UNITED STATES,
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,
Washington, DC, November 1, 2010.

Hon. NANCY PELOSI,
Speaker, House of Representatives,
Washington, DC.

DEAR MADAM SPEAKER: This is to formally notify you, pursuant to rule VIII of the Rules

of the House of Representatives, that I have received a criminal trial subpoena for witness testimony, issued by the State of California, Placer County, Superior Court.

After consulting with the Office of General Counsel, I will make the determinations required by Rule VIII.

Sincerely,

CHARLES ROSS BRANCH,
Field Representative.

□ 1410

COMMUNICATION FROM CONSTITUENT SERVICES DIRECTOR, THE HONORABLE TOM MCCLINTOCK, MEMBER OF CONGRESS

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following communication from Danielle Costantini, Constituent Services Director, the Honorable Tom McClintock, Member of Congress:

CONGRESS OF THE UNITED STATES,
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,
Washington, DC, November 1, 2010.

Hon. NANCY PELOSI,
Speaker, House of Representatives,
Washington, DC.

DEAR MADAM SPEAKER: This is to formally notify you, pursuant to rule VIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, that I have received a criminal trial subpoena for witness testimony, issued by the State of California, Placer County, Superior Court.

After consulting with the Office of General Counsel, I will make the determination required by Rule VIII.

Sincerely,

DANIELLE COSTANTINI,
Constituent Services Director.

COMMUNICATION FROM DISTRICT OFFICE MANAGER, THE HONORABLE TOM MCCLINTOCK, MEMBER OF CONGRESS

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following communication from Kathryn Jean Arts, District Office Manager, the Honorable Tom McClintock, Member of Congress:

CONGRESS OF THE UNITED STATES,
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,
Washington, DC, November 1, 2010.

Hon. NANCY PELOSI,
Speaker, House of Representatives,
Washington, DC.

DEAR MADAM SPEAKER: This is to formally notify you, pursuant to rule VIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, that I have received a criminal trial subpoena for witness testimony, issued by the State of California, Placer County, Superior Court.

After consulting with the Office of General Counsel, I will make the determinations required by Rule VIII.

Sincerely,

KATHRYN JEAN ARTS,
District Office Manager.

COMMUNICATION FROM CASEWORK ASSISTANT, THE HONORABLE TOM MCCLINTOCK, MEMBER OF CONGRESS

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following communication from Ameria Fowler, Casework Assistant, the Honorable Tom McClintock, Member of Congress:

CONGRESS OF THE UNITED STATES,
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,
Washington, DC, November 1, 2010.

Hon. NANCY PELOSI,
Speaker, House of Representatives,
Washington, DC.

DEAR MADAM SPEAKER: This is to formally notify you, pursuant to rule VIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, that I have received a criminal trial subpoena for witness testimony, issued by the State of California, Placer County, Superior Court.

After consulting with the Office of General Counsel, I will make the determinations required by Rule VIII.

Sincerely,

AMERIA FOWLER,
Casework Assistant.

COMMUNICATION FROM DISTRICT OFFICE CASEWORK MANAGER, THE HONORABLE JOHN BOCCIERI, MEMBER OF CONGRESS

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following communication from Cathie DeFazio, District Office Casework Manager, the Honorable John Bocciari, Member of Congress:

CONGRESSMAN JOHN BOCCIERI,
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,
Washington, DC, November 3, 2010.

Hon. NANCY PELOSI,
Speaker, House of Representatives,
Washington, DC.

DEAR MADAM SPEAKER: This is to notify you formally, pursuant to rule VIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, that I have been served with a subpoena for deposition testimony and documents issued by the Court of Common Pleas, Stark County, Ohio in connection with a domestic relations case now pending before that court.

After consultation with the Office of General Counsel, I will make the determinations required by House Rule VIII.

Sincerely,

CATHIE DEFazio,
District Office Casework Manager.

COMMUNICATION FROM THE HONORABLE TED POE, MEMBER OF CONGRESS

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following communication from the Honorable TED POE, Member of Congress:

CONGRESS OF THE UNITED STATES,
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,
Washington, DC, November 3, 2010.

Hon. NANCY PELOSI,
Speaker, House of Representatives,
Washington, DC.

DEAR MADAM SPEAKER: This is to formally notify you, pursuant to rule VIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, that I have received a subpoena for documents issued by the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas.

After consulting with the Office of General Counsel, I will make the determinations required by Rule VIII.

Sincerely,

TED POE,
Member of Congress, Texas.

MAKING IN ORDER CONSIDERATION OF VETO MESSAGE ON H.R. 3808

Mr. SCOTT of Virginia. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that when a

veto message on H.R. 3808 is laid before the House on this legislative day, then after the message is read and the objections of the President are spread at large upon the Journal, further consideration of the veto message and the bill shall be postponed until the legislative day of Wednesday, November 17, 2010; and that on that legislative day, the House shall proceed to the constitutional question of reconsideration and dispose of such question without intervening motion.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Virginia?

There was no objection.

————— HOUR OF MEETING ON TOMORROW

Mr. SCOTT of Virginia. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that when the House adjourns today, it adjourn to meet at 12:30 p.m. tomorrow for morning-hour debate.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Virginia?

There was no objection.

————— COMMUNICATION FROM THE CLERK OF THE HOUSE

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following communication from the Clerk of the House of Representatives:

OFFICE OF THE CLERK,
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,
Washington, DC, October 8, 2010.

Hon. NANCY PELOSI,
The Speaker, The Capitol, House of Representatives, Washington, DC.

DEAR MADAM SPEAKER: Pursuant to the permission granted in Clause 2(h) of rule II of the Rules of the U.S. House of Representatives, I have the honor to transmit H.R. 3808, the "Interstate Recognition of Notarization Act of 2010," and a Memorandum of Disapproval thereon received from the White House on October 8, 2010, at 12:55 p.m.

With best wishes, I am

Sincerely,

LORRAINE C. MILLER,
Clerk of the House.

————— INTERSTATE RECOGNITION OF NOTARIZATIONS ACT OF 2010— VETO MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES (H. DOC. NO. 111-152)

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following veto message from the President of the United States:

MEMORANDUM OF DISAPPROVAL

It is necessary to have further deliberations about the possible unintended impact of H.R. 3808, the "Interstate Recognition of Notarizations Act of 2010," on consumer protections, including those for mortgages, before the bill can be finalized. Accordingly, I am withholding my approval of this bill. (The Pocket Veto Case, 279 U.S. 655 (1929)).

The authors of this bill no doubt had the best intentions in mind when try-

ing to remove impediments to interstate commerce. My Administration will work with them and other leaders in Congress to explore the best ways to achieve this goal going forward.

To leave no doubt that the bill is being vetoed, in addition to withholding my signature, I am returning H.R. 3808 to the Clerk of the House of Representatives, along with this Memorandum of Disapproval.

BARACK OBAMA.

THE WHITE HOUSE, *October 8, 2010.*

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The objections of the President will be spread at large upon the Journal, and the veto message and the bill will be printed as a House document.

Pursuant to the order of the House of today, further consideration of the veto message and the bill are postponed until the legislative day of Wednesday, November 17, 2010, and that on that legislative day, the House shall proceed to the constitutional question of reconsideration and dispose of such question without intervening motion.

————— THE U.S. VIRGIN ISLANDS ARE FLOODED

(Mrs. CHRISTENSEN asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend her remarks.)

Mrs. CHRISTENSEN. Mr. Speaker, the national media ignored it, so I want the Nation to know of the devastating floods that occurred in the U.S. Virgin Islands in the last few weeks. First there were the dangerous mudslides, caused by Earl and Otto, that trapped residents and undermined the foundations of homes in St. John. But even worse were last week's rains, remnants of Tomas which caused unprecedented flooding that overcame bridges and storm drainage systems in a matter of hours, shutting down roads, washing away vehicles, stranding residents, flooding offices, schools and homes, and devastating the historic west end of St. Croix.

Police and rescue personnel risked their own lives assisting those trapped in homes and stranded on flooded roadways. The floods claimed the life of one St. Croix woman, Ms. Rita Stinson. Our heartfelt condolences are extended to her family and many friends. Thank you to our police, rescue, the Coast Guard, and all who assisted in the search for Rita, the rescue of our residents, and emergency cleanup.

Today damage assessments begin, but we need a disaster declaration because the cost of repairs and mitigation will be in the millions. Colleagues, as I ask you to join in prayers for Ms. Stinson and those who lost homes and property, I ask for your support to repair the damage and meet the needs of my community in the wake of this disaster.

HURRICANE HITS WASHINGTON, D.C.

(Mr. POE of Texas asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. POE of Texas. Mr. Speaker, my district is in hurricane alley. Since I've been elected to Congress, we've had five hurricanes: Katrina, Rita, Humberto, Gustav, and Ike. Hurricane season is over in southeast Texas, but hurricane season came late this year to D.C. And as I predicted in September, the fall forecast in Washington was for gale-force winds.

And sure enough, the hurricane hit D.C. on November 2. We warned them. We told them all about the hurricane warning signs. We even gave them an evacuation plan: Stop spending trillions of taxpayer dollars. Say "no" to nationalized health care. Stop the government takeover of everything that moves. Stop the taxes, and quit borrowing money from the Chinese. And most importantly, listen to the American people. But they didn't listen, and a hurricane named after the American people blew through town. And it was a category 5, with 63 electoral casualties. The elites and big government control gang have sown the wind, and now they are reaping the whirlwind.

And that's just the way it is.

————— WELCOME BACK

(Mr. CONYERS asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. CONYERS. I wanted to welcome Judge POE back after the hurricanes that he predicted. And there's nothing more gracious than starting off the session with an "I told you so" lecture. And so I am going to be remembering everything that the gentleman said and try to take it to heart as much as I can.

□ 1420

CONGRESSIONAL OVERSIGHT

(Mr. BURGESS asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. BURGESS. Mr. Speaker, as we've heard, a new Congress was elected 2 weeks ago. But now the American people are anxious because the old Congress is back in town to finish the unfinished business. And to be sure, there are some things that need to be taken care of; but what remains of this Congress must be careful not to overstep its bounds.

We saw what happened with the passage of a deeply, deeply unpopular health care law. That repeal vote needs to come quickly in the next Congress; and, quite honestly, it can't come quickly enough.

But as long as we're here, as long as we're here doing the people's business, how about if we exercised some of that famous congressional oversight and

asked some of the heads of the Federal agencies to come into the relevant committees and talk about what their plans are for implementation of these rules in the health care law?

What about these new Federal agencies that are being created even as we speak with new office space being rented and personnel being hired?

What about these waivers that, over the last 2½ weeks, have just snowballed out of the White House, and what about the health exchanges that even now our State legislatures are being asked to create?

Oversight was eliminated by the last Congress; it will not be overlooked by the next.

THE AMERICAN PEOPLE HAVE SPOKEN

(Mr. WILSON of South Carolina asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. WILSON of South Carolina. Mr. Speaker, after a year of having their requests for job creation policies fall on deaf ears, the American people have not only spoken; they've been finally heard.

When it came to job creation, the American people made it perfectly clear they are no longer willing to play the waiting game. For 15 straight months over 14 million citizens have been without jobs. Despite these dismal numbers, liberal leaders in Congress continue to push for more strangling regulations and more government spending.

I believe this is a new day, with a new way forward, including extending tax cuts and passing tax relief for all Americans, while providing the incentives to business to create jobs.

It is now time to get the economy rolling, get people back to work, and get rid of Washington's runaway spending.

In conclusion, God bless our troops, and we will never forget September 11th in the global war on terrorism.

Best wishes for a speedy recovery to Specialist Joseph Deloach and Specialist Jeremiah Ashley, wounded warriors and American heroes whom I visited today receiving world-class care at Walter Reed Army Medical Center.

TAX UNCERTAINTY COULD HURT CHARITABLE GIVING

(Mr. PAULSEN asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. PAULSEN. Mr. Speaker, Americans donate their time and resources to charitable organizations not only because of their generosity, but because they know that these actions will help enhance our communities, improve opportunities for our children, and create higher standards of living for our neighbors.

As our economy continues to stagnate, we need to do all that we can to

help these charities who provide services for communities all over this country.

That's why today I want to remind my colleagues that January is fast approaching, and that means the largest tax increases in American history are just around the corner. With the traditional season of giving well under way, tax uncertainty is causing individual and corporate charitable donors to think twice before opening their wallets; and that's, in turn, worrying many charities across this country in their greatest time of need.

Mr. Speaker, it is time that this Congress take action and address this issue on behalf of all Americans.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, the Chair will postpone further proceedings today on motions to suspend the rules on which a recorded vote or the yeas and nays are ordered, or on which the vote incurs objection under clause 6 of rule XX.

Record votes on postponed questions will be taken after 6 p.m. today.

PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 5566 AND THE SENATE AMENDMENT THERETO

Mr. CONYERS. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and agree to the resolution (H. Res. 1712) providing for consideration of the bill H.R. 5566 and the Senate amendment thereto.

The Clerk read the title of the resolution.

The text of the resolution is as follows:

H. RES. 1712

Resolved, That upon the adoption of this resolution, the House shall be considered to have taken from the Speaker's table the bill H.R. 5566 and amendment of the Senate thereto, and to have concurred in the amendment of the Senate to the text with an amendment as follows: in lieu of the matter proposed to be inserted by the Senate, insert the following:

"SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

"This Act may be cited as the 'Animal Crush Video Prohibition Act of 2010'.

"SEC. 2. FINDINGS.

"The Congress finds the following:

"(1) The United States has a long history of prohibiting the interstate sale, marketing, advertising, exchange, and distribution of obscene material and speech that is integral to criminal conduct.

"(2) The Federal Government and the States have a compelling interest in preventing intentional acts of extreme animal cruelty.

"(3) Each of the several States and the District of Columbia criminalize intentional acts of extreme animal cruelty, such as the intentional crushing, burning, drowning, suffocating, or impaling of animals for no socially redeeming purpose.

"(4) There are certain extreme acts of animal cruelty that appeal to a specific sexual fetish. These acts of extreme animal cruelty are videotaped, and the resulting video tapes

are commonly referred to as 'animal crush videos'.

"(5) The Supreme Court of the United States has long held that obscenity is an exception to speech protected under the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States.

"(6) In the judgment of Congress, many animal crush videos are obscene in the sense that the depictions, taken as a whole—

"(A) appeal to the prurient interest in sex;

"(B) are patently offensive; and

"(C) lack serious literary, artistic, political, or scientific value.

"(7) Serious criminal acts of extreme animal cruelty are integral to the creation, sale, distribution, advertising, marketing, and exchange of animal crush videos.

"(8) The creation, sale, distribution, advertising, marketing, and exchange of animal crush videos is intrinsically related and integral to creating an incentive for, directly causing, and perpetuating demand for the serious acts of extreme animal cruelty the videos depict. The primary reason for those criminal acts is the creation, sale, distribution, advertising, marketing, and exchange of the animal crush video image.

"(9) The serious acts of extreme animal cruelty necessary to make animal crush videos are committed in a clandestine manner that—

"(A) allows the perpetrators of such crimes to remain anonymous;

"(B) makes it extraordinarily difficult to establish the jurisdiction within which the underlying criminal acts of extreme animal cruelty occurred; and

"(C) often precludes proof that the criminal acts occurred within the statute of limitations.

"(10) Each of the difficulties described in paragraph (9) seriously frustrates and impedes the ability of State authorities to enforce the criminal statutes prohibiting such behavior.

"SEC. 3. ANIMAL CRUSH VIDEOS.

"(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 48 of title 18, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

"§ 48. Animal crush videos

"(a) DEFINITION.—In this section the term "animal crush video" means any photograph, motion-picture film, video or digital recording, or electronic image that—

"(1) depicts actual conduct in which 1 or more living non-human mammals, birds, reptiles, or amphibians is intentionally crushed, burned, drowned, suffocated, impaled, or otherwise subjected to serious bodily injury (as defined in section 1365 and including conduct that, if committed against a person and in the special maritime and territorial jurisdiction of the United States, would violate section 2241 or 2242); and

"(2) is obscene.

"(b) PROHIBITIONS.—

"(1) CREATION OF ANIMAL CRUSH VIDEOS.—It shall be unlawful for any person to knowingly create an animal crush video, if—

"(A) the person intends or has reason to know that the animal crush video will be distributed in, or using a means or facility of, interstate or foreign commerce; or

"(B) the animal crush video is distributed in, or using a means or facility of, interstate or foreign commerce.

"(2) DISTRIBUTION OF ANIMAL CRUSH VIDEOS.—It shall be unlawful for any person to knowingly sell, market, advertise, exchange, or distribute an animal crush video in, or using a means or facility of, interstate or foreign commerce.

"(c) EXTRATERRITORIAL APPLICATION.—Subsection (b) shall apply to the knowing sale, marketing, advertising, exchange, distribution, or creation of an animal crush video outside of the United States, if—

“(1) the person engaging in such conduct intends or has reason to know that the animal crush video will be transported into the United States or its territories or possessions; or

“(2) the animal crush video is transported into the United States or its territories or possessions.

“(d) PENALTY.—Any person who violates subsection (b) shall be fined under this title, imprisoned for not more than 7 years, or both.

“(e) EXCEPTIONS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—This section shall not apply with regard to any visual depiction of—

“(A) customary and normal veterinary or agricultural husbandry practices;

“(B) the slaughter of animals for food; or

“(C) hunting, trapping, or fishing.

“(2) GOOD-FAITH DISTRIBUTION.—This section shall not apply to the good-faith distribution of an animal crush video to—

“(A) a law enforcement agency; or

“(B) a third party for the sole purpose of analysis to determine if referral to a law enforcement agency is appropriate.

“(f) NO PREEMPTION.—Nothing in this section shall be construed to preempt the law of any State or local subdivision thereof to protect animals.”

“(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The item relating to section 48 in the table of sections for chapter 3 of title 18, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“48. Animal crush videos.”

“(c) SEVERABILITY.—If any provision of section 48 of title 18, United States Code (as amended by this section), or the application of the provision to any person or circumstance, is held to be unconstitutional, the provision and the application of the provision to other persons or circumstances shall not be affected thereby.

“SEC. 4. PAYGO COMPLIANCE.

“The budgetary effects of this Act, for purposes of complying with the Statutory Pay-As-You-Go Act of 2010, shall be determined by reference to the latest statement titled ‘Budgetary Effects of PAYGO Legislation’ for this Act, jointly submitted for printing in the Congressional Record by the Chairmen of the House and Senate Budget Committees, provided that such statement has been submitted prior to the vote on passage in the House acting first on this conference report or amendments between the Houses.”

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. CONYERS) and the gentleman from Texas (Mr. POE) each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Michigan.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. CONYERS. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members have 5 legislative days to revise and extend their remarks and include extraneous material on House Resolution 1712.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Michigan?

There was no objection.

Mr. CONYERS. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself as much time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker and Members, I am happy to start off this part of our session with this resolution that provides that the House concur in the Senate amendments to H.R. 5566, with an amendment.

With this resolution, we are adopting nearly all of the Senate’s amendments to our House-passed bill addressing the very important subject of animal crush videos.

I emphasize that the reason this resolution doesn’t adopt the Senate-passed bill in its entirety is due to concerns that criminalizing attempts and conspiracies in this area creates a serious constitutional concern about prior restraint of speech. And as chair of the Judiciary Committee, I hold myself open to the discussion or inquiries of any Member of the House about the constitutional aspect of the remark I just made.

We need to remember that the history of this bill is thus: the prior law that we passed was struck down as unconstitutional by the Supreme Court, and that’s why we are here doing it again. We think we’ve got it right this time.

As a strong supporter of this bill, and of the law, I have tried to make sure that we pass a constitutional bill. To stop crush videos, we need a law that stays on the books, and that’s what this resolution will do.

The underlying subject is one that we have discussed previously. In summary, there is a market for videotapes and still photographs depicting, typically, small animals being slowly crushed to death. Don’t ask me about the psychiatric make-up of people in our society that go in for this sort of thing. But it’s, unfortunately, a reality.

We adopted a bill in 1999 which became a law intended to ban the creation, sale, and possession of the depiction of such acts. They became known as crush videos. But in April, the Supreme Court, in *United States v. Stevens*, invalidated the statute. The Court held that the law was overbroad and violated the Constitution’s First Amendment.

The chairman of the Subcommittee on Crime, Chairman BOBBY SCOTT of Virginia, held a hearing in May and heard from some good witnesses who all agreed that a narrower legislative approach would be constitutional and survive court challenges.

The bill that we passed was narrower than the original law. The most important difference is that the bill would only prohibit the sale of crush videos that are obscene. This would likely address the key flaw in the original statute because obscenity is outside the protection of the First Amendment to the Constitution.

In September, the Senate took up H.R. 5566 and amended it. The Senate version also used the same approach that we did to such obscene depictions. The only difference is that the Senate bill prohibits the creation of crush videos, which I believe is acceptable because it includes an interstate commerce requirement.

However, that provision and the prohibitions on distributing crush videos, domestically or outside the United States, include prohibitions on at-

tempts and conspiracies which would, in effect, impose punishment equal to that resulting from a completed offense. This is particularly problematic with respect to the creation of expressive materials, no matter how little redeeming value they may have.

□ 1430

We should not enact a prohibition on activity or discussions about creating materials which, as yet not completed, may or may not turn out to be obscene. Justice Potter Stewart explained the problem with describing when something is obscene in *Jacobellis v. Ohio* by saying, “I know it when I see it.”

Until an image is completed, there is no way to know that it will be obscene. Once completed, then it can be prosecuted as such. Therefore, the version of the bill before the House today adopts every change that the Senate proposed, except the problematic part concerning attempts and conspiracies.

The bill we passed was a strong and constitutional measure addressing the problem of crush videos, and the bill now before us is no less effective with these changes, and so I urge support of the bill.

I particularly commend a member of the Judiciary Committee, ELTON GALLEGLY, and my colleague from Michigan, GARY PETERS, who both have worked in an effort to enact legislation addressing the problem.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. POE of Texas. I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, this resolution provides for consideration of legislation to prohibit the creation and sale of so-called “animal crush videos.” These videos depict small animals being slowly crushed to death by women using their bare feet or while wearing high heels.

The FBI, the U.S. Department of Education, and the U.S. Department of Justice consider animal cruelty to be one of the early warning signs of potential violence by youths.

All 50 States and the District of Columbia have laws banning acts of animal cruelty such as these portrayed in those videos. However, animal crush videos often do not reveal the identity of those involved, making it difficult for States to prosecute the perpetrators for the underlying animal cruelty. Federal legislation is necessary to address the interstate sale and distribution of these videos, which is often beyond the reach of many States. Federal penalties will serve as an additional deterrent to those who engage in this behavior.

H.R. 5566, the Animal Crush Video Prohibition Act of 2010, responds to the Supreme Court’s recent decision in *U.S. v. Stevens*, which invalidated the Federal animal cruelty statute codified at 18 U.S.C., Section 48.

Originally enacted in 1999, with broad bipartisan support, the statute attempted to address animal cruelty, including crush videos. The law was successful in virtually eliminating the

market for those videos. In light of the Supreme Court's decision, however, the animal crush video industry has re-emerged.

H.R. 5566, sponsored by Mr. GALLEGLY and Mr. PETERS, responds to the Stevens decision by specifically criminalizing only animal crush videos. The bill limits this new criminal offense to only obscene material. The Supreme Court has recognized Congress' authority to regulate obscene material as a category of unprotected speech under the First Amendment. The legislation also specifically omits customary and normal veterinary videos, and any depiction of slaughtering, hunting, trapping of animals for food. With this added safeguard for hunters, I support this legislation.

The House of Representatives passed this bipartisan legislation by a vote of 416-3 on July 31 of this year. In September, the Senate approved a revised bill to expand the prohibition to include the creation and noncommercial distribution of animal crush videos, including those videos created overseas but distributed in the United States.

Today we have the opportunity to send the bill to the President's desk and put an end to the revived animal crush industry. Unfortunately, this resolution does not do that; instead, it removes any culpability for those who attempt to make these videos and reduces penalty for coconspirators. By sending the bill back to the Senate today, we guarantee the animal crush legislation probably will not be completed by this Congress and that the animal crush market will continue to grow with little fear of prosecution. It is my hope this outstanding issue can be resolved quickly, however, so that our efforts to curb the proliferation of animal crush videos in this Congress will be successful.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. CONYERS. I yield to our distinguished colleague from Oregon, EARL BLUMENAUER, for such time as he may consume.

Mr. BLUMENAUER. Mr. Speaker, I appreciate the gentleman's courtesy in permitting me to speak on this bill, and I appreciate the expeditious work of the committee bringing this legislation forward.

Mr. CONYERS. Will the gentleman yield?

Mr. BLUMENAUER. I yield to the gentleman from Michigan.

Mr. CONYERS. I just wanted to congratulate Judge Poe on his comments about the bill. I agree with him. But let's keep hope alive that the other body will not fail us at this moment with so few days left.

Mr. BLUMENAUER. Reclaiming my time, I appreciate the opportunity to speak. I appreciate the quick turnaround. It is a little frustrating. I admire the persistence of our friend, Mr. GALLEGLY. It has been my pleasure to have worked with him for over a decade on this legislation. We thought we had it taken care of when it was woven

into the farm bill of 2002. Unfortunately, as has been referenced, the Supreme Court decision earlier this year created a problem and brought the problem right back.

It was a pleasure to join again with Mr. GALLEGLY, Mr. PETERS, and Mr. MORAN and others, as a broad bipartisan group introduced the legislation that same week in an attempt to narrow the scope of the ban and ensure that it met the First Amendment standards. I think we have reached the point where we have done that.

I am a little frustrated, as I know people who care deeply about this legislation, that it seems to go back and forth on something where there is broad bipartisan awareness, agreement, and, certainly with the general public, that people ought not to profit out of torturing animals. This is, as is referenced, illegal virtually everywhere. It is disturbing in terms of what happens. And it isn't just issues of animal cruelty. Research has shown that the people who are involved with this despicable trade, both in terms of the dissemination and use of it, are much more likely to engage in other criminal acts.

I am hopeful that at this point we might be able to bring this to a conclusion, to be able to pass this legislation to provide these protections, to get this out of the stream of commerce and be able to provide the protections that the public expects us to provide. We were given an opportunity from the Supreme Court to be able to narrowly craft a response. I think legislatively we have done that. I am hopeful that we can act expeditiously, passing this today and working with the Senate to make sure that it is enacted into law and we meet this objective.

Mr. POE of Texas. I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. CONYERS. I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. CONYERS) that the House suspend the rules and agree to the resolution, H. Res. 1712.

The question was taken; and (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the resolution was agreed to.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

RECOGNIZING GAIL ABARBANEL AND THE RAPE TREATMENT CENTER

Mr. CONYERS. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and agree to the resolution (H. Res. 716) recognizing Gail Abarbanel and the Rape Treatment Center, and for other purposes.

The Clerk read the title of the resolution.

The text of the resolution is as follows:

H. RES. 716

Whereas in a study conducted by the Department of Justice and the Centers for Dis-

ease Control and Prevention, researchers found that 1 in 6 women are victims of rape or attempted rape;

Whereas on average, a person is sexually assaulted in the United States every 2½ minutes;

Whereas Gail Abarbanel, the founder and director of the Rape Treatment Center at the Santa Monica-UCLA Medical Center, created the Fast Track Forensics Program, an innovative program that speeds up the processing of DNA evidence to assist local law enforcement agencies;

Whereas delays in processing rape kits hamper investigations, jeopardize public safety, and result in lost justice for the victims who report their rape to the police and consent to the 4- to 6-hour rape kit collection process;

Whereas the Rape Treatment Center is nationally recognized for its exemplary treatment, education, and prevention programs; and

Whereas the work of Gail Abarbanel and the Rape Treatment Center helps sexual assault victims become whole again by addressing the social, emotional, and physical pain resulting from the violence of sexual assault: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the House of Representatives—

(1) applauds Gail Abarbanel for founding the Rape Treatment Center and creating the Fast Track Forensics Program;

(2) commends the Rape Treatment Center for its work in providing the necessary services to victims of sexual assault;

(3) calls upon local law enforcement agencies and State legislatures to work towards eliminating the delays in processing rape kits by utilizing innovative programs such as the Fast Track Forensics Program; and

(4) urges the Congress to support programs that facilitate the timely processing of DNA evidence to assist local law enforcement agencies.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. CONYERS) and the gentleman from Texas (Mr. SMITH) each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Michigan.

□ 1440

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. CONYERS. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members have 5 legislative days to revise and extend their remarks and include extraneous material.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Michigan?

There was no objection.

Mr. CONYERS. I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, Gail Abarbanel is the director of the Santa Monica UCLA Medical Center's nationally known Rape Treatment Center. In 1974, the center became one of the first hospitals in the United States for establishing a protocol for treating victims of sexual assault. Today, under the leadership of Ms. Abarbanel, the Rape Treatment Center serves as a national model for its exemplary treatment, education, and prevention programs.

She is also responsible for innovative programs such as the Stuart House for sexually abused children and the Verna Harrah Clinic to provide state-of-the-

art medical care and forensic exams to rape victims. She was a driving force behind efforts to change the way rape and other sexual crimes are viewed in society and how victims are treated by law enforcement officials and medical personnel, and, most importantly, the judicial system itself.

I commend Representative PATRICK KENNEDY for introducing this resolution to recognize Ms. Abarbanel's groundbreaking work on behalf of sexual assault victims.

I urge Members to support the resolution.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. SMITH of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I am pleased to support House Resolution 716, which commends Gail Abarbanel for her dedication to advancing forensic sciences and reducing the DNA evidence backlog. Ms. Abarbanel is the founder of the Rape Treatment Center at the Santa Monica UCLA Medical Center, where she established the Fast Track Forensics Program.

I would like to thank a good friend and colleague, PATRICK KENNEDY, for his sponsorship of this bill, as well as for his longstanding dedication to people in need. His compassion for others will be remembered and missed after he leaves Congress later this year.

One of the most significant issues facing the criminal justice system today is the substantial backlog of unanalyzed DNA samples and biological evidence from crime scenes. This issue is particularly urgent in sexual assault and murder cases.

The Fast Track Forensics Program was developed by Gail Abarbanel to help crime laboratories speed up the processing of DNA evidence. This in turn gives local law enforcement agencies a headstart on bringing criminals to justice.

DNA evidence is important in cases where a suspect has been identified and proof is needed to link the suspect to a crime scene or victim. It is equally important in cases where there is no suspect. In a case without a suspect, DNA from the crime scene or the victim can be compared to offender profiles in DNA databases in an effort to identify and apprehend the perpetrator. DNA technology that improves the analysis process is increasingly vital to ensure accuracy and fairness in the criminal justice system.

In 2008, Congress reauthorized the Debbie Smith DNA Backlog Grant Program, which provides Federal grants to States to help fund initiatives such as the Fast Track Forensics Program. Programs designed to help alleviate DNA backlogs are imperative in ensuring that this forensic evidence is preserved, tested, and used in criminal cases to bring violent offenders to justice. Congress must continue its commitment to assisting backlog initiatives. This is especially true for rape and sexual assault cases.

In the United States, a person is sexually assaulted every 2½ minutes. According to the Rape, Abuse and Incest National Network, the Nation's largest anti-sexual assault organization, one of every six American women will be the victim of an attempted or actual rape in her lifetime. With the use of DNA, our State and local law enforcement agencies and officials can bring these attackers to justice.

The development of programs such as the Fast Track Forensics Program is important to our criminal justice system. I urge my colleagues to support this resolution.

I yield back the balance my time.

Mr. CONYERS. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

I want to congratulate the author of this resolution, Mr. PATRICK KENNEDY, and also his original cosponsors, Mrs. MCMORRIS RODGERS, Mr. WAXMAN, Mr. SCHIFF, Mr. SHERMAN, Ms. MATSUI, Mr. BERMAN, Mr. Wexler and Ms. ROSA DELAUNO. I think this is an excellent resolution. I thank the ranking member for his remarks.

Mr. KENNEDY. Mr. Speaker, I rise today in honor of H. Res. 716, a resolution recognizing the crucial services provided through the Rape Treatment Center, RTC, at Santa Monica-UCLA Medical Center and to honor Gail Abarbanel, the founder and director of the RTC.

The Rape Treatment Center, RTC, is nationally recognized for its exemplary treatment, education, and prevention programs, and has provided expert care for over 35,000 sexual assault victims. According to the latest statistics, one person is sexually assaulted in the United States every 2½ minutes. While an attack can occur in an instant, the social and emotional trauma can last a lifetime. The RTC, and the work of Gail Abarbanel, provide crucial insight to understanding that sexual assault victims suffer long after the assault has occurred. Her work and the work of the Center helps these individuals fully recover, addressing the social, emotional and physical pain resulting from such violence.

In many states DNA evidence processing suffers delays of up to 6 months to a year, hampering investigations and jeopardizing public safety. These untested rape kits represent lost justice for the victims who reported their rape to the police, and consented to the 4-to-6 hour rape kit collection process.

In response to the delays suffered by victims in her own state, Ms. Abarbanel created a pilot program called the Fast Track Forensics Program, FTF. Through the FTF Program, the RTC has partnered with California's state DNA laboratory for immediate processing and then possible identification within the state and Federal DNA offender databases. This has resulted in processing that takes approximately 4 days.

I encourage my colleagues to join me today in commending the important work of Gail Abarbanel and the Rape Treatment Center, along with emphasizing the need to eliminate the delays in testing rape kits through innovative programs such as the FTF Program.

Mr. CONYERS. I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by

the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. CONYERS) that the House suspend the rules and agree to the resolution, H. Res. 716.

The question was taken.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. In the opinion of the Chair, two-thirds being in the affirmative, the ayes have it.

Mr. CONYERS. Mr. Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The yeas and nays were ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX and the Chair's prior announcement, further proceedings on this motion will be postponed.

INTERNATIONAL ADOPTION SIMPLIFICATION ACT

Mr. CONYERS. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (S. 1376) to restore immunization and sibling age exemptions for children adopted by United States citizens under the Hague Convention on Intercountry Adoption to allow their admission to the United States.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The text of the bill is as follows:

S. 1376

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as "International Adoption Simplification Act".

SEC. 2. EXEMPTION FROM VACCINATION DOCUMENTATION REQUIREMENT.

Section 212(a)(1)(C)(ii) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (8 U.S.C. 1182(a)(1)(C)(ii)) is amended by striking "section 101(b)(1)(F)," and inserting "subparagraph (F) or (G) of section 101(b)(1);".

SEC. 3. SIBLING ADOPTIONS.

Section 101(b)(1)(G) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (8 U.S.C. 1101(b)(1)(G)) is amended to read as follows:

"(G)(i) a child, younger than 16 years of age at the time a petition is filed on the child's behalf to accord a classification as an immediate relative under section 201(b), who has been adopted in a foreign state that is a party to the Convention on Protection of Children and Co-operation in Respect of Intercountry Adoption, done at The Hague on May 29, 1993, or who is emigrating from such a foreign state to be adopted in the United States by a United States citizen and spouse jointly or by an unmarried United States citizen who is at least 25 years of age, Provided, That—

"(I) the Secretary of Homeland Security is satisfied that proper care will be furnished the child if admitted to the United States;

"(II) the child's natural parents (or parent, in the case of a child who has one sole or surviving parent because of the death or disappearance of, abandonment or desertion by, the other parent), or other persons or institutions that retain legal custody of the child, have freely given their written irrevocable consent to the termination of their legal relationship with the child, and to the child's emigration and adoption;

"(III) in the case of a child having two living natural parents, the natural parents are incapable of providing proper care for the child;

"(IV) the Secretary of Homeland Security is satisfied that the purpose of the adoption is to form a bona fide parent-child relationship, and the parent-child relationship of the

child and the natural parents has been terminated (and in carrying out both obligations under this subclause the Secretary of Homeland Security may consider whether there is a petition pending to confer immigrant status on one or both of such natural parents); and

“(V) in the case of a child who has not been adopted—

“(aa) the competent authority of the foreign state has approved the child’s emigration to the United States for the purpose of adoption by the prospective adoptive parent or parents; and

“(bb) the prospective adoptive parent or parents has or have complied with any pre-adoption requirements of the child’s proposed residence; and

“(ii) except that no natural parent or prior adoptive parent of any such child shall thereafter, by virtue of such parentage, be accorded any right, privilege, or status under this chapter; or

“(iii) subject to the same provisos as in clauses (i) and (ii), a child who—

“(I) is a natural sibling of a child described in clause (i), subparagraph (E)(i), or subparagraph (F)(i);

“(II) was adopted abroad, or is coming to the United States for adoption, by the adoptive parent (or prospective adoptive parent) or parents of the sibling described in clause (i), subparagraph (E)(i), or subparagraph (F)(i); and

“(III) is otherwise described in clause (i), except that the child is younger than 18 years of age at the time a petition is filed on his or her behalf for classification as an immediate relative under section 201(b).”.

SEC. 4. EFFECTIVE DATE.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in subsection (b), the amendments made by this Act shall take effect on the date of the enactment of this Act.

(b) EXCEPTION.—An alien who is described in section 101(b)(1)(G)(iii) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, as added by section 3, and attained 18 years of age on or after April 1, 2008, shall be deemed to meet the age requirement specified in subclause (III) of such section if a petition for classification of the alien as an immediate relative under section 201(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (8 U.S.C. 1151(b)) is filed not later than 2 years after the date of the enactment of this Act.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. CONYERS) and the gentleman from Texas (Mr. POE) each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Michigan.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. CONYERS. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members have 5 legislative days to revise and extend their remarks and add extraneous material.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Michigan?

There was no objection.

Mr. CONYERS. I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, this measure, the International Adoption Simplification Act, corrects two problems and inconsistencies with respect to adoptions of foreign children by United States citizen parents.

The bill would harmonize age requirements for children subject to inter-country adoptions, irrespective of

whether the child’s home country is a signatory to the Hague Convention on Intercountry Adoptions.

Currently, the law contains two age requirements related to the adoption of foreign children. The general rule is that an adoption must be finalized before the child turns 16 in order for the child to qualify for legal status in the United States. The law also provides an exception to this age requirement for the siblings of such an adopted child. This exception, meant to keep siblings together where possible, provides that the sibling of an adopted child may also get legal status in the United States as long as the sibling’s adoption takes place before his or her 18th birthday.

Now, strangely enough, this exception is available only if the sibling comes from a country that has not signed the Hague Convention. The exception is not available to siblings from signatory countries. Please do not ask me why this exists in the law. But Senate 1376 remedies this problem by expanding the sibling age exception to signatory countries. This will harmonize the law and allow adopted siblings to remain together, irrespective of whether the sending country is a signatory to the Hague Convention.

S. 1376 also harmonizes immunization requirements with respect to international adoptions. Currently the law requires adopted children to have certain vaccinations prior to arrival, but there is an exemption for children under 10 years of age if the adoptive parents certify that necessary vaccinations will be obtained within 30 days of entry. This exemption was enacted in 1997 by Congress to prevent parents from having to subject their children to numerous and sometimes unsafe immunizations in foreign nations, allowing them to safely immunize their children in the United States instead.

□ 1450

As with the age cut-off requirement, this exemption applies only to children adopted from countries that are not signatories to The Hague Convention. It doesn’t apply to children from signatory countries. And so, once again, the Senate bill fixes what could be called a nonsensical discrepancy by expanding the exemption to apply to all children, regardless of whether their own country is a signatory to The Hague Convention or not.

I want to thank LAMAR SMITH, our ranking member on Judiciary, and our subcommittee chair, ZOE LOFGREN of California, for their bipartisan support of this measure.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. POE of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I support this bill which makes corrections to the implementation legislation for The Hague Convention on Intercountry Adoptions. Under current law, U.S. citizens can generally adopt foreign children and have those

children considered immediate relatives for immigration purposes if the children are adopted while under the age of 16. However, adoptions are also allowed up to the age of 18 in instances in which the U.S. citizens are seeking to adopt an alien child after having already adopted a sibling of the child.

Unfortunately, the implementing legislation to The Hague Convention on Intercountry Adoptions did not include the latter provision. Therefore, the Immigration and Nationality Act’s provision allowing adoptions of siblings under the age of 18 does not apply to children adopted from countries that are signatories to The Hague Convention. This bill simply extends the provision to these sibling adoptions. The bill also contains one other provision to The Hague Convention. Under current law, prospective immigrants have to be vaccinated against certain diseases before they can come to the United States. There is an exemption for adopted children if the children are 10 years of age or younger and the adoptive parents certify the children will receive the necessary vaccinations within 30 days of coming to the United States. This exemption was enacted in 1997 to ensure that parents don’t have to subject children to often unsafe immunizations in foreign countries. However, the exemption does not apply to children adopted from countries that are signatories to The Hague Convention. The bill simply expands the exemption to cover children adopted from The Hague countries as well.

I urge my colleagues to support this legislation.

Ms. JACKSON LEE of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I rise today in strong support of S. 1376, “International Adoption Simplification Act.” The aim of this bill is to amend the Immigration and Nationality Act to simplify the process of international adoptions, especially in situations where time is of the essence to ensure the health, safety, and wellbeing of the child.

First, I would like to thank my colleague Senator AMY KLOBUCHAR and her fellow Senate co-sponsors for introducing this important legislation that openly embraces children. There are ample reasons American citizens opt to adopt children from abroad and now we have legislation that will help expedite the process and decrease the burdensome bureaucracy.

The International Adoption Simplification Act will allow children in need of immediate adoption to become exempt from required admissions vaccination documentation. Children who have been adopted in a foreign country that is a signatory to the Hague Convention, or who are emigrating from such a country for U.S. adoption will be subjected to simplified adoption procedures.

This bill comes at a point in history where simplification of international adoption is necessary to save lives. Haiti is experiencing record outbreaks of cholera and other infectious diseases resulting from the earthquake, incidents of genocide are taking place in Darfur, and terrorist activity is at its peak. The ability to remove innocent children from harms way, into the safe homes of loving parents allows America to further its notion that children are indeed the future.

From this bill we can expect to see a surge in American adoptions globally, and a decrease in global child homelessness and poverty. By implementing this bill we are able to create jobs for government workers processing individual cases, child care providers, school teachers and many others who provide direct services aimed at youth.

I stand today with Senator AMY KLOBUCHAR and other members of Congress re-affirming my support of S. 1376. By enacting this legislation, we are able to boost the morale of frustrated parents who may have spent years in the adoptive process and provide children with stable homes, all the while, creating jobs in communities at a time when they are needed the most.

Mr. Speaker, I ask my colleagues to join me in support of S. 1376.

Mr. POE of Texas. I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. CONYERS. Mr. Speaker, I have no further requests for time, and I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. CONYERS) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, S. 1376.

The question was taken; and (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the bill was passed.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

ADJUSTING TIME PERIODS FOR SERVICEMEMBERS ABROAD IN CASES REGARDING PERMANENT RESIDENT STATUS

Mr. CONYERS. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 6396) to amend the Immigration and Nationality Act to toll, during active-duty service abroad in the Armed Forces, the periods of time to file a petition and appear for an interview to remove the conditional basis for permanent resident status.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. CONYERS) and the gentleman from Texas (Mr. POE) each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Michigan.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. CONYERS. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members have 5 legislative days to revise and extend their remarks and include extraneous material on the bill under consideration.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Michigan?

There was no objection.

Mr. CONYERS. Mr. Speaker, I seek to withdraw the motion.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The motion with respect to H.R. 6396 is withdrawn.

MARINE SERGEANT MICHAEL H. FERSCHKE, JR. MEMORIAL ACT

Mr. CONYERS. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill

(H.R. 6397) to amend section 101(a)(35) of the Immigration and Nationality Act to provide for a marriage for which the parties are not physically in the presence of each other due to service abroad in the Armed Forces of the United States.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The text of the bill is as follows:

H.R. 6397

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "Marine Sergeant Michael H. Ferschke, Jr. Memorial Act".

SEC. 2. MILITARY MARRIAGES LACKING PHYSICAL PRESENCE.

Section 101(a)(35) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (8 U.S.C. 1101(a)(35)) is amended by inserting before the period at the end "(except for failure to consummate the marriage caused by physical separation due to the service abroad of one of the contracting parties in an active-duty status in the Armed Forces of the United States)".

SEC. 3. COMPLIANCE WITH PAYGO.

The budgetary effects of this Act, for the purpose of complying with the Statutory Pay-As-You-Go Act of 2010, shall be determined by reference to the latest statement titled "Budgetary Effects of PAYGO Legislation" for this Act, submitted for printing in the Congressional Record by the Chairman of the Committee on the Budget of the House of Representatives, provided that such statement has been submitted prior to the vote on passage.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. CONYERS) and the gentleman from Texas (Mr. POE) each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Michigan.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. CONYERS. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members have 5 legislative days to revise and extend their remarks and include extraneous material on the bill before the House.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Michigan?

There was no objection.

Mr. CONYERS. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker and Members, the Marine Sergeant Michael Ferschke, Jr. Memorial Act, introduced by Representative JOHN DUNCAN, is a simple but, I think, important measure that will help active duty members of our Armed Forces serving overseas—as well as their spouses. Under current immigration law, when a marriage takes place between two persons who cannot both be physically present during the ceremony, the marriage is deemed not valid until it is consummated. There are no exceptions to this provision, even in cases where it sometimes results in clear injustice. And so we learned about this legal requirement through the case of Sergeant Ferschke, a United States Marine stationed at Camp Schwab in Okinawa, Japan, who, in March of 2007, while on the base, met

a Japanese woman named Hotaru Nakama at a birthday party for a mutual friend.

□ 1500

They dated for over a year before Sergeant Ferschke was deployed to Iraq. Shortly before his departure, they learned that they were going to have a baby, and they spoke about getting married, moving back to the United States and raising a family together. Two months after Sergeant Ferschke arrived in Iraq, the couple was married through a ceremony conducted over the telephone; but 1 month later, Sergeant Ferschke tragically, in combat, gave the ultimate sacrifice.

The United States military recognizes this marriage, and Mrs. Ferschke has been assisted in raising their son by the payment of a death gratuity, but the marriage, itself, cannot be recognized under our immigration laws because it was never consummated after the marriage ceremony.

Now, this legislation doesn't entirely eliminate the consummation requirement. It simply eliminates the requirement for active duty members of our Armed Forces who are serving abroad by creating a narrow exception in cases where the failure to consummate the marriage is caused by a physical separation due to active duty military service abroad by one of the parties to the marriage. This is a reasonable provision that will provide some measure of support and comfort to members of our Armed Forces serving abroad.

So I commend our colleague JOHN DUNCAN for introducing this measure. It was championed by our Immigration Subcommittee chairwoman, ZOE LOFGREN, and our ranking member, LAMAR SMITH, and JIM MCGOVERN. I thank them for their strong bipartisan support of the measure.

I urge support of this measure, and I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. POE of Texas. I yield myself such time as I may consume.

I support H.R. 6397, and I want to commend Mr. DUNCAN from Tennessee for introducing this legislation.

Mr. Speaker, under the Immigration and Nationality Act, if a U.S. citizen dies while serving honorably in an active duty status in the United States Armed Forces as a result of injury or disease incurred in or aggravated by combat, the citizen's alien spouse can still seek permanent residence as an immediate relative of a U.S. citizen. However, the INA also provides that the term "spouse" does "not include a spouse by reason of any marriage ceremony where the contracting parties thereto are not physically present in the presence of each other unless the marriage shall have been consummated."

This provision recently came to the attention of Congress through the case of Hotaru Ferschke. Mrs. Ferschke is the widow of late Marine Corps Sergeant Michael Ferschke. Hotaru was born in Okinawa, Japan, and met Sergeant Ferschke there in 2007 when he was stationed at Camp Schwab.

The couple dated for more than a year before he deployed to Iraq on April 15, 2008. The couple learned that Hotaru was pregnant in March of 2008. They had planned to marry before she became pregnant. Mr. Ferschke and Hotaru were married by proxy via telephone on July 10, 2008, while Sergeant Ferschke was in Iraq. They were never able to see each other again after their marriage because Sergeant Ferschke was killed in the line of duty, in combat, on August 10, 2008.

According to the INA, the Ferschkes' marriage is not recognized for immigration purposes because it was never "consummated." However, the State Department and the Marine Corps both agree that the relationship was bona fide.

Today's legislation is designed to help Hotaru and other widows in this terrible situation. It provides an exception to the consummation requirement when the failure to consummate the marriage is attributable to physical separation due to the deployment overseas of one of the spouses in an active duty status in the United States Armed Forces.

I urge my colleagues to support this bill, and I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. CONYERS. Mr. Speaker, I am pleased to recognize, for as much time as he may consume, our colleague from Massachusetts, JIM MCGOVERN.

Mr. MCGOVERN. I thank the chairman of the Judiciary Committee for yielding me the time and for his leadership on this issue. I also want to thank Congresswoman ZOE LOFGREN for her leadership.

Mr. Speaker, I am rising, basically, to praise my colleague from Tennessee, Representative DUNCAN. A few months ago, he came to the Rules Committee with this case, and he tried to amend a bill to be able to find a way to help turn this terrible tragedy into something that was reasonable so that this family could stay together and could stay here in the United States. Unfortunately, the measure was not germane to the bill that was pending before the Rules Committee, but he stuck with this issue, and I want to thank him for his perseverance because of this terrible tragedy where Sergeant Ferschke was killed in Iraq in August of 2008.

It was Sergeant Ferschke's intention that his wife would reside in the United States and that his child would be raised in the United States. Without this legislation, that would not be able to be the case. Without legislative action, Mrs. Ferschke would have been forced to return to Japan at the end of her B-2 tourist visa, and she would not have been able to raise her son here in the United States despite his United States citizenship. I think any reasonable person looking at this case believes that Mrs. Ferschke and her son should be able to stay here in the United States.

Congressman DUNCAN has helped to close this terrible loophole, so I just

want to rise and say that, without his perseverance, without his taking this case to heart and without his intervention, quite frankly, this terrible tragedy would be even worse for this family. I thank my colleague for his work on this issue.

I also thank the gentleman from Michigan for yielding to me. You know, we get up here and we always fight with each other on different issues, but here is a case where I think we can all come together for a common purpose and praise Representative DUNCAN from Tennessee for his work on this.

Mr. Speaker, I rise today in support of H.R. 6397.

Mr. Speaker, this is an important piece of legislation that fixes an unintended loophole in our immigration law that has caused additional heartache for the family of a Marine killed in action from Maryville, Tennessee.

In July 2008, U.S. Marine Corps Sergeant Michael Ferschke married the love of his life in Japan, where he was stationed. The couple was overjoyed to be expecting their first child at the time of their marriage.

Tragically, Sergeant Ferschke was killed in Iraq during combat just one month later in August 2008.

Since the birth of her son in January 2009, Sergeant Ferschke's widow, Hota, has been living in a constant state of uncertainty. Because Michael's death precluded the couple from consummating their proxy marriage—despite Hota's pregnancy at the time of the ceremony—the U.S. Department of Homeland Security does not recognize that they were legally married.

Hota has tried every legal means—and done everything right—to try to immigrate to the United States and raise their son as they had planned to do near Sgt. Ferschke's family in Tennessee.

Without legislative action, Ms. Ferschke will be forced to return to Japan at the end of her B2 tourist visa. If this comes to pass she will not be able to raise her son in the United States, despite his U.S. citizenship.

Michael and Hota had clear intentions to raise their family in Tennessee. Michael's tragic death should not prevent Hota from receiving the legal benefits, including citizenship, that other legal widows of American servicemen are entitled too.

I want to thank my friend, Congressman JIMMY DUNCAN, for his leadership on this issue and for bringing this loophole to our attention.

Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to vote "yes" on H.R. 6397.

Mr. POE of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I yield such time as he may consume to the author of this bill, the gentleman from Tennessee (Mr. DUNCAN).

Mr. DUNCAN. First of all, I want to say thank you to my colleague from Massachusetts (Mr. MCGOVERN) for those very kind words and for his help on this. He has been very interested in this since my first appearance before the Rules Committee.

I also want to thank Chairman CONYERS. I want to thank Mr. POE, especially our colleague ZOE LOFGREN from California, who has helped on this as well, Senator ALEXANDER in the other body, and Senator WEBB, a former ma-

rine, who has taken a great interest in this legislation.

Mr. Speaker, before we are Members for very long, we see things that we think would be impossible, things that somehow work out. We also see the opposite of that as we see some things that appear to be easy or simple that somehow turn into nightmares or serious problems. While this is something that, I think, almost everyone on both sides of the aisle who when they've heard about it have been supportive and helpful, it has been a difficult thing to reverse as to its technicality and to get this all worked out.

This legislation has been adequately described by the three prior speakers, but I will say that I also rise in support of H.R. 6397. Certainly, this is a tragic situation in which a young marine was killed in action in Iraq 1 month after his marriage to this young woman from Japan. Then, of course, a little bit later, as has been described, the young woman, Mrs. Hota Ferschke, gave birth to Sergeant Ferschke's child.

□ 1510

Sergeant Ferschke was then killed in Iraq, as Mr. MCGOVERN mentioned, during combat on August 10, 2008. Because Sergeant Ferschke's death prevented the couple from consummating their proxy marriage, the Department of Homeland Security does not recognize that the Ferschkes were legally married.

Then Mrs. Ferschke gave birth to Michael Ferschke, III, in Okinawa on January 7, 2009. She immediately registered her son's birth with the State Department, securing his U.S. citizenship.

After Sergeant Ferschke's death, Hota Ferschke filed a petition to immigrate to the U.S. In response to Hota Ferschke's petition, the DHS issued a denial of her petition, citing sections 1703 and 101(a)(35) of the Immigration and Nationality Act.

Sergeant Ferschke and Hota had intended to raise baby Michael in the U.S. where Michael could grow up with Sergeant Ferschke's family. This legislation would amend current immigration law so that DHS would recognize a proxy marriage between two individuals caused because of an active duty servicemember's deployment abroad.

This legislation will straighten out a tragic and sad situation for a woman and her child, and I think everyone sees the merit in this, or at least I hope they do, and I urge all of my colleagues to support this legislation.

Mr. POE of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. CONYERS. Mr. Speaker, I just want to commend not only JOHN DUNCAN and JIM MCGOVERN, but I think that this legislation and the energies that went into its passage exemplify the fact that Members of this body work on small matters, as well as global and international concerns, but sometimes it goes unnoticed that in many of our offices, we're working on

matters that are not of historic moment in terms of the history of this country but they're of enormous importance to the constituents for whom we serve. This example of cooperation of the whole House in bringing this matter to our attention and remedy is, I think, salutary and commendable, and I thank all of those that worked with JOHN DUNCAN on this.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. CONYERS) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, H.R. 6397.

The question was taken; and (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the bill was passed.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

RECOGNIZING THE 30TH ANNIVERSARY OF THE BAYH-DOLE ACT

Mr. CONYERS. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and agree to the concurrent resolution (H. Con. Res. 328) expressing the sense of the Congress regarding the successful and substantial contributions of the amendments to the patent and trademark laws that were initially enacted in 1980 by Public Law 96-517 (commonly referred to as the "Bayh-Dole Act") on the occasion of the 30th anniversary of its enactment.

The Clerk read the title of the concurrent resolution.

The text of the concurrent resolution is as follows:

H. CON. RES. 328

Whereas Article I, Section 8, Clause 8, of the United States Constitution provides that Congress shall have Power "to promote the Progress of Science and useful Arts, by securing for limited Times to Authors and Inventors the exclusive Right to their respective Writings and Discoveries";

Whereas the United States Government is one of the largest funders of research in the world, but that research does not fully benefit American taxpayers unless it contributes new products and processes to the marketplace, thereby creating new companies and jobs, and solving societal problems;

Whereas the commercial development of discoveries and inventions falls upon private sector entrepreneurs, often requiring millions of dollars in development funding over many years, and even then commercial success is uncertain at best;

Whereas by enacting Public Law 96-517 (commonly referred to as the "Bayh-Dole Act") in 1980, Congress determined that a fundamental change was needed to implement a uniform Federal patent policy, restoring the intended incentives of Article I, Section 8, Clause 8 of the United States Constitution as it relates to federally funded research;

Whereas before the enactment of that Act, few inventions arising from the billions of taxpayer dollars granted each year to American research universities, nonprofit organizations, and Federal laboratories were being translated into commercial products of benefit to the public and the United States economy;

Whereas a critical factor in developing federally funded inventions into commercial

products is the continued involvement of the inventor in the process, and Government patent policies before the enactment of the Bayh-Dole Act chilled the intended incentives of the patent system in this regard;

Whereas the ability to obtain a reliable patent license for commercial development is needed to justify private sector investments, and Government patent policies before the enactment of the Bayh-Dole Act made negotiating and obtaining such licenses difficult, if not impossible;

Whereas patent ownership of potentially important inventions is crucial in the formation of many start-up companies, which form vital parts of an innovation economy, and ownership rights were discouraged by Government patent policies before the enactment of the Bayh-Dole Act;

Whereas in 1984 Congress built upon the firm foundation of the Bayh-Dole Act by permitting, in Public Law 98-620, nonprofit organizations and universities to grant licenses during the entire patent term and also to provide uniform treatment under the Bayh-Dole Act of inventions produced by nonprofit organizations that operate Government-owned laboratories;

Whereas the Bayh-Dole Act has provided incentives for universities, nonprofit organizations, and small businesses to effectively manage inventions arising from Federal support as valuable resources on behalf of United States taxpayers;

Whereas the success of the Bayh-Dole Act became apparent with the creation and dominance of the United States biotechnology and information technology industries, that remain largely dependent on university research;

Whereas the Bayh-Dole Act has been widely recognized as a best practice and is now being adopted by other countries (both developed and developing) around the world to better integrate their own research universities into their economies in order to be more competitive;

Whereas objective examples of how the Bayh-Dole Act has not only benefitted the United States but has also created a better world include the creation of over 150 new drugs, vaccines, or in vitro devices, including the hepatitis B vaccine, cisplatin, carboplatin and taxol anticancer therapeutics, laser eye surgery devices, the Palmaz balloon expandable stent, and many more; and

Whereas economic activity spurred on by the Bayh-Dole Act include the formation of more than 6,500 new companies from the inventions created under the Act, an estimated contribution of \$450,000,000,000 to United States gross industrial output, and the creation of 280,000 new high technology jobs between 1999 and 2007: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved by the House of Representatives (the Senate concurring), That—

(1) it is the sense of the Congress that—

(A) the Bayh-Dole Act (Public Law 96-517), as amended by Public Law 98-620, has made substantial contributions to the advancement of scientific and technological knowledge, fostered dramatic improvements in public health and safety, strengthened the higher education system, led to the development of new domestic industries and hundreds of thousands of new private sector jobs, and benefitted the economic and trade policies of the United States; and

(B) that Act remains critical to the future well being of the United States;

(2) the Congress reaffirms both its support for this landmark legislation and the critical role that innovation, entrepreneurship, and job creation hold for the future of the United States, and its commitment to the policies and objectives of that Act; and

(3) the Congress shows its gratitude for the bipartisan leadership shown by Senators Birch Bayh and Robert Dole and Representatives Peter Rodino, Hamilton Fish, Robert Kastenmeier, Tom RAILSBACK, Don Fuqua, and former Chairman and Ranking Minority members of the Senate Judiciary Committee, Edward Kennedy, and Strom Thurmond for securing the enactment of the Bayh-Dole Act, for strengthening it in 1984, and for providing unwavering support for the policies underlying that Act.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. CONYERS) and the gentleman from Texas (Mr. POE) each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Michigan.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. CONYERS. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members have 5 legislative days to revise and extend their remarks and include extraneous material.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Michigan?

There was no objection.

Mr. CONYERS. I yield myself as much time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, this resolution recognizes the 30th anniversary of the Bayh-Dole Act, a landmark piece of legislation that reshaped the landscape of technological innovation in the United States by clarifying intellectual property rights in government-funded inventions.

What that means is that, prior to this act, our country was stuck in a form of economic malaise, and innovation was, frankly, stifled. The stiff international competition we faced at the time wasn't just a matter of Europe and Japan getting back on their feet. It was also a matter of them, frankly, outpacing us in technological development.

We knew we had to better harness all our innovation capacity, particularly the work being done at our research universities. At the time, policies mandated Federal Government ownership of patent rights for any research done with Federal funding. Since most university research had some sort of Federal funding, the universities had no say, and no stake, in the patent rights of their own research.

Then there were the rules in licensing what patents existed which were considered cumbersome and discouraged use by the private sector. The situation literally led to technologies being left on the shelf to gather dust, and we were falling behind in this area.

This bill of 30 years ago also revolutionized the way patent rights in university inventions were to be dealt with. The Bayh-Dole Act allowed universities to own patents, license them out to the private sector, and split royalties earned with professors and students who worked on the invention.

With the barriers to obtaining patent licenses removed, private investors could easily partner with federally funded research institutions and begin

to develop groundbreaking innovations for commercial use.

This bill, this law, aligned the interests of universities and faculty and the private sector and thereby ushered in an unprecedented level of collaboration between these groups. It further channeled the imagination of our best and brightest to help make a better future for all of us.

So, in the last 30 years since Bayh-Dole, it has led to the creation of over 150 new pharmaceuticals and medical treatments, including a hepatitis B vaccine, cancer treatments, in vitro devices, the Palmaz balloon expandable stent, and many others.

Estimates tell us that Bayh-Dole has added at least \$450 billion to the United States' gross industrial output and that between 1999 and 2007 it created probably more than 280,000 new high-tech jobs.

The Bayh-Dole Act has been recognized around the world as a best practice and has served as a model for laws adopted by other Nations hoping to replicate the success that we had in our own country in building partnerships between federally funded researchers and private investors.

The Economist magazine called the Bayh-Dole Act "perhaps the most inspired piece of legislation to be enacted in America over the past half-century."

□ 1520

For those reasons, I urge that we celebrate the 30th anniversary passage of this very important piece of legislation.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. POE of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself as much time as I may consume.

The purpose of H. Con. Res. 328 is to express the sense of Congress regarding the successful and substantial contributions of the Bayh-Dole Act on the occasion of the 30th anniversary of its enactment. The Act establishes the rules of the road governing patent rights when the Federal Government and private entities participate in joint research that produces patentable inventions. Article I, section 8, clause 8 of the United States Constitution provides Congress with the authority "to promote the Progress of Science and useful Arts, by securing for limited Times to Authors and Inventors the exclusive Right to their respective Writings and Discoveries."

The drafters of the Constitution, however, couldn't have predicted that the Federal Government would one day become a catalyst for the development of advanced technologies. Prior to Bayh-Dole, the Federal Government permitted the private sector to commercialize less than 5 percent of the government's patent portfolio. As former Senator Robert Dole stated in 2005, the government's track record on promoting university-born technologies during the 1960s and 1970s was "dismal." The failure to capitalize on

this important research delayed innovations that could have improved the quality of life for millions of Americans. What was the government doing wrong before 1980? Simply put, the government was focused on something else. At the time, at least 26 distinct Federal agency policies controlled how the federally funded research and development could be used.

Bayh-Dole fundamentally changed the government's patent policy by replacing helter-skelter licensing practices with a single uniform policy. This shift created the incentives that private industry, including small businesses, nonprofits, and universities, needed to risk their capital and develop patented inventions. Bayh-Dole has created a culture of cooperation and collaboration among government, university, and private-sector researchers. The act contributed to the commercial development of new industries such as biotechnology and nanotechnology.

In 2003, the President's Council of Advisers on Science and Technology affirmed the importance of Bayh-Dole by reporting that it dramatically improved the Nation's ability to move ideas from research and development to the marketplace and into commerce. This same organization determined that the system for transferring technology from nonprofit institutions, which includes universities, hospitals and government laboratories, to the private sector has worked very well.

H. Con. Res. 328 reaffirms Congress' commitment to the policies and objectives of Bayh-Dole. This Act has sparked 30 years of enhanced research and development within the United States, leading to dramatic improvements in public health and safety, a strengthened higher education system in the United States, and the development of new domestic industries that have created tens of thousands of highly skilled jobs for America's citizens. Mr. Speaker, Bayh-Dole illustrates how the government and private industry can work together for the good of the American people. I salute the authors of Bayh-Dole and reaffirm my commitment to this Act.

I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. CONYERS. I have no further requests for time, and I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. CONYERS) that the House suspend the rules and agree to the concurrent resolution, H. Con. Res. 328.

The question was taken.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. In the opinion of the Chair, two-thirds being in the affirmative, the yeas have it.

Mr. CONYERS. Mr. Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The yeas and nays were ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX and the Chair's prior announcement, further proceedings on this motion will be postponed.

COPYRIGHT CLEANUP, CLARIFICATION, AND CORRECTIONS ACT OF 2010

Mr. CONYERS. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the Senate bill (S. 3689) to clarify, improve, and correct the laws relating to copyrights, as amended.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The text of the amendments is as follows:

Amendments:

Strike out all after the enacting clause and insert:

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "Copyright Cleanup, Clarification, and Corrections Act of 2010".

SEC. 2. REFERENCE.

Except as otherwise specifically provided, whenever in this Act a section or other provision is amended or repealed, such amendment or repeal shall be considered to be made to that section or other provision of title 17, United States Code.

SEC. 3. COPYRIGHT OFFICE PROCEDURES.

(a) DIRECTORY OF AGENTS OF SERVICE PROVIDERS.—Section 512(c)(2) is amended, in the matter following subparagraph (B), by striking "in both electronic and hard copy formats".

(b) RECORDATION OF DOCUMENTS.—Section 205(a) is amended by adding at the end the following: "A sworn or official certification may be submitted to the Copyright Office electronically, pursuant to regulations established by the Register of Copyrights."

SEC. 4. REPEAL OF EXPIRED PROVISIONS.

(a) REPEAL.—Section 601, and the item relating to such section in the table of sections for chapter 6, are repealed.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

(1) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—(A) The heading for chapter 6 is amended to read as follows:

"CHAPTER 6—IMPORTATION AND EXPORTATION".

(B) The item relating to chapter 6 in the table of chapters is amended to read as follows:

"6. Importation and Exportation 601".

(2) APPLICATION FOR COPYRIGHT REGISTRATION.—Section 409 is amended—

(A) in paragraph (9), by adding "and" after the semicolon;

(B) by striking paragraph (10); and

(C) by redesignating paragraph (11) as paragraph (10).

(c) INFRINGING IMPORTATION OR EXPORTATION.—The second sentence of section 602(b) is amended by striking "unless the provisions of section 601 are applicable".

SEC. 5. CLARIFICATIONS.

(a) CERTAIN DISTRIBUTIONS OF PHONORECORDS.—Section 303(b) is amended by striking "the musical work" and inserting "any musical work, dramatic work, or literary work".

(b) PROCEEDINGS OF COPYRIGHT ROYALTY JUDGES.—Section 803(b)(6)(A) is amended by striking the second sentence and inserting the following: "All regulations issued by the Copyright Royalty Judges are subject to the approval of the Librarian of Congress and are subject to judicial review pursuant to chapter 7 of title 5, except as set forth in subsection (d)."

(c) LICENSES FOR CERTAIN NONEXEMPT TRANSMISSIONS.—Section 114(f)(2)(C) is amended by striking "preexisting subscription digital audio transmission services or preexisting satellite digital radio audio services" and inserting "eligible nonsubscription services and new subscription services".

SEC. 6. TECHNICAL CORRECTIONS.

(a) **DEFINITIONS.**—Section 101 is amended—
(1) by moving the definition of “Copyright Royalty Judges” to follow the definition of “Copyright owner”;

(2) by moving the definition of “motion picture exhibition facility” to follow the definition of “Literary works”; and

(3) by moving the definition of “food service or drinking establishment” to follow the definition of “fixed”;

(b) **LICENSES FOR WEBCASTING.**—Section 114(f)(2)(B) is amended in the fourth sentence, in the matter preceding clause (i), by striking “Judges shall base its decision” and inserting “Judges shall base their decision”.

(c) **SATELLITE CARRIERS.**—Section 119(g)(4)(B)(vi) is amended by striking “the examinations” and inserting “an examination”.

(d) **REMEDIES FOR INFRINGEMENT.**—Section 503(a)(1)(B) is amended by striking “copies of phonorecords” and inserting “copies or phonorecords”.

(e) **RETENTION OF COPIES IN COPYRIGHT OFFICE.**—Section 704(e) is amended, in the second sentence, by striking “section 708(a)(10)” and inserting “section 708(a)”.

(f) **CORRECTION OF INTERNAL REFERENCES.**—(1) Section 114(b) is amended by striking “118(g)” and inserting “118(f)”.

(2) Section 504(c)(2) is amended by striking “subsection (g) of section 118” and inserting “section 118(f)”.

(3) Sections 1203(c)(5)(B)(i) and 1204(b) are each amended by striking “118(g)” and inserting “118(f)”.

(g) **PRO-IP ACT.**—Section 209(a)(3)(A) of Public Law 110–403 is amended by striking “by striking ‘and 509’” and inserting “by striking ‘and section 509’”.

(h) **TRADEMARK TECHNICAL AMENDMENTS ACT.**—Section 4(a)(1) of Public Law 111–146 is amended by striking “by corporations attempting” and inserting “the purpose of which is”.

(i) **TRAFFICKING.**—Section 2318(e)(6) of title 18, United States Code, is amended by striking “under section” and inserting “under this subsection”.

Amend the title so as to read: “An Act to clarify, improve, and correct the laws relating to copyrights, and for other purposes.”.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. CONYERS) and the gentleman from Texas (Mr. POE) each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Michigan.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. CONYERS. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members have 5 legislative days to revise and extend their remarks and include extraneous material to the measure under discussion.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Michigan?

There was no objection.

Mr. CONYERS. I yield myself as much time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, this measure, entitled the Copyright Cleanup, Clarification, and Corrections Act, is a common-sense, proactive response to unintended errors and confusion in copyright law. This bill updates and improves the way the Copyright Office conducts its business by making some changes, mainly streamlining the copyright registry process by authorizing the Copyright

Office to accept electronic signatures when users file documents. It also eliminates the requirement that the Copyright Office keep a hard copy of a directory they already make available to the public online. This hard copy has taken over several shelves in their office but is seldom consulted by the public.

The measure before us also clarifies some ambiguities in the copyright code. For example, in 1997, Congress amended the copyright code to clarify that copyright owners do not forfeit their rights in a work if they distributed it prior to 1978 without a copyright notice. However, while Congress made this fix for musical works distributed by phonograph, it neglected to specifically identify dramatic and literary works that were also distributed by phonograph. We make that correction in this bill before us. Finally, it corrects in this measure a number of technical errors, just dotting the I's and crossing the T's. I support the legislation. I commend the committee that worked on it.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. POE of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

The copyright bill before us today is an important housekeeping measure that contains largely technical and clarifying amendments to title 17 of the United States Code. For the few changes that are more substantive in nature, they are noncontroversial and are recognized as improvements to a code that is all too often perceived as complex.

S. 3689, the Copyright Cleanup, Clarification, and Corrections Act of 2010, was originally introduced and passed by the other body on the 2nd day of August. Since that time, the House Committee on the Judiciary has worked in a bipartisan manner to consider the measure and to incorporate modest improvements to the bill.

While I expect the majority to detail most of the bill's provisions, I do want to note some significant provisions, including an important change from the measure that passed the other body in August. That change is in the deletion of language contained in section 4(a) that would amend the Copyright Act to permit the owner of an exclusive right to sublicense that right or further transfer it if the original copyright owner had not expressly prohibited these actions in a prior written agreement.

This provision raised a number of concerns among copyright owners who feared that those who had relied on a prior judicial decision in the case of *Gardner v. Nike* might be disadvantaged by such a change. In recognition of these serious concerns, both the chairman and ranking member agreed this issue ought not be addressed in this measure.

□ 1530

Another substantive improvement that is worth noting is contained in

section 5(b) of the bill, as amended. That provision makes clear that regulations issued by the copyright royalty judges are to be approved by the Librarian of Congress and subject to review of Federal courts.

This bill also contains one amendment to trademark as opposed to copyright law. That amendment, which is contained in section 6(h), amends a study requirement that was included in Public Law 111–146, the Trademark Technical Amendments Act, earlier this year.

In closing, the purpose of S. 3689, the Copyright Cleanup, Clarification, and Corrections Act of 2010, is to make modest but needed changes to the Copyright Act. I urge my colleagues to suspend the rules and pass the bill with the amendments contained herein.

I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. CONYERS. I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. CONYERS) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, S. 3689, as amended.

The question was taken.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. In the opinion of the Chair, two-thirds being in the affirmative, the ayes have it.

Mr. CONYERS. Mr. Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The yeas and nays were ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX and the Chair's prior announcement, further proceedings on this motion will be postponed.

RECOGNIZING THE 50TH ANNIVERSARY OF SCHOOL DESEGREGATION BY RUBY BRIDGES

Mr. CONYERS. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and agree to the resolution (H. Res. 1713) recognizing the 50th anniversary of Ruby Bridges desegregating a previously all-White public elementary school.

The Clerk read the title of the resolution.

The text of the resolution is as follows:

H. RES. 1713

Whereas, on May 17, 1954, the United States Supreme Court announced in *Brown v. Board of Education* (347 U.S. 483) that, “in the field of education, the doctrine of ‘separate but equal’ has no place”;

Whereas the *Brown* decision recognized as a matter of law that the segregation of public schools deprived students of the equal protection of the laws under the Fourteenth Amendment to the Constitution of the United States;

Whereas in 1960, six years after the landmark *Brown v. Board of Education* decision, the promise of access and equality within the realm of education remained unfilled in New Orleans, Louisiana, and throughout much of the Nation;

Whereas in 1960, the National Association for the Advancement of Colored People (NAACP) contacted Ruby Bridges' family to solicit her participation in the integration of New Orleans public schools;

Whereas six years after the Brown decision, on November 14, 1960, Ruby Bridges, at the age of six, was the first African-American child to integrate the previously all-White William Frantz Elementary School;

Whereas Ruby Bridges courageously took the first step into a desegregated future made possible by the Supreme Court's historic ruling in the Brown decision;

Whereas Ruby Bridges was the only student in her class for an entire year, taught by the only remaining teacher, Mrs. Barbara Henry, after the other teachers and students withdrew from the school in a gesture of disapproval of desegregation;

Whereas Ruby Bridges was a pioneer in the movement for an integrated public education system that afforded equal educational opportunities to all, regardless of race;

Whereas in the face of verbal abuse and unveiled bigotry, Ruby Bridges exhibited the courage and equanimity of a person many times her age;

Whereas Norman Rockwell's *The Problem We All Live With* offers a depiction of Ruby Bridges' uncanny resolve and singularity of purpose in the face of adversity as she attended her first day of school;

Whereas Ruby Bridges' story is symbolic of the victorious dismantling of school segregation, as well as the full and equal participation in United States society to which all citizens are entitled;

Whereas the significance of Ruby Bridges' actions have been acknowledged with numerous awards and recognitions, including the Presidential Citizens Medal awarded by President William Jefferson Clinton in 2001; and

Whereas Ruby Bridges was among the first in a line of civil rights pioneers that paved the way for the eventual desegregation of all public schools in the United States: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the House of Representatives—

(1) recognizes the 50th anniversary of Ruby Bridges desegregating a previously all-White public elementary school;

(2) encourages people in the United States to recognize the historical importance of the desegregation of elementary schools and Ruby Bridges, who not only secured integration for William Frantz Elementary School, but hundreds of thousands of schools across the Nation; and

(3) commits itself, in the wake of recent challenges, to continuing the legacy of Brown v. Board of Education by protecting and advancing equal educational opportunity for all.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. CONYERS) and the gentleman from Texas (Mr. POE) each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Michigan.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. CONYERS. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members have 5 legislative days to revise and extend their remarks and include extraneous material on the resolution now under consideration.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Michigan?

There was no objection.

Mr. CONYERS. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself as much time as I may consume.

This resolution is to recognize and honor the 50th anniversary of Ruby

Bridges, who helped desegregate a previously all-white public elementary school. This is the 50th anniversary, yesterday, actually, of the integration of the William Frantz public school located in New Orleans, Louisiana.

I had the pleasure of meeting Ruby Bridges once, and she's a very impressive lady. On November 14, 1960, she became the first African American student to attend the school, and one of the first African American students to integrate an elementary school in the South.

My commendation goes to our colleague, the great civil rights leader, JOHN LEWIS of Georgia, for offering this resolution that commemorates this significant occasion.

In recognizing this civil rights and education milestone, I want to point out that the success of the civil rights movement itself was due in large part to the resolve of young people, men and women of all races and backgrounds, who were courageous enough to take a stand against racial injustice in America.

The famous artist, Norman Rockwell, caught this in a famous painting of this little 6-year-old girl escorted into the school by United States marshals, a girl only seeking a decent and equitable education. Little did she probably know that she would be making history. But she wanted to go to school. She wanted to learn. And she had no idea that there were people and forces that would stand in her way and do everything in their power to make sure that her simple personal objective would not be accomplished.

And so the incredible thing that is the reaction against this attempt of a first grader was so complete that she was the only member in her class that semester. And by the time she got to the sixth grade, this elementary school was finally integrated.

Now, this realized the promise of the 1954 case that we're all familiar with, *Brown v. The Board of Education*, and the separate but equal realm of education. And it's worth observing, and to have our history brought back to us by this great colleague of Dr. Martin Luther King, JOHN LEWIS, whose heroic courage as a young man himself is yet another chapter in this remarkable history of America turning around a long history of segregated practices in America.

The success of the Montgomery bus boycott, led by my dear friend, Rosa Parks, and the late Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. was the foundation upon which Ruby Bridges could begin school at William Frantz Elementary. And her actions on November 14, 1960, served as the foundation for even further achievements yet to come.

And so following her first day of the first grade, the 1960 sit-in movement and the civil rights activity that followed called attention to segregated lunch counters and public facilities, not only in the South, but throughout the country. And so later that year,

during the freedom rides, segregated restaurants and waiting areas in interstate bus terminals were successfully challenged.

And so this all culminated into what?

Well, the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and the Voting Rights Act of 1965, and the Fair Housing Act of 1968.

And so Ruby Bridges continues her struggle today. She's working to ensure that the school she integrated is an institution that affords children of all races a quality education.

And the struggle of JOHN LEWIS continues today as now, at the Federal level. He helps create and implement the laws that started not so many years ago when this 6-year-old began her quest for a fair and just and equal society, not only in the realm of education, but in all America to make it a real democracy.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. POE of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself as much time as I may consume.

I want to thank Representative JOHN LEWIS, the gentleman from Georgia, for authoring this legislation and for his long, long work, many years in the civil rights movement, along with the chairman, for bringing this legislation to the House floor and his work in the civil rights movement.

This resolution recognizes and honors the 50th anniversary of Ruby Bridges. Now, unlike the chairman, I think 50 years was a long time ago, but maybe it wasn't. But it was 50 years any way you look at it since Ruby Bridges played a role in desegregating a previously all-white public elementary school in the South.

□ 1540

I was in elementary school at the same time she was, but not the same school having been in Texas and going to school there.

In 1954, this all started when the United States Supreme Court made possible desegregation of American schools in *Brown v. Board of Education*. Six years later, Ruby Bridges, an African American child, a first grader, would help further the goal of the Court's decision in *Brown v. Board of Education*.

Sometimes we have to leave it up to the kids to get things done. In this case, it was a first grader, a 6-year-old in elementary school who just wanted to go to school, and she was determined to get an education.

In 1960, she had started to attend William Frantz Elementary School. It was an all-white school in New Orleans, Louisiana, in the New Orleans school system. She endured hateful crowds and threats to her physical safety. White parents initially pulled their kids out of the school, and only one teacher taught Bridges for more than a year.

Robert Coles, a child psychiatrist who provided counseling to this young girl, later wrote a book, a children's

book called "The Story of Ruby Bridges," to educate other children about this child's role in desegregating not just one school but really, in essence, all the schools in the United States both in the South and the North.

The courage demonstrated by Bridges and her parents continues to serve as an inspiration for children and adults. After 50 years, her example still encourages us to uphold the principles of equality and respect in our own lives and in our own culture. I urge my colleagues to join me in supporting this resolution.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. CONYERS. Mr. Speaker, I would like to remind Judge POE that if he had been around during the Hayes-Tilden episode, 50 years wouldn't seem so long to him, either.

I now turn to JOHN LEWIS and yield him such time as he may consume.

Mr. LEWIS of Georgia. Mr. Speaker, I want to thank my friend, the chairman, Mr. CONYERS, for bringing this resolution to the floor, and I want to thank Mr. POE for his support for this resolution. The two gentlemen are right.

Fifty years ago today, a brave African American, a 6-year-old girl, young Ruby Bridges, walked bravely to the doors of the previously all-white William Frantz Elementary School in New Orleans, Louisiana.

It was not your typical first day of school. This little girl walked to her new school with her mother and armed Federal agents. She was not met with the smiles of schoolyard friends. She was met with screaming, angry mobs of people who did not want her to be there. In protest, parents withdrew every other student from her class. The only remaining teacher, Mrs. Barbara Henry, attended school each and every day to teach young Ruby.

Ruby Bridges was born the year that the United States Supreme Court handed down the historic *Brown v. Board of Education* decision. They struck down the doctrine of "separate but equal" schools. Yet, 6 years after that historic decision, Louisiana and much of the South had yet to make Brown's promise of equality a reality. It took courage, nothing but the raw courage of a little girl, to integrate the schools in Louisiana. In the face of verbal abuse, threats, and unveiled hatred, Ruby Bridges learned to drown out the shouts with her prayers.

Little did she know on that first day of school 50 years ago that her image, immortalized in the painting of Norman Rockwell, would symbolize the end of segregation in schools.

Her story is the story of our Nation. She paved the way for integrated public schools all across the United States.

Today, Mr. Speaker, I ask all of my colleagues to pause and recognize the 50th anniversary of Ruby Bridges' courageous walk to school. I encourage all of my colleagues and all Americans to reflect on the historic *Brown* decision

and importance of desegregating of schools. I ask all of my colleagues to support this resolution and recommit ourselves to equality in education for all Americans.

Mr. POE of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I yield 3 minutes to the gentleman from Louisiana (Mr. CAO), who not only is from Louisiana, he is from New Orleans, Louisiana.

Mr. CAO. Mr. Speaker, today I rise in support of this important resolution. I also congratulate my friend and colleague, Mr. LEWIS, for his leadership in bringing this to the floor today. I have the greatest pleasure of standing by Mr. LEWIS, and I value his friendship greatly.

In 1956, at a time when race relations were stressed in the South, the Orleans Parish School Board was ordered to develop a plan to desegregate its schools. After a 4-year delay, a plan designed by the United States Eastern District Court of Louisiana was ordered to be carried out.

It was at that moment that a young girl by the name of Ruby Bridges became one of the first black children to attend an integrated school.

Upon her arrival, every white parent came to remove their child from the elementary school Ms. Bridges was attending. All but one white teacher refused to teach, and it was that teacher who instructed Ruby in a room by herself for a full year.

This experience did not deter Ruby who not only completed her education, but went on to found the Ruby Bridges Foundation, which has a clear and profound message: To promote the value of tolerance, respect, and appreciation of all differences.

I was honored to meet Ms. Bridges in my New Orleans office last October. She is truly an extraordinary woman who has dedicated her life to service. At a time when my district is still fighting to rebuild its schools and provide for a stable and safe environment for our children, I am thankful to have her as an inspiration for all in rebuilding our communities today, tomorrow, and beyond.

I am proud to note that as we reflect on a turning point in our Nation's history, it was the bravery of one New Orleanian who helped make it happen.

Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to support this resolution honoring the 50th anniversary of Ruby Bridges.

Mr. CONYERS. I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. POE of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I want to thank the chairman for bringing not just this legislation, but all the legislation that has been brought up today to the House floor, and to emphasize the point that he made: Good legislation, most important legislation, is bipartisan legislation. What most Americans don't realize, most legislation is bipartisan that is passed through this House, and it will continue to be so.

I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. CONYERS. Mr. Speaker, I am so glad that we were able to reveal this

important part of American history, not just through our colleagues but through our countrymen. I share the spirit of the remarks of my friend on the Judiciary Committee, Judge POE.

Ms. JACKSON LEE of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I rise today in strong support of H. Res. 1713, "Recognizing and Honoring the 50th Anniversary of Ruby Bridges Desegregation of a Previously All-White Elementary School." Let me begin by thanking my colleague, Representative JOHN LEWIS, for introducing this legislation into the House of Representatives as it is important that we recognize the great strides of the minority citizens of this country.

In so doing, we remind America that she must never serve on her citizens the atrocities that occurred to the African-American under her watchful legal eye. This legislation celebrates the life of Ruby Bridges, born in Mississippi during a very turbulent era. It acknowledges a citizen's courageousness in holding America to the language of her landmark ruling—*Brown v. Board of Education*.

Ruby Bridges acknowledges that growing up for her presented a very hard life. Having heard there were better opportunities in the city, Bridges moved along with her family, to New Orleans. While in New Orleans, the public schools were finally forced, under federal court order, to desegregate.

In the spring of 1960, Bridges took a test, along with other black kindergarteners in the city, to see if she would go to an integrated school come September. That summer she and her parents learned she passed the test and had been selected to start first grade at William Frantz Public School.

On the morning of November 14 federal marshals drove her and her mother the five blocks to William Frantz.

We applaud Ruby Bridges for her stalwart tenacity and her courage, at such a young age, to be an advocate for change in America.

Mr. CONYERS. I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. CONYERS) that the House suspend the rules and agree to the resolution, H. Res. 1713.

The question was taken.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. In the opinion of the Chair, two-thirds being in the affirmative, the ayes have it.

Mr. CONYERS. Mr. Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The yeas and nays were ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX and the Chair's prior announcement, further proceedings on this motion will be postponed.

RECESS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 12(a) of rule I, the Chair declares the House in recess until approximately 6 p.m. today.

Accordingly (at 3 o'clock and 50 minutes p.m.), the House stood in recess until approximately 6 p.m.

□ 1800

AFTER RECESS

The recess having expired, the House was called to order by the Speaker pro tempore (Mr. SCHAUER) at 6 p.m.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, proceedings will resume on motions to suspend the rules previously postponed.

Votes will be taken in the following order: S. 3689; House Resolution 1713; and House Concurrent Resolution 328, in each case by the yeas and nays.

Proceedings on House Resolution 716 will resume later in the week.

The first electronic vote will be conducted as a 15-minute vote. Remaining electronic votes will be conducted as 5-minute votes.

COPYRIGHT CLEANUP, CLARIFICATION, AND CORRECTIONS ACT OF 2010

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The unfinished business is the vote on the motion to suspend the rules and pass the bill (S. 3689) to clarify, improve, and correct the laws relating to copyrights, as amended, on which the yeas and nays were ordered.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. CONYERS) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, as amended.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 385, nays 0, answered “present” 1, not voting 46, as follows:

[Roll No. 566]

YEAS—385

Ackerman	Boswell	Cleaver
Aderholt	Boucher	Coble
Adler (NJ)	Boustany	Coffman (CO)
Akin	Boyd	Cohen
Alexander	Brady (PA)	Cole
Altmire	Brady (TX)	Conaway
Andrews	Braley (IA)	Connolly (VA)
Austria	Bright	Conyers
Baca	Broun (GA)	Cooper
Bachmann	Brown (SC)	Costa
Bachus	Brown, Corrine	Costello
Baird	Buchanan	Courtney
Baldwin	Burgess	Crenshaw
Barrett (SC)	Burton (IN)	Critz
Barrow	Butterfield	Crowley
Bartlett	Buyer	Cuellar
Barton (TX)	Calvert	Culberson
Bean	Camp	Cummings
Becerra	Campbell	Dahlkemper
Berkley	Cantor	Davis (CA)
Berman	Cao	Davis (KY)
Biggart	Capito	Davis (TN)
Bilbray	Capuano	DeFazio
Bilirakis	Cardoza	DeGette
Bishop (GA)	Carnahan	DeLauro
Bishop (NY)	Carson (IN)	Dent
Bishop (UT)	Carter	Deutch
Blackburn	Cassidy	Diaz-Balart, L.
Blumenauer	Castle	Diaz-Balart, M.
Blunt	Castor (FL)	Dicks
Boccheri	Chaffetz	Djou
Boehner	Chandler	Doggett
Bonner	Childers	Donnelly (IN)
Bono Mack	Chu	Doyle
Boozman	Clarke	Dreier
Boren	Clay	Driehaus

Duncan	Langevin	Rangel
Edwards (MD)	Larsen (WA)	Rehberg
Edwards (TX)	Latham	Reichert
Ehlers	LaTourette	Reyes
Ellison	Latta	Richardson
Ellsworth	Lee (CA)	Rodriguez
Emerson	Levin	Roe (TN)
Engel	Lewis (CA)	Rogers (AL)
Eshoo	Lewis (GA)	Rogers (KY)
Etheridge	Linder	Rogers (MI)
Farr	Lipinski	Rohrabacher
Fattah	LoBiondo	Rooney
Filner	Loeb	Ros-Lehtinen
Flake	Loeb	Roskam
Fleming	Loftgren, Zoe	Ross
Forbes	Lowe	Roybal-Allard
Fortenberry	Lucas	Royce
Foster	Luetkemeyer	Ruppersberger
Fox	Lujan	Ryan (OH)
Fox	Lummis	Ryan (WI)
Frank (MA)	Lungrun, Daniel E.	Salazar
Franks (AZ)	Lynch	Sanchez, Loretta
Fudge	Mack	Sarbanes
Gallegly	Maffei	Scalise
Garamendi	Manzullo	Schakowsky
Garrett (NJ)	Markey (CO)	Schauer
Gerlach	Markey (MA)	Schiff
Giffords	Marshall	Schmidt
Gohmert	Matheson	Schock
Gonzalez	Matsui	Schrader
Goodlatte	McCarthy (CA)	Schwartz
Gordon (TN)	McCarthy (NY)	Scott (GA)
Granger	McCaul	Scott (VA)
Graves (GA)	McClintock	Sensenbrenner
Graves (MO)	McCollum	Serrano
Grayson	McCotter	Sessions
Green, Al	McGovern	Sestak
Green, Gene	McHenry	Shadegg
Griffith	McIntyre	Shea-Porter
Grijalva	McKeon	Sherman
Guthrie	McMahon	Shimkus
Hall (TX)	McMorris	Shuler
Halvorson	Hare	Shuster
Hare	Rodgers	Simpson
Harman	McNerney	Sires
Harper	Meek (FL)	Skelton
Hastings (FL)	Meeks (NY)	Slaughter
Hastings (WA)	Melancon	Mica
Heller	Michaud	Smith (NE)
Hensarling	Miller (FL)	Smith (NJ)
Hergert	Miller (MI)	Smith (TX)
Herseth Sandlin	Miller (NC)	Smith (WA)
Higgins	Miller, Gary	Snyder
Hill	Miller, George	Spratt
Himes	Minnick	Stearns
Hinche	Mitchell	Sutton
Hinojosa	Mollohan	Teague
Hodes	Moore (KS)	Terry
Hoekstra	Moore (WI)	Thompson (CA)
Holden	Moran (KS)	Thompson (MS)
Holt	Murphy (CT)	Thompson (PA)
Honda	Murphy (NY)	Thornberry
Hoyer	Murphy, Tim	Tiahrt
Hunter	Myrick	Tierney
Inglis	Nadler (NY)	Titus
Inslee	Napolitano	Tonko
Israel	Neal (MA)	Towns
Issa	Neugebauer	Tsongas
Jackson (IL)	Nunes	Turner
Jackson Lee	Nye	Upton
(TX)	Oberstar	Van Hollen
Jenkins	Obey	Velázquez
Johnson (GA)	Olson	Visclosky
Johnson, E. B.	Ortiz	Walden
Johnson, Sam	Pallone	Walz
Jones	Pascrell	Wamp
Jordan (OH)	Paul	Wasserman
Kagen	Paulsen	Schultz
Kanjorski	Perlmutter	Waters
Kaptur	Perlmuter	Watson
Kildee	Petersen	Watt
Kilpatrick (MI)	Petri	Waxman
Kilroy	Pingree (ME)	Weiner
Kind	Pitts	Welch
King (IA)	Poe (TX)	Westmoreland
King (NY)	Polis (CO)	Whitfield
Kingston	Posey	Wilson (OH)
Kirkpatrick (AZ)	Price (GA)	Wilson (SC)
Kissell	Price (NC)	Wittman
Klein (FL)	Quigley	Woolsey
Kline (MN)	Radanovich	Wu
Kosmas	Rahall	Yarmuth
Kratovil		Young (AK)
Kucinich		Young (FL)
Lance		

ANSWERED “PRESENT”—1

Hall (NY)

NOT VOTING—46

Arcuri	Hirono	Platts
Berry	Johnson (IL)	Pomeroy
Brown-Waite,	Kennedy	Putnam
Ginny	Kirk	Rothman (NJ)
Capps	Lamborn	Rush
Carney	Larson (CT)	Sánchez, Linda T.
Clyburn	Lee (NY)	Space
Davis (AL)	Maloney	Speier
Davis (IL)	Marchant	Stark
Delahunt	McDermott	Stupak
Dingell	Moran (VA)	Sullivan
Fallin	Murphy, Patrick	Tanner
Frelinghuysen	Owens	Taylor
Gingrey (GA)	Pastor (AZ)	Tiberi
Gutierrez	Payne	Wolf
Heinrich	Perriello	

□ 1830

Mr. KING of New York changed his vote from “nay” to “yea.”

Mr. HALL of New York changed his vote from “nay” to “present.”

Mr. WU changed his vote from “present” to “yea.”

So (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the bill, as amended, was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

Stated for:

Ms. HIRONO. Mr. Speaker, on rollcall No. 566, had I been present, I would have voted “aye.”

RECOGNIZING 50TH ANNIVERSARY OF SCHOOL DESEGREGATION BY RUBY BRIDGES

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The unfinished business is the vote on the motion to suspend the rules and agree to the resolution (H. Res. 1713) recognizing the 50th anniversary of Ruby Bridges desegregating a previously all-White public elementary school, on which the yeas and nays were ordered.

The Clerk read the title of the resolution.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. CONYERS) that the House suspend the rules and agree to the resolution.

This will be a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 376, nays 0, not voting 56, as follows:

[Roll No. 567]

YEAS—376

Ackerman	Bishop (NY)	Burton (IN)
Aderholt	Bishop (UT)	Butterfield
Adler (NJ)	Blackburn	Buyer
Akin	Blumenauer	Calvert
Altmire	Blunt	Camp
Andrews	Boccheri	Campbell
Austria	Boehner	Cantor
Baca	Bonner	Cao
Bachmann	Bono Mack	Capito
Bachus	Boozman	Capuano
Baird	Boren	Cardoza
Baldwin	Boswell	Carnahan
Barrett (SC)	Boucher	Carson (IN)
Barrow	Boustany	Carter
Bartlett	Boyd	Cassidy
Barton (TX)	Brady (PA)	Castle
Bean	Brady (TX)	Castor (FL)
Becerra	Bright	Chaffetz
Berkley	Broun (GA)	Chandler
Biggart	Brown (SC)	Childers
Bilbray	Brown, Corrine	Chu
Bilirakis	Buchanan	Clarke
Bishop (GA)	Burgess	Clay

Cleaver
Coble
Coffman (CO)
Cohen
Cole
Conaway
Connolly (VA)
Conyers
Cooper
Costa
Costello
Courtney
Crenshaw
Critz
Crowley
Cuellar
Culberson
Cummings
Dahlkemper
Davis (CA)
Davis (KY)
Davis (TN)
DeFazio
DeGette
DeLauro
Dent
Deutch
Diaz-Balart, L.
Diaz-Balart, M.
Dicks
Djou
Doggett
Donnelly (IN)
Doyle
Dreier
Driehaus
Duncan
Edwards (MD)
Edwards (TX)
Ehlers
Ellison
Ellsworth
Emerson
Engel
Eshoo
Etheridge
Farr
Fattah
Filner
Flake
Fleming
Forbes
Fortenberry
Foster
Foxy
Frank (MA)
Franks (AZ)
Fudge
Gallegly
Garamendi
Garrett (NJ)
Gerlach
Giffords
Gohmert
Gonzalez
Goodlatte
Gordon (TN)
Granger
Graves (GA)
Graves (MO)
Grayson
Green, Al
Green, Gene
Griffith
Grijalva
Guthrie
Hall (NY)
Hall (TX)
Halvorson
Hare
Harman
Harper
Hastings (WA)
Heller
Hensarling
Herger
Herseth Sandlin
Higgins
Hill
Himes
Hinchey
Hinojosa
Hodes
Hoekstra
Holden
Holt
Honda
Hoyer
Hunter

Inglis
Inslee
Israel
Issa
Jackson (IL)
Jackson Lee
(TX)
Jenkins
Johnson (GA)
Johnson, E. B.
Johnson, Sam
Jones
Jordan (OH)
Kagen
Kanjorski
Kaptur
Kildee
Kilpatrick (MI)
Kilroy
Kind
King (IA)
King (NY)
Kingston
Kirkpatrick (AZ)
Kissell
Klein (FL)
Kline (MN)
Kosmas
Kucinich
Lance
Langevin
Larsen (WA)
Larson (CT)
Latham
LaTourette
Latta
Lee (CA)
Levin
Lewis (CA)
Lewis (GA)
Linder
Lipinski
LoBiondo
Loebach
Lofgren, Zoe
Lowey
Lucas
Luetkemeyer
Lujan
Lummis
Lungren, Daniel
E.
Lynch
Mack
Maffei
Manzullo
Markey (CO)
Markey (MA)
Marshall
Matheson
Matsui
McCarthy (CA)
McCarthy (NY)
McCaul
McClintock
McCollum
McCotter
McGovern
McHenry
McIntyre
McKeon
McMahon
McMorris
Rodgers
McNerney
Meek (FL)
Meeks (NY)
Melancon
Mica
Michaud
Miller (FL)
Miller (MI)
Miller (NC)
Miller, Gary
Miller, George
Minnick
Mitchell
Mollohan
Moore (KS)
Moore (WI)
Moran (KS)
Murphy (CT)
Murphy (NY)
Murphy, Patrick
Murphy, Tim
Myrick
Nadler (NY)
Napolitano
Neal (MA)

Neugebauer
Nunes
Nye
Oberstar
Obey
Olson
Oliver
Ortiz
Pallone
Pascarell
Paul
Paulsen
Pence
Peters
Peterson
Petri
Pingree (ME)
Pitts
Poe (TX)
Polis (CO)
Posey
Price (GA)
Price (NC)
Quigley
Radanovich
Rangel
Rehberg
Reichert
Reyes
Richardson
Rodriguez
Roe (TN)
Rogers (AL)
Rogers (KY)
Rogers (MI)
Rohrabacher
Rooney
Ros-Lehtinen
Roskam
Ross
Roybal-Allard
Royce
Ruppersberger
Ryan (OH)
Ryan (WI)
Salazar
Sanchez, Loretta
Sarbanes
Scalise
Schakowsky
Schauer
Schiff
Schmidt
Schock
Schwartz
Scott (GA)
Scott (VA)
Sensenbrenner
Serrano
Sessions
Sestak
Shadegg
Shea-Porter
Sherman
Shimkus
Shuler
Shuster
Simpson
Sires
Skelton
Slaughter
Smith (NE)
Smith (NJ)
Smith (TX)
Smith (WA)
Snyder
Spratt
Stearns
Sutton
Teague
Terry
Thompson (CA)
Thompson (MS)
Thompson (PA)
Thornberry
Tiahrt
Tierney
Titus
Tonko
Towns
Tsongas
Turner
Upton
Velázquez
Visclosky
Walden
Walz
Wamp

Wasserman
Schultz
Watt
Waxman
Weiner

Welch
Westmoreland
Wilson (OH)
Wilson (SC)
Wittman

Woolsey
Wu
Yarmuth
Young (AK)
Young (FL)

NOT VOTING—56

Alexander
Arcuri
Berman
Berry
Braley (IA)
Brown-Waite,
Ginny
Capps
Carney
Clyburn
Davis (AL)
Davis (IL)
Delahunt
Dingell
Fallin
Frelinghuysen
Gingrey (GA)
Gutierrez
Hastings (FL)
Heinrich

Hirono
Johnson (IL)
Kennedy
Kirk
Kratovil
Lamborn
Lee (NY)
Maloney
Marchant
McDermott
Moran (VA)
Owens
Pastor (AZ)
Payne
Perlmutter
Perriello
Platts
Pomeroy
Putnam
Rahall

Rothman (NJ)
Rush
Sánchez, Linda
T.
Schrader
Space
Speier
Stark
Stupak
Sullivan
Tanner
Taylor
Tiberi
Van Hollen
Waters
Watson
Whitfield
Wolf

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore (during the vote). There are 2 minutes remaining in this vote.

□ 1838

So (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the resolution was agreed to.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

IN MEMORY OF FORMER U.S. CONGRESSMAN BILL HARSHA

(Mrs. SCHMIDT asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mrs. SCHMIDT. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to report the passing of a very dear friend of this House and a former Member, Bill Harsha, who passed away at the age of 89 on October 11 of this year.

Bill was a friend of mine and a mentor. He served with distinction in what was then the Ohio Sixth Congressional District from 1961 to 1981, a period of 20 years. He was born in Portsmouth, Ohio. He graduated from Portsmouth High School in 1939 and from Kenyon College in 1943. He served honorably as a marine from 1942 to 1944.

He was admitted to the Ohio bar on March 6, 1947, and served as an assistant solicitor until 1951 when he was elected prosecutor. After serving in Congress, he returned to his law practice in 1986.

I am proud to have called Bill my friend and proud that I had his support when I decided to run for Congress.

He is survived by his wonderful wife, Rosemary, of 64 years and four sons and six grandchildren.

I would ask that the House observe a moment of silence for the passing of this great man.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair would ask all Members to rise for the purpose of a moment of silence.

MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE

A message from Ms. Curtis, one of its clerks, announced that the Senate has

agreed to a joint resolution of the following title in which the concurrence of the House is requested:

S.J. Res. 40. Joint Resolution appointing the day for the convening of the first session of the One Hundred Twelfth Congress.

The message also announced that pursuant to Public Law 99-498, as amended by Public Law 110-315, the Chair, on behalf of the President pro tempore, appoints the following individual to the Advisory Committee on Student Financial Assistance:

Sharon Wurm of Nevada vice Clare Cotton of Massachusetts.

The message also announced that pursuant to provisions of Public Law 110-343, the Chair, on behalf of the Majority Leader, appoints the following individual as a member of the Congressional Oversight Panel:

Edward E. Kaufman of Delaware vice Elizabeth Warren of Massachusetts.

RECOGNIZING THE 30TH ANNIVERSARY OF THE BAYH-DOLE ACT

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Without objection, 5-minute voting will continue.

There was no objection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The unfinished business is the vote on the motion to suspend the rules and agree to the concurrent resolution (H. Con. Res. 328) expressing the sense of the Congress regarding the successful and substantial contributions of the amendments to the patent and trademark laws that were initially enacted in 1960 by Public Law 96-517 (commonly referred to as the "Bayh-Dole Act") on the occasion of the 30th anniversary of its enactment, on which the yeas and nays were ordered.

The Clerk read the title of the concurrent resolution.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. CONYERS) that the House suspend the rules and agree to the concurrent resolution.

This is a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 385, nays 1, not voting 46, as follows:

[Roll No. 568]

YEAS—385

Ackerman	Bilbray	Buchanan
Aderholt	Bilirakis	Burgess
Adler (NJ)	Bishop (GA)	Burton (IN)
Akin	Bishop (NY)	Butterfield
Alexander	Bishop (UT)	Buyer
Altmire	Blackburn	Calvert
Andrews	Blumenauer	Camp
Austria	Blunt	Campbell
Baca	Bocchieri	Cantor
Bachmann	Bonner	Cao
Bachus	Bono Mack	Capito
Baird	Boozman	Capuano
Baldwin	Boren	Cardoza
Barrett (SC)	Boswell	Carnahan
Barrow	Boucher	Carson (IN)
Bartlett	Boustany	Carter
Barton (TX)	Boyd	Cassidy
Bean	Brady (PA)	Castle
Becerra	Brady (TX)	Castor (FL)
Berkley	Bright	Chaffetz
Berman	Brown (SC)	Chandler
Biggert	Brown, Corrine	Childers

Chu
 Clarke
 Clay
 Cleaver
 Coble
 Coffman (CO)
 Cohen
 Cole
 Conaway
 Connolly (VA)
 Conyers
 Cooper
 Costa
 Costello
 Courtney
 Crenshaw
 Critz
 Crowley
 Cuellar
 Culberson
 Cummings
 Dahlkemper
 Davis (CA)
 Davis (KY)
 Davis (TN)
 DeFazio
 DeGette
 DeLauro
 Dent
 Deutch
 Diaz-Balart, L.
 Diaz-Balart, M.
 Dicks
 Djou
 Doggett
 Donnelly (IN)
 Doyle
 Dreier
 Driehaus
 Duncan
 Edwards (MD)
 Edwards (TX)
 Ehlers
 Ellison
 Ellsworth
 Emerson
 Engel
 Eshoo
 Etheridge
 Farr
 Fattah
 Filner
 Flake
 Fleming
 Forbes
 Fortenberry
 Foster
 Foxx
 Frank (MA)
 Franks (AZ)
 Fudge
 Gallegly
 Garamendi
 Garrett (NJ)
 Gerlach
 Giffords
 Gonzalez
 Goodlatte
 Gordon (TN)
 Granger
 Graves (GA)
 Graves (MO)
 Grayson
 Green, Al
 Green, Gene
 Griffith
 Grijalva
 Guthrie
 Hall (NY)
 Hall (TX)
 Halvorson
 Hare
 Harman
 Harper
 Hastings (FL)
 Hastings (WA)
 Heller
 Hensarling
 Hergert
 Herseth Sandlin
 Higgins
 Hill
 Himes
 Hinchey
 Hinojosa
 Hirono
 Hodes
 Hoekstra
 Holden

Holt
 Honda
 Hoyer
 Hunter
 Inglis
 Inslee
 Israel
 Issa
 Jackson (IL)
 Jackson Lee
 (TX)
 Jenkins
 Johnson (GA)
 Johnson, E. B.
 Johnson, Sam
 Jones
 Jordan (OH)
 Kagen
 Kanjorski
 Kaptur
 Kildee
 Kilpatrick (MI)
 Kilroy
 Kind
 King (IA)
 King (NY)
 Kingston
 Kirkpatrick (AZ)
 Kissell
 Klein (FL)
 Kline (MN)
 Kosmas
 Kratovil
 Kucinich
 Lance
 Langevin
 Larson (WA)
 Larson (CT)
 Latham
 LaTourette
 Latta
 Lee (CA)
 Levin
 Lewis (CA)
 Lewis (GA)
 Linder
 Lipinski
 LoBiondo
 Loebsack
 Lofgren, Zoe
 Lowey
 Lucas
 Luetkemeyer
 Lujan
 Lummis
 Lungren, Daniel
 E.
 Lynch
 Mack
 Maffei
 Manzullo
 Markey (CO)
 Markey (MA)
 Marshall
 Matheson
 Matsui
 McCarthy (CA)
 McCarthy (NY)
 McCaul
 McClintock
 McCollum
 McCotter
 McGovern
 McHenry
 McIntyre
 McKeon
 McMahon
 McMorris
 Rodgers
 McNeerney
 Meek (FL)
 Meeks (NY)
 Melancon
 Mica
 Michaud
 Miller (FL)
 Miller (MI)
 Miller (NC)
 Miller, Gary
 Miller, George
 Minnick
 Mitchell
 Mollohan
 Moore (KS)
 Moore (WI)
 Moran (KS)
 Murphy (CT)
 Murphy (NY)
 Murphy, Patrick

Murphy, Tim
 Myrick
 Nadler (NY)
 Napolitano
 Neal (MA)
 Neugebauer
 Nunes
 Nye
 Oberstar
 Obey
 Olson
 Oliver
 Ortiz
 Pallone
 Pascarella
 Paul
 Paulsen
 Pence
 Perlmutter
 Peters
 Peterson
 Petri
 Pingree (ME)
 Pitts
 Poe (TX)
 Polis (CO)
 Posey
 Price (GA)
 Price (NC)
 Quigley
 Radanovich
 Rahall
 Rangel
 Rehberg
 Reichert
 Reyes
 Richardson
 Rodriguez
 Roe (TN)
 Rogers (AL)
 Rogers (KY)
 Rogers (MI)
 Rohrabacher
 Rooney
 Ros-Lehtinen
 Roskam
 Ross
 Roybal-Allard
 Royce
 Ruppersberger
 Ryan (OH)
 Ryan (WI)
 Salazar
 Salazar, Loretta
 Sarbanes
 Scalise
 Schakowsky
 Schauer
 Schiff
 Schmidt
 Schock
 Schrader
 Schwartz
 Scott (GA)
 Scott (VA)
 Sensenbrenner
 Serrano
 Sessions
 Sestak
 Shadegg
 Shea-Porter
 Sherman
 Shimkus
 Shuler
 Shuster
 Simpson
 Sires
 Skelton
 Slaughter
 Smith (NE)
 Smith (NJ)
 Smith (TX)
 Smith (WA)
 Snyder
 Spratt
 Stearns
 Sutton
 Teague
 Terry
 Thompson (CA)
 Thompson (MS)
 Thompson (PA)
 Thornberry
 Tiahrt
 Tierney
 Titus
 Tonko
 Towns
 Tsongas

Turner
 Upton
 Van Hollen
 Velázquez
 Visclosky
 Walden
 Walz
 Wamp

Wasserman
 Schultz
 Waters
 Watson
 Watt
 Waxman
 Weiner
 Welch
 Westmoreland

Whitfield
 Wilson (OH)
 Wilson (SC)
 Wittman
 Woolsey
 Wu
 Yarmuth
 Young (AK)
 Young (FL)

NAYS—1

Broun (GA)

NOT VOTING—46

Arcuri
 Berry
 Boehner
 Braley (IA)
 Brown-Waite,
 Ginny
 Capps
 Carney
 Clyburn
 Davis (AL)
 Davis (IL)
 Delahunt
 Dingell
 Fallin
 Frelinghuysen
 Gingrey (GA)

Gohmert
 Gutierrez
 Heinrich
 Johnson (IL)
 Kennedy
 Kirk
 Lamborn
 Lee (NY)
 Maloney
 Marchant
 McDermott
 Moran (VA)
 Owens
 Pastor (AZ)
 Payne
 Perriello

Platts
 Pomeroy
 Putnam
 Rothman (NJ)
 Rush
 Sánchez, Linda
 T.
 Space
 Speier
 Stark
 Stupak
 Sullivan
 Tanner
 Taylor
 Tiberi
 Wolf

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore (during the vote). Members have 2 minutes remaining to vote.

□ 1851

So (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the concurrent resolution was agreed to.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mr. GUTIERREZ. Mr. Speaker, I was unavoidably absent for votes in the House Chamber today. Had I been present, I would have voted "yea" on rollcall votes 566, 567 and 568.

APPOINTMENT AS MEMBER TO NATIONAL COMMISSION FOR THE REVIEW OF THE RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS OF THE UNITED STATES INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to section 1002 of the Intelligence Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2003 (P.L. 107-306) as amended by section 701(a)(3) of the Intelligence Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (P.L. 111-259), and the order of the House of January 6, 2009, the Chair announces the Speaker's appointment of the following member on the part of the House to the National Commission for the Review of the Research and Development Programs of the United States Intelligence Community:

Mr. Maurice Sonnenberg, New York, New York.

HELP FOR HAITI

(Ms. JACKSON LEE of Texas asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Ms. JACKSON LEE of Texas. Mr. Speaker, the children of Haiti need

help. Children around the world need our help. But today in Haiti, thousands upon thousands of children are living in temporary tent conditions, in camps, many that have been ravaged by the waters and the heat and deteriorating conditions. Today in Haiti, the people of that country, particularly the children, are suffering from the epidemic of cholera.

We did not take up the Help HAITI bill today, but I am asking that we begin a full press to provide better work conditions and living conditions, as well as a better quality of life for the children of Haiti. Cholera is an epidemic that can spread and kill thousands upon thousands of children. It is important for USAID and the donor countries that have indicated their willingness to donate to Haiti to get the donations in, and it is important now for the Government of Haiti to stand up and be heard on behalf of these children. I look forward to working with the Help HAITI bill to ensure that more children can be adopted. But the epidemic of cholera is spreading to these children, and we must help them now.

□ 1900

SPECIAL ORDERS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the Speaker's announced policy of January 6, 2009, and under a previous order of the House, the following Members will be recognized for 5 minutes each.

MOVING THE GOALPOSTS ON AFGHANISTAN

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentlewoman from California (Ms. WOOLSEY) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Ms. WOOLSEY. Mr. Speaker, about a year ago, the President of the United States quite clearly laid out a plan to begin redeploying troops out of Afghanistan in July of 2011. It was not soon enough for me, but it demonstrated at least the recognition that this could not go on forever and a commitment to do the right thing, the thing that the majority of Americans want, bring our troops home was on the table.

But now top officials are telling us not to start planning our welcome-home parades for our soldiers, that U.S. combat troops would actually be on the ground in Afghanistan until 2014.

The Commander in Chief has said that this war will begin to end next July, and it appears that the generals who work for him are actively trying to undermine that deadline by painting a rosy picture of conditions on the ground, often in direct contradiction of intelligence reports.

The most galling moment and the most galling comment of all came from Lieutenant General William Caldwell,

who leads NATO's training of Afghan security forces. He not only talks of the 2014 date as it's established policy; he says he needs more resources and more military trainers just to get Afghanistan ready to provide for their own security by that date.

So we've gone from the military saluting President Obama and saying they could get it done by July 2011, to saying that current levels of personnel aren't adequate to get the job done in four more years' time.

Lieutenant General Caldwell also echoed what other officials have said, that the 2014 date comes not from the Oval Office or the Pentagon or the situation room, but was initially put forward by Afghanistan President Hamid Karzai.

But since when, I ask you, Mr. Speaker, does a foreign head of state set our goals? I thought U.S. foreign policy and decisions about our national security were made by the elected representatives of the American people.

The truth, Mr. Speaker, is that things have gotten far worse in Afghanistan since we committed more troops. Our troops are dying at a greater pace than at any other point in the 9 years of war. Civilian casualties are also on the rise. The Afghan people have little confidence in our mission and its ability to improve their lives. The insurgency remains as nimble and sophisticated as ever. Effective local government is barely in existence.

We've heard all the arguments before about why accelerated time tables supposedly don't work; that they embolden the enemy; that the insurgents will simply wait us out until the date of departure. But they're not waiting us out now. They effectively control vast swaths of the country, and the one thing that is giving them greater strength and moral authority is the continued presence of our combat troops on Afghan soil.

How much more do we have to fail before we change strategies, I ask? How many chances are we going to give this military occupation? How much patience are we supposed to have? I say, not a minute more, Mr. Speaker. I say it's time to bring our troops home.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Indiana (Mr. BURTON) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Mr. BURTON of Indiana addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

CONGRATULATING JACINTO "ACE" ACEBAL ON HIS RETIREMENT FROM THE UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Florida (Ms. ROS-LEHTINEN) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Ms. ROS-LEHTINEN. Mr. Speaker, tonight I am so honored to recognize an outstanding public servant, Jacinto Acebal, or "Ace" as he is known by his friends. He will soon be retiring from the United States Postal Service after 45 years of service.

Ace has helped accomplish the United States Postal Service mission to provide efficient mail service to every address within our country. Ace has assisted not only individuals but also the needs of our small businesses in south Florida. In an area as busy and as diverse as south Florida, Ace never misses a beat.

As a testament to his dedication and tireless efforts, Ace was recently recognized by his colleagues at the Hispanic Organization of Postal Employees. It is indeed a tribute for an individual whose career has had such humble beginnings.

Proud of having been born in Cuba and never losing his yearning for a free and democratic Cuba, Ace also proudly served our country in the military serving in Vietnam. In fact, he is the most highly decorated Cuban American to have fought in Vietnam.

Ace joined the postal service after his service in 1968 as a letter carrier; and I'm proud to say that he employed the same focus, the same determination, the same patriotism that marked his distinguished military career. As a result, he impressed his superiors and rose through the ranks. Ace has held numerous managerial positions including supervisor, human resource specialist, and Hispanic program specialist.

In this last position he has also been an invaluable liaison to the Hispanic community. Ace is regularly interviewed by news outlets, and he helps promote postal service products and services to our diverse Hispanic community nationwide.

Ace has appeared on national news programs that have aired in Hispanic markets across the country. The United States Postal Service's Executive Committee has also presented Ace with a special recognition award for his media relations efforts.

Above all else, Ace has been an exemplary and active member in our south Florida community. The City of Miami Commission appointed him to the Miami Community Relations Board.

He's also a member of the Spanish American League Against Discrimination and has served on the greater Miami Hispanic Council and the United Way of Dade County subcommittee.

Ace has been awarded the Diversity Vice President Partnership Award, the Dot Sharpe Lifetime Achievement, and has been named Federal employee of the year. Simply put, Jacinto Acebal has been a tireless leader in our community and a shining example of professionalism and service. His talents will be sorely missed at the United States Postal Service. He leaves behind a wonderful legacy; and I join his many friends, family and peers in celebrating

his well-earned retirement. You have served our community well, Ace and I am lucky to count you as a friend. Congratulations, my friend.

ECONOMIC ISSUES: THE GOOD, THE BAD AND THE UGLY

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from California (Mr. SHERMAN) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. SHERMAN. I come here to address the House on economic issues facing us this month and next month. And I come here to talk about the good, the bad and the ugly. First, the good.

The Federal Reserve Board is going to buy \$600 billion worth of long-term bonds, quantitative easing. This will increase America's share of the American market for manufacturers' goods. That's why it has been condemned by China, Germany and Japan, because they know it means moving jobs from Germany, Japan and China to the United States.

This is an effective tool that is reversible. We can expand the money supply now, and then the Federal Reserve Board can reverse its action when the economy improves. Therefore, it involves no increase in the money supply that is permanent and, of course, involves no increase in our national debt.

The unemployment rate is over 9.6 percent. We need to act to bring down that unemployment rate. And the Fed is to be commended. This does not mean that its decision is risk free. Just, given all the risk that we're confronted with, this is a good move. And the fact that the countries that are running giant trade surpluses with it have condemned us gives it an additional advantage.

Second, the bad. The tax proposals, and I focus here only on the tax proposals of the Simpson-Bowles proposal, they have offered three different versions of their tax proposal and I will address what they call the Wyden-Gregg approach. There are two other approaches, the zero plan, which is even worse than the one I'm going to describe, and a third option of basically doing nothing except inviting the Ways and Means Committee to earn their salary and to look at our tax law.

Now, I was anxious to embrace this proposal because we need to see shared sacrifice. We all are looking for a way to pay down the debt, and I, for one, was willing to embrace a program of shared sacrifice and austerity. But Messrs. Bowles and Simpson have given sacrifice a bad name by using our desire for shared sacrifice to disguise a giant tax cut for large corporations.

□ 1910

It needs to be cut by a quarter. This in the name of increasing revenue. This in the name of austerity and shared sacrifice. No. This in the name of using the debt crisis as an opportunity to shift wealth and power and income

from the middle class to corporate elites and the very wealthy.

Now, it is true that they talk about reducing certain corporate tax expenditures, but only in vague terms, only to a small degree. It is basically a dramatic decline in corporate tax, in the revenue of the corporate income tax.

Now, finally on to the other. We have been told by our Republican colleagues on so many occasions that the worst thing we could do is increase taxes in the middle of a recession; yet the Republican proposals, all of them, involve a dramatic increase for working families going into effect this next year, namely by allowing the Making Work Pay Tax Credit, the so-called Obama tax cuts, \$800 for every working couple, \$400 for every working single, expire at the end of this year. I urge my colleagues to join with me in cosponsoring our colleague Scott Murphy's bill to extend this \$800/\$400 tax credit.

With all the talk of extending the Bush tax cuts, with all the talk for those who make more than a quarter million dollars a year, we should not forget that the Obama tax cuts expire at the end of this year, and for well more than half of all American families, the Obama tax cuts are more important than the Bush tax cuts.

Now, why is nobody even talking about extending the Obama tax cuts? Because no one with an income of over \$150,000 a year gets any of that benefit. So when we have a tax cut that is targeted at working families that is more important than the Bush tax cuts to over half of American families, we see this tax cut about to expire without any discussion from those who tell us that the worst possible thing would be to increase anyone's taxes in the middle of a recession. I do not want to hear about spending \$700 billion over the next 10 years to provide tax relief to the top 1 percent. I do not want to hear that from those who are talking about increasing taxes on more than half of America's working families. It is time to extend the Obama tax cut.

I look forward to working in a bipartisan way to provide tax relief to get this economy moving again and then to shift to fiscal austerity, but allowing the Obama tax cuts to expire and then cutting corporate income tax by one quarter is not the way to go.

ANOTHER DAY ON THE TEXAS BORDER

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Texas (Mr. POE) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. POE of Texas. Mr. Speaker, it was just another day on the Texas border on October 1, 2010. A young couple, David and Tiffany Hartley, were on Falcon Lake.

Falcon Lake is a massive lake that borders Mexico in the State of Texas, an international border. They were on their jet skis, and they traveled across the international line into Mexico.

They had gone to see an old mission that was partially submerged in Falcon Lake.

On their way back coming into the United States, they were being chased by three boats full, obviously, of drug cartel members—later we learned they were the Zeta drug cartels—firing automatic weapons at David and Tiffany Hartley. David was shot in the back of the head. Tiffany tries to help, but they were still shooting, so she flees.

She comes back into the United States, and one of the boats—get this, Mr. Speaker—follows her into the United States for over 3 miles until she got ashore and finally sought safety with some passerby that was standing there. Then this boat casually goes back into Mexico.

David Hartley was murdered on October 1, 5 weeks ago. The way the current runs in Falcon Lake, his body would have been into the American side in about 2 hours had not someone taken his body out of the water or cut the life preserver off of him or both. His body has never been found.

The Mexican Government quickly accused Tiffany Hartley of being the culprit—the audacity—just to not investigate this case. David Hartley's body has never been found. The perpetrators who murdered him and shot at Tiffany Hartley have never been prosecuted.

A detective by the name of Rolando Flores from Mexico was assigned to investigate this case. He apparently was the only person investigating this case. Soon after he started investigating it, his beheaded body turned up in front of a police station. Mexico quickly decided: We are not investigating the case. And, of course, they have not.

Mexico has an awful track record of solving homicides, not just homicides of Americans in Mexico, but of Mexican nationals who are murdered in the name of selling drugs across that border and bringing them into the United States. So this case has not been solved. I doubt it will ever be because of the ineptitude of the Mexican Government to preserve and defend and protect people in Mexico.

As Sheriff Sigi Gonzalez of Zapata County has said: This area is a trafficking area for drug cartels. The Zeta drug cartels have operational control of parts of that lake and bring drugs into the United States at night.

I went down to Falcon Lake along with Sheriff Gonzalez' people. We went up and down the lake in speed boats. Of course, before we were allowed to get on the boats, we had to make sure that the locals who were taking us there had automatic weapons and everybody was wearing a bulletproof vest. Then we flew up and down the international border of this massive lake, some 60 miles long, almost 8 miles wide.

Interesting to note, Mr. Speaker. The entire time we were on the lake either in a boat or flying over it, we saw no other boats on either side. No Americans are out on the lake. No Mexican

nationals are out on the lake. For 6 hours we toured that lake and we saw no one, and the reason is it is dangerous. People on both sides of the borders don't go on that lake because it is not under the operational control of either Mexico or the United States. It is under the operational control of the Zeta drug cartel. That is a very unfortunate situation.

This is one instance of many where there are places on the international border with the country of Mexico where neither country has operational control of the border, and it is time that we force them to do something about this nonsense that is taking place, the murder of Americans.

Since then, there have been other Americans murdered in Mexico. Have these been crimes solved? Of course those crimes have not been solved.

□ 1920

We were just hearing comments by the other side about being at war in Afghanistan and Iraq. It is true. We go to war and fight the battles in other countries, Afghanistan and Iraq, we defend the borders of other nations. Maybe it is time we come home and defend our own borders and protect our borders as well as defending borders in Afghanistan and Iraq, because it is the first duty of government to protect the people.

So what do we need? We need more boots on the ground. We need National Guard troops on the ground. We need more Air National Guard, Coast Guard, and we need the help of the Border Patrol to protect the dignity and sovereignty of the United States to keep the drug cartels from bringing that cancer into the United States, because it is the first duty of government to protect the people, and it is about time we protect all the citizens of this country.

And that's just the way it is.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Oregon (Mr. DEFAZIO) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Mr. DEFAZIO addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Ohio (Ms. KAPTUR) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Ms. KAPTUR addressed the House. Her remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from New Jersey (Mr. SMITH) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Mr. SMITH of New Jersey addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from New Jersey (Mr. GARRETT) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Mr. GARRETT of New Jersey addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Kansas (Mr. MORAN) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Mr. MORAN of Kansas addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

ADDRESSING THE CONCERNS OF AMERICA

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the Speaker's announced policy of January 6, 2009, the gentleman from Texas (Mr. GOHMERT) is recognized for 60 minutes as the designee of the minority leader.

Mr. GOHMERT. Mr. Speaker, it is such a pleasure and honor to be back here after our recess. Obviously there are matters of concern for all Americans. Obviously since we were here last, the voters have spoken, and spoken pretty loudly.

But there are a number of things that concern Americans. There have been significant Tea Party groups and organizers here talking. It looks like those folks have found out that Americans have voices, and they can be heard.

One of the great things I think that has been realized across America is once again it is being acknowledged that the people are the government. Every couple of years we have a hiring day to hire servants who will step up and do the will of the government, the people, as directed by the people.

Well, they have forgotten for a while that hiring day is Election Day, and you shouldn't go into it unless you are properly prepared, as any good employer would be, by reading the resumes, talking to the candidates, doing interviews and seeing who would be the best hire to be the public servant from that area, the employee. Boy, their voices were heard this year.

So it is quite reassuring. And I am pleased to work with folks across the aisle, I know we all are, to move forward with the things that the American people have once more said are very important.

If you go back to November of 2006, you find out the people really haven't changed their opinion much. They made it clear in November of 2006 that they were not going to tolerate the deficit spending that the Republican majority was doing. They didn't care who was in the majority. They still don't. They want the deficit spending to stop.

They wanted it to stop in November of 2006, so they made their voices clear and said, okay, Democrats, you have promised us that if we make you the majority, you have promised to end the

deficit spending, because the Republicans, my goodness, they have run \$100 billion, \$200 billion deficits in one year. It was outrageous. Who knew that within 4 years that a Democratic administration would be deficit spending done by Republicans on steroids, ten times the kind of deficit that was anticipated in one year. We can't continue as a country with that kind of spending going on. It has to be stopped.

But we were hearing in the last week the cry of people across America too about this lame duck session. Now, it is nice, we had some lovely votes tonight: The Copyright Cleanup, Clarification, and Corrections Act; recognizing the 50th anniversary of Ruby Bridges desegregating a previously all-white public elementary school—very worthwhile; and the third vote tonight, honoring the 30th anniversary of the Bayh-Dole Act, which it sounds like most people don't know what that was.

But, nonetheless, people are scared that it is going to get a lot more serious than that, because they made their voices heard in the election. We don't want people coming at us with that crap-and-trade bill and saying we are going to shove this down your throats like we did the health care bill. They didn't want the health care bill. They thought they made it clear, but they were not listened to.

They made it clear they don't want the elimination of what my wonderful elementary, junior high, and high school teachers, who nearly all of them were supporters of the Democratic Party, taught. All of those teachers made clear in my growing up that a very important foundation in any democratic republic like ours is the secret ballot. Now we still have this bill out there, the card check bill, that will eliminate secret ballots.

We can just think back in our own Chamber here to the race for majority leader between STENY HOYER and John Murtha, the late John Murtha. Speaker PELOSI, speaker-to-be PELOSI had made clear she wanted John Murtha to be her majority leader. They seemed to have worked closely on the issue of bashing President Bush over the military operations and trying to stop him at every turn. In return, he was named speaker-to-be by PELOSI as her choice to be majority leader.

Well, who in their right mind would go against someone who is clearly so adept at using political power as the gentlelady from San Francisco, if she knew who was going to go against her choice? But the fact is, like the Republican Caucus, the Democratic Caucus used a secret ballot, so the people in the Democratic Party after the November 2006 elections were free to choose the person they most wanted to be the majority leader, and that ended up being the gentleman from Maryland, Mr. HOYER.

Had a similar card check bill been shoved through this Congress to force the Democratic Party to have the secret ballot eliminated, then I think

you could anticipate that the late John Murtha would have been majority leader, and the will of the Democratic Members in this body would have been overwhelmed simply because such a primary component to a democracy was removed, the secret ballot.

We don't need to remove the secret ballot so that might will make right, instead of right standing on its own. The ballot has to be secret in any organization in which anyone wants it to be secret. Take Robert's Rules of Order, of the requirement to have a secret vote.

Of course, out here when we are doing the people's business, it can't be secret, because we are employees, we are servants, sent up here to do the people's will, so it shines up on the wall exactly how we vote when we vote.

But one of the things that people should have learned after this November election, including Senators that are up for election 2 years from now, is if you jam another one of these bills down somebody's throat, the people's throats across America, as you did health care, you will be looking for a place to retire or another job.

Now, one thing: If they do ram through the crap-and-trade bill as it passed through the House with 300 pages of amendments filed at 3-something a.m. in the morning, where we didn't have time to read them all, I was able to get to the point in the bill, I think it was around page 900-something, where there was a fund created in there to help pay people who lose their jobs as a result of that bill. Although we heard from people across the aisle no one would lose their jobs as a result of that bill, it turns out the people that actually wrote that bill, whatever special interest group it was, perhaps Wall Street, because they are going to be engorged with riches if that bill passes and more union jobs will be lost, it will be a disaster for working America.

□ 1930

But whoever wrote it realized there are going to be a lot of good Americans lose their jobs if that bill passes. And if you go over a little further, there was a fund that would pay for moving expenses if people lost their job as a result of that bill and they could move within the United States to a place to get a job. Unfortunately, it didn't help people move to China and India and Argentina and other places where the jobs really moved.

So the good news for those in the Senate perhaps helped by anybody in the House, if they try to ram that crap-and-trade bill through during this short lame-duck session then the good news is there is a provision in that bill that will help them with their moving expenses and perhaps to give them a subsidy until they find another job because there is no question there's going to be people lose their job as a result of that bill if they vote for it during this lame-duck session when the public has

made very clear, Don't you dare. So we'll see what happens.

But I see my good friend from Texas, also a former district judge, as was I, and I am proud to yield such time as he may use to my good friend from Texas (Mr. POE).

Mr. POE of Texas. I appreciate your yielding time, Judge GOHMERT. Yes, on November 2 the American public, the American people, the American voters went to the polls and they voted. And it's a good thing that they vote, and we have the right to vote. As you mentioned, Judge GOHMERT, the right to vote in this country is sacred. And we should always treat it that way to make sure that in all elections that the voting box and the voting ballot are sacred and only valid voting takes place anywhere in the United States.

I heard a lot of comments, as did many Members of Congress—probably all Members of Congress—during the recess before the election, and one of the biggest concerns was the runaway spending that the government seems to be addicted to. And it seems to be an addiction of spending somebody else's money—the taxpayers' money—people who work every day and go out and try to support their families.

One startling statistic, Mr. Speaker, is that for every dollar that the government spends on something, whether it's a good project or it's a worthless project, for every dollar the government spends, forty-two cents of that dollar is borrowed money. So we don't have the money. The bank is broke. And we can't print it fast enough. So we have to borrow the money. Forty-two cents on every dollar. Now that's kind of hard to understand how much that is, but that's a lot of money. Almost half of what we spend is borrowed money. But that forty-two cents amounts to approximately, every year, just on the interest payment of that forty-two cents, \$600 billion. That's with a B. Now we're talking about real money—\$600 billion.

The war in Iraq I understand so far has cost up to \$720 billion total for the entire Iraqi war. But yet just the interest American taxpayers have to pay on that forty-two cents is around \$600 billion every year. And, of course, who does that money go to? It goes to our good friends, the Chinese, who own most of our debt. And there are other countries that we borrow money from, too. It puts us in a bad national security position when we have to go overseas and ask countries to lend us some more money. The American public, I think, is tired of those days and want the borrowing, the spending, and of course the taxes to all stop where they are. I hope Members of this body in January have heard the American people and that we get our house in order and we quit spending somebody else's money and reduce the size of government, get government out of our lives, and have government work for us instead of work against us, as so many people have said.

One of the other two things that I heard during the recess, or the break, before the election was the concern that people had about this lame-duck session, that we are now a part of the lame-duck session where we have come back and there's a lot of legislation that hasn't been addressed, and people are concerned about Members of Congress on both sides of the aisle who have been defeated still here to vote on legislation even though the public has not returned them for the next Congress. Maybe one thing that we need to do in future Congresses on election year, the Federal election year, the even year, that the session of Congress end on election day, therefore there is no lame-duck session because Congress adjourns on election day and doesn't return until the following January. Therefore, we prevent some of the concerns that people all over the country have mentioned about people returning in both parties who have been defeated in their elections.

The third issue, of course, as you know, Judge GOHMERT, in Texas, although the economy was the number one issue for most people in the United States, in our State the number one concern among voters was the lack of border security with our neighbors in Mexico. And we've heard all of the recent cases of Americans being murdered just on the other side of the border. And, of course, there are Mexican nationals that are getting murdered as well. And they're not all members of the drug cartel or affiliated with the drug cartel. They're just good folks trying to earn a living as well, but they get in the way of the drug cartels. And it seems to me that this is a national security issue. And people who say that the border is secure, I invite them to go with me down to the Texas-Mexico border and then you can make up your mind firsthand.

Of course, earlier we talked about the situation on Falcon Lake, this massive lake. Nobody is on the lake on either side of the border because it's not safe. The safest thing on Falcon Lake are the fish because nobody's out there fishing and those bass are probably getting rather large by now. And that's an unfortunate situation for not only Americans but Mexican nationals as well.

And we also now hear that we have the extortion racket taking place on the American side. There are reports that Americans of Hispanic descent living on or near the American border are being extorted of money to protect some relative they have on the other side of the border. And that protection racket is being run, we understand, by, of course, the drug cartels.

So you've got money and guns going south of the border and you've got people and drugs coming north of the border. And their operational control of the border is by the drug cartels. You see, the Mexican Government doesn't protect their border any better than we do because that's how come guns can

get in. Of course, I don't know if the Mexican Government complains about the money coming south of the border or not. But either way, that money is illegally going back into Mexico by the drug cartels.

So what do we need to do? I think we ought to put more boots on the ground. The Border Patrol does as good a job as we'll let them do, but they need some help. It is a national security issue, and we need to put the National Guard on the border and allow them to do their job to prevent people from coming into the United States, especially the drug cartels, who have operational control of portions of the Texas-Mexico border and other portions of the border in Arizona, New Mexico, and California as well.

To show you how serious and how dangerous it is to be living or be in one of the cities in Mexico near the border, you've got in El Paso—and I don't know, Mr. GOHMERT, if you were stationed at Fort Bliss or not—but you have Fort Bliss in El Paso, Texas, a military base where our troops come and go from Fort Bliss to Iraq and Afghanistan. They go off to war in Iraq and Afghanistan. They come back to Fort Bliss, but they cannot cross the river and go into Juarez, Mexico, because it's off limits to people in the military. So we send our young men and women off to war, but they can't cross the river into our neighbor's country because it's too dangerous. And that's an unfortunate situation not just for Americans but certainly an unfortunate situation for Mexican nationals who just want to survive on their side of the border as well.

So it's become a national security issue. It is an issue of great concern to people along the border. And I hope more Americans understand how the border has become in places a place of really no-man's land except for the drug cartels who shoot their way across the border and shoot anybody that gets in their way.

And I will yield back.

□ 1940

Mr. GOHMERT. As I'm sure my friend knows, Juarez, just across the border from El Paso, which you've been discussing, is now called the murder capital of the world. There were 2,600 deaths in one year, last year, in Juarez. We didn't have that many American soldiers die in Iraq in a year. Yet right across the border from El Paso, right across the little river, is Juarez, the murder capital of the world. It is outrageous.

I never had the opportunity to be stationed at Fort Bliss. I had friends in the Army who were, and they always enjoyed Fort Bliss. I was at Fort Benning for my 4 years that I owed the military for my scholarship at A&M. It is amazing to me that we have the greatest military in the world, in the history of the world—they're the best equipped, the best trained military in history—and yet you go look at our

border, at specifically the 32-mile stretch in Arizona that is national park area on the north side—Mexico is on the south side—and it's wilderness area. It's considered such. It's classified in the U.S. as wilderness area. So you can't take a vehicle. You can't take anything mechanical. The only people who use vehicles in that area are the violent drug smugglers. Then this administration, instead of helping Members of Congress and the President keep his oath—we're not providing a defense against all enemies foreign and domestic—they're putting up signs that, in essence, say, This area is used by violent drug smugglers who are illegally in our country, so we would recommend that American citizens use parks north of Interstate 8.

Excuse me. This is American soil. When anyone armed attacks American soil, it's an act of war. We've got people who are coming into the United States who have taken over part of our property, and the best this administration can do is put up a sign that says, Why don't you American citizens use the area north of Interstate 8 because we've just given this over to drug smugglers.

The only good news I see out of that is, for so long, I've been greatly concerned with the hypocrisy of this administration and its telling Israel, Just let Palestinians build illegal settlements and take over areas that are not theirs. Just let them take over. I thought how hypocritical for our U.S. administration to tell Israel, Just let people take over areas of your country they're not authorized to take over, because we would never allow that here in the U.S.

This brings me to the only good thing about violent illegal alien drug smugglers taking over American soil: At least we're not hypocritical anymore when we tell Israel just to let people take over land that's not theirs, because now this administration can say, Look, Israel. We're doing it here. We're letting people take over American soil that they shouldn't, so you can do it, too.

The fact is, of course, it shouldn't happen in either place. We have taken an oath to defend this country, this Constitution, against all enemies, foreign and domestic, and that includes illegal drug smugglers who are armed to the teeth at our border regions. We have an obligation. We took an oath.

Mr. POE of Texas. Will the gentleman yield?

Mr. GOHMERT. I will yield to my friend.

Mr. POE of Texas. Well, I think that the current plan really is a two-part plan. The plan isn't just to erect a few signs in Arizona, saying, We can't take care of you. Travel north of Interstate 8 and, as you mentioned, really secede the land south of Interstate 8 to the drug cartels. That may be part of the plan. That's plan A of a two-part plan.

Plan B, though, is: We're also, as the government, going to sue States that try to defend themselves.

So put up some signs and sue States that try to protect their citizens, like the State of Arizona, where both of these incidences are occurring.

I think it is tragic that the United States Government has gone to court and has spent who knows how much taxpayer money in suing a State that wants to protect the people of that State and wants to enact State laws that do what the Federal Government is supposed to do but which obviously it won't or cannot do.

Mr. GOHMERT. Well, I appreciate your bringing that point up. I overlooked part B of that plan, but that's what has happened, and that's a great point.

As my friend knows—but perhaps the Speaker is not aware—Judge POE was one of the best known and probably would have been one of the best known judges, purveyors of justice, in all of Texas history, and I know my friend, Judge POE, knows all about the case of *Terry v. Ohio*.

From that case, we got what law officers were taught to be a Terry Stop, which is where they can stop people and get identification. If anybody cares to go back to the sixties and read that opinion and then read the Arizona law, they'll actually find out that what Arizona passed is not near as intrusive as what a Terry Stop can be. I mean they've got guards within that bill that keep it from even reaching the extent of a full Terry Stop and of the authorization of law officers to use a Terry Stop.

So I've just been intrigued. Here you have an administration that refuses to follow the law, refuses to defend the law, refuses to defend sovereign American territory, and then takes that added step, as my friend points out, and sues a State that is just trying to protect its citizens.

It is heartbreaking, as I know my friend and I have tried kidnapping cases, to find out that an American city is the second biggest capital for kidnappings in the world—Arizona. You would think that any President who is trying to do his duty to this country would be outraged that people were being kidnapped in numbers in Phoenix which were bigger than in known organized crime refuges around the country.

Phoenix, Arizona?

You would think a President would come riding to the rescue, and all America would thank him and be grateful that they had elected a man who would come in and follow his oath and protect them from having a city in his country in which so many people are kidnapped. We are hearing every day about ransoms being demanded after kidnappings in Third World areas and in the Middle East. We heard on the news this morning about another kidnapping incident and ransom and about a ransom being paid. Yet it's not halfway around the world. It's going on in Arizona.

Then, as my friend pointed out earlier in his 5-minute speech about the

poor Mexican investigator who gave his life just trying to look into the murder of an American citizen on Falcon Lake, I mean what does it take to provoke a President to fulfill his duty to protect this country? I really don't know. If that doesn't do it, what does it take?

Mr. POE of Texas. Yes. Investigator Rolando Flores, from Mexico, had just started the investigation into the death and murder of David Hartley when he was beheaded. Of course, when the Zetas and other drug cartel members behead someone and then throw his body in a place like in front of the police station or city hall, it's to send a message.

□ 1950

And they sent a message and they sent a message first to the Government of Mexico: Back off, Falcon Lake is ours. And 5 weeks later, it looks like the Mexican government backed off. No one's ever been held accountable for that homicide. The body was never found of David Hartley, and so that was the warning of unfortunately an obviously good man, Investigator Rolando Flores, but it was also a message to the United States, that Falcon Lake belongs to them. It doesn't belong to Mexico. It doesn't belong to the United States. But portions of it, right there in the middle, have operational control by the Zetas at night, and it belongs to them.

Apparently, that message has gotten to our government as well because 5 weeks later it doesn't seem like anything has occurred to improve the situation. In the meantime, more people, Mexican nationals and American citizens, have been murdered on the border on the Mexican side. I would hope that we won't need more people being murdered, regardless of their nationality, on the border to get the attention of most Americans and Members of this House that this is a national security issue. It goes back to the basics that it is the government's responsibility to protect the country, and I don't see, in my opinion, that we are protecting the people of the United States by the way the border is insecure, and we need to do whatever is necessary to secure our side of the border and our sovereignty and also to help Mexico rid itself of the corruption that it has in the government and in law enforcement.

It's a tough job to be over there and be an honest cop. We need to help them as well and work both sides because we have a mutual responsibility I think with Mexico and they with us to protect the safety of Americans and Mexican nationals who live along the border.

I'm sure you've traveled there, as well as I have, and when you go to those small towns, people are afraid. They're just afraid, and nobody should have to live that way in fear of some narco-terrorist coming across whenever they want to with automatic weapons, bringing those drugs into the United States, and then, whenever they

want to go back, they just cross back into Mexico because the Mexican government has the same issues we do about insecure borders.

Mr. GOHMERT. I appreciate my friend mentioning that, and certainly I know he travels to the border area of United States with Mexico on the Texas line, but just from personal example, I am routinely, at least once a year, down in that area. And for years, anytime I was down near Laredo with friends, we would cross the border into Nuevo Laredo and get some great Mexican food and walk around, and you could get some real bargains of different things around there. So my family always knew, when I came back from the area, I was going to bring back gifts from Nuevo Laredo, and yet I know at least in the last 10 years we have not crossed over into Nuevo Laredo. All the indications are that you just don't do that anymore; it's too risky.

So I would like to get back to the point where our friends to the south had safe enough areas where we could go back and forth without worrying about it, but it's not to that point right now.

I would also submit, I know there are people who have said repeatedly in the last year, we really wish that both sides of the aisle would work together, but now we've seen, you know, somebody is just not protecting the country, not protecting our sovereignty and our land, running up a \$1.6 trillion deficit in 1 year, doing all those things. We understand you have got to fight that and it can't be bipartisan if one side is just insistent on doing that.

But I have a strong feeling that my friend, Judge Poe, and I would absolutely agree that if this President stepped up and said this situation will not stand where violent people on the Mexico-United States border intimidate, kill, kidnap, come across into our side, bring poison through drugs into America, we will not let that stand, I wouldn't care that he's a Democrat. I would stand up and give the greatest standing ovation, do anything we could to help and support a President doing the job he was sworn to. And I hope and pray that this President doesn't wait for someone to replace him in 2 years, that he will step up and say, you know, folks, I know I haven't done it in the past and I've let the violence go on too long, but it comes to an end and here's what we're going to do to stop it and step up and actually stop it. I have a feeling my friend wouldn't care either what party he was from. We would be in total support and do anything we could to help him.

I yield to the gentleman.

Mr. POE of Texas. Yes, of course, this is an issue that's not partisan issue. As my friend, former Sheriff Rick Flores has said, this is not a Republican or Democrat issue. This is a red, white, and blue issue. He used to be a border sheriff in Laredo.

There are those who say, well, the answer is this, don't go to Mexico; it's

too violent so don't go down there. Well, first of all, I don't think that's a realistic point of view, in other words, it's okay for people in Mexico to be violent and the drug cartels to have their way and try to run roughshod over the Mexican military and law enforcement. I think that's an insensitive comment regarding our neighboring country, Mexico. They are our neighbors. We ought to be concerned about what takes place down there.

But also that comment is a lack of understanding of the border culture. The border culture, especially in Texas and I'm sure this is true in Arizona, New Mexico and maybe California, goes back hundreds of years where there is cross-border travel, and we need and want cross-border travel. I think we should have legitimate travel across our border into Mexico and Mexico into the United States as long as it's verified that the people are coming in with permission.

But many families have citizens who live in Mexico and Mexican nationals and American nationals and they're related and they want to go back and forth across the border, and this type of attitude, well, don't go into Mexico, that's just telling family members on this side, you can't go see relatives on the other side. And that is not the situation we want to be in and to say that that's their problem, it's not our problem. It is our problem because we need to be good neighbors and we need to help in every way we can to secure the border. When we have a secure border, it helps not only the United States but it also helps Mexico as well.

We should be concerned about the violence in Mexico, not just because it's coming over into the United States, because it does affect Mexican nationals and it affects Mexican nationals who have relatives and family members on the American side of the border. So it is a complex issue, and verified border security, making sure that people don't cross without permission, is something that we have talked about for a long time in this Congress. And as my grandfather used to say, When all is said and done, more is said than done and not much has happened.

So we secure the border first, and then we work on those other issues, but it's certainly something that I think is a national security issue. I wish we had stronger leadership from our government to secure that southern border of the United States because a lot of good people on both sides of the border are losing their lives because of the government's failure to act, other than put up some signs and sue States that try to defend and protect their citizens because the government doesn't.

Mr. GOHMERT. Thank you. I appreciate so much that perspective from my friend from Texas, Judge POE. It is outrageous what's going on. It is outrageous that we're allowing that kind of danger to permeate our border and we do nothing about it. It's time to get something done.

One other issue that I would like to get into in the remaining 20 minutes that we have here tonight is the tax rates. I heard my friend across the aisle taking that up in a 5-minute speech he gave earlier tonight, and he was saying that Republicans want to cut the current tax rate for the highest wage earners to 35 percent.

□ 2000

I have great respect for my friend. But the fact is, the highest tax rate right now is 35 percent. What we are trying desperately to avoid is the biggest tax increase in American history.

Now, Art Laffer—I think one of the most brilliant economists in the United States—did an incredible job in helping President Reagan steer our economy out of an economy worse than we have now. Because I remember well, during my time in the Army, we had more than 10 percent unemployment, we had more than 10 percent inflation, and interest rates were far above 10 percent. It was a rough time in America. And yet with Art Laffer's advice and guidance, President Reagan was able to turn the economy around completely within 3 years.

If President Reagan had taken Art Laffer's advice and in 1981 had cut taxes 30 percent, as Art Laffer points out, we could have had the whole economy turned around in '81. But since the Democrats had the majority and President Reagan had to negotiate to get to a 30 percent tax cut—and that full 30 percent didn't kick in until the last 20 percent was added to the 10, and the half-percent from the 2 years before—in 1983, the full 30 percent kicked in, and that's when the economy recovered. If we had done the full 30 percent in 1981, the recovery would have been then. It would have saved 2 years of absolute disaster economically in this country. But we didn't do that.

And, as Dr. Laffer pointed out back in January of this year to a small group of us, he felt like, by November, there would be signs of a recovery because on January 1, unless we do something quickly, the biggest tax increase in American history would take place. Capital gains would go up by 33½ percent from 15 to 20 percent. It will absolutely devastate this economy. Every marginal rate goes up. The death tax comes back in full from 0 to 55 percent. So his comment, as I understood him, was that it would look like a recovery because people were starting to sell things and cash things out and get in a position for the biggest tax increase in American history on January 1. And it would look like a recovery, but it wouldn't be a real recovery. It's just people trying to get in position, take gains now this year before this massive tax increase.

So with respect and due deference to my friend, we're not talking about a tax cut here. We're talking about keeping the same tax rates. If my friends across the aisle—as the majority until the end of the year—were willing to

talk about a true tax, a drop of 35 percent to 30, that would be fantastic. Because we know from history, when President Kennedy did it, President Reagan did it, President Bush did it, every time there was a meaningful tax cut, the Treasury of the United States exploded. It went higher than it had ever gone before each time.

The problem was not in lowering the taxes, which increased the economy—it gave people more income. That was not the problem. The Treasury was bigger than it had ever been. The problem was that we began to spend money like we had never spent before, and each time we got into higher deficits because we weren't controlling spending. Had we increased the revenue by cutting taxes and controlling spending, we would have had a balanced budget immediately. It would have been fantastic. But that's not what happened. We have seen that in Ireland. They had a tax decrease previously, years ago, and manufacturing jobs flooded into Ireland. But they didn't control their spending as they should, and now they're in trouble. So that's the key, control spending.

And I know there are those who say, We should go back to 2008 for the budget. I'm not one of those people because I remember as a freshman in 2006 being beat up by people across the aisle because we were spending way too much money. And since I know we could go back and capture speeches from the RECORD of friends across the aisle who said we were spending far too much money in 2006, we needed to cut that deficit spending. Since I know people across the aisle said that, then I submit humbly we go back to the 2006 budget, the one they complained about, saying it was spending too much money. We go back to that one. If it was spending too much money, then surely there couldn't be much objection across the aisle. If we're going back to that one, that they said spent too much money—of course that was before the ensuing budgets that the Democratic majority produced, which doesn't include this year when they didn't live up to the requirement to produce a budget. But these more recent budgets were just deficit spending on steroids, and it's got to stop. Solution, go back to 2006.

You know, since my wife and I cashed out our assets, retirement accounts and all, for us to run for Congress, you know, that's what responsible people do when you have to pay things. You cash out assets. I agree with Art Laffer. It's time to start cashing out the things we bought as a government that we had no business, if we're a true free market country, of ever buying. We divest ourselves for a big price of Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac. We divest ourselves of the car company ownership we currently have. There's no way that's not a socialist activity when the government takes over private enterprise.

And I know the President has such close friends in the current Speaker,

and our friends across the aisle have such dear friends on Wall Street, and that's why they donated four to one—four times more to the President, current President and the Democratic majority, than they do to Republicans. I get it. They're the friends. They work together. In fact, they're such close friends, the guys on Wall Street don't mind so much when the President and the Democratic majority bash their friends over and over and over here in Washington because their friends know that's the price. Getting bashed verbally allows them to keep funneling money in massive amounts to Wall Street, including through the Federal Reserve, including managing government money so that Goldman Sachs, of course, was able to have the biggest profit in their history last year. Who knows how good it was this year. Good for Wall Street, good for Goldman Sachs. Heck, their investment of giving four times more to this President and the Democratic majority than they do Republicans paid in droves for them. It just was great. It may be another banner year for them now, but it's got to stop. Americans are getting hurt across the country. It's got to stop.

And so one of the other things we've seen—people don't remember so much—but in January of 2009 when this President took over and the Democratic majority in this House had had a 2-year headstart, and because of the terrible example set by the prior Republican President in pushing through a \$700 billion Wall Street bailout, they were able to push through what was thought to be about an \$800 billion stimulus, porkulus, whatever one may wish to call it, which turns out now \$900 billion, maybe \$1 trillion. We're still not sure. It's through the roof. People notice that. It made voters irate, and they showed that in November of this year.

But most people didn't notice the next week, the \$400 billion land omnibus bill. What does that mean? It means the Federal Government was going to take \$400 billion and buy more land.

□ 2010

The Federal Government already owns more than half of the land west of the line through Texas to North Dakota. And yet they want to buy more land. When you run a deficit that this administration has been running, then it's time to say, you know what, we shouldn't be buying land.

And we haven't found out yet just how much of that \$400 billion has been squandered buying land, doing sweetheart deals with people they want to do them with and buying their land. But whatever has been bought ought to be sold. Whatever has not been spent needs to be cut off.

Some have said, well, where would you get the \$700 billion to avoid raising these massive taxes?

They don't get it. They need to check the current news articles about States

and cities that have raised taxes on wealthy people, like I will never be, but raised taxes on them. They ended up losing money by raising taxes, which takes you back to the Laffer Curve. You can only raise the taxes so much, which keeps increasing the Federal revenue. But once you cross that threshold where you've taxed too much, and you add tax beyond that, then you've hurt the economy and the tax revenue decreases.

So my friends across the aisle may try, in this lame-duck session, to do the unthinkable and raise taxes on people, force taxes to go up by playing a class warfare game, playing the game that our Founders detested because all Americans were Americans. No Americans were hyphenated back then. They were just Americans; which is why, on our great seal, the ribbon on the eagle's mouth has three Latin words, *E Pluribus Unum*: Out of many, one. We come together as one.

It's time to stop the class warfare. It's time to stop. It's time to stop luring young women into a rut from which they can never get out by saying, come on, come on, keep having babies out of wedlock, we'll keep paying you for them, and you'll get to a level of income as a single mom, with children, that will never go up. You have no hope of getting out of that hole. That's what we saw for 30 years from the Great Society legislation to the mid-90s, until welfare reform took place.

Cast it any way you want to, but the fact is, when welfare reform took place, for the first time in 30 years, single women with children had income that, when adjusted for inflation, went up, went up dramatically.

And now the unthinkable has occurred this year in the ObamaCare bill. They included the rescinding of the welfare reform that was done by the Republican—new Republican majority in the 90s. It was taken away.

We have now sentenced young women, single moms, desperate to get out of their rut, to remain in their rut for the rest of their lives, or until such time as we remove those enslaving provisions from the ObamaCare, and allow single moms with children to once again get back on the uphill climb with making more income after adjusted for inflation than they had in the 30 years before with the Great Society legislation.

I know it was well intentioned back in the 60s. I get it. I understand that. It was because of hearts full for young women trying to raise children with deadbeat dads that wouldn't contribute. I get that. But what was done instead was sentence these sad situations to a hole they couldn't get out of.

It's time to do what a government is supposed to do. I know some don't believe in the Bible, but, for those that do, you look at Romans 13. A government is different from individuals. It's not to turn the other cheek. It's not to steal people's money by passing a law

that allows you to steal their money against their will and give it to charities that only the government supports. That's not part of it.

It is supposed to protect the people, punish evil, and really incentivize good conduct and to help people reach their potential. Instead of enslaving young women, as the Great Society legislation did, good grief, we should have incentivized them to finish their education.

Instead of having 99 weeks of unemployment insurance to pay people not to work, and, yes, I know there are people who are out of work who have been trying for hours and hours every day to find new employment, but the overall studies don't indicate that that's the average. That's the exception. Generally, people only spend less than an hour a day or less than an hour a week until the last couple of weeks of their unemployment, then they begin to seek employment.

If we're going to do what some would consider the biblical approach of government, to punish evil but reward and incentivize good conduct, then we would eliminate the marriage penalty. Why penalize marriage?

And we would incentivize people finishing their education, not paying them to have babies out of wedlock and not to finish school. We would be incentivizing them to reach their God-given potential before it's too late. That's what a caring government does. That's what it should do. That's what it ought to be about. End the class warfare.

Now, I was asked recently, well, now, you've advocated eliminating the Department of Education. And yet you've also talked about schools ought to provide vocational training. Right on both counts. \$68 billion budget, throw another \$10 billion in there this year, and for what? Pays the Department of Education, have lots and lots of bureaucrats, take a hunk of the money for themselves, dole out the rest.

And I get it. I've got friends, Republicans, Democrats on school boards across the country who've said we've become so enslaved, so reliant on Federal money, we'll be broke as a school system if you cut off the funds immediately.

So what I think would be more fair, would be more constitutional is just say, we eliminate the Department of Education, and then we'll take that money and we will have a formula to distribute it to the schools across the country. And they'll get a lot more money. And then over, say, a 5-year period—I'm flexible—we could compromise on what would be a good way to do it. You provide a formula that the States and the people, under the 10th Amendment, pick up their obligation to support education and take it away from the Federal Government. We cut the required contributions to other areas, whether it's Medicaid or something else. We incentivize them to take over their constitutional obliga-

tion. Since education's not an enumerated power under the Constitution, it's reserved under the 10th Amendment to the States and people.

Let the local control take over, because when there was no Federal control and when I was going through school, high schools had vocational training. You didn't have to go to college to make a great living. You could study auto repair at our high school. You could learn to be a carpenter. You could learn to weld. You could learn all kinds of great trades and go immediately into a good job, and you're way ahead in income than those people that went to college. In four or five years eventually they catch up and went further with the money they received. But they were great livings. And we need people doing those jobs.

And one final comment as my time is about to expire: I heard Donald Trump say on Greta Van Susteren that the solution is to put a 25 percent tax on everything we buy from China. I couldn't believe it. You're going to start a trade war with somebody we owe over \$1 trillion to? You think that's smart? You don't realize we'll lose great jobs, union jobs, nonunion jobs across America?

□ 2020

How about, instead, doing something that doesn't trigger a trade war, that doesn't cause us to be penalized around the world? How about, instead, eliminating the 35 percent tariff we put on our own products for people in other countries trying to buy them? It is called a corporate tax.

If you eliminate the 35 percent tariff we have got on our own products, union jobs and nonunion jobs will come flooding back into America, because we could compete with anybody if you take off that insidious tax that tells people across America: You don't have to pay it; the evil corporations will pay it.

Those corporations pass it on. If they don't, they don't stay in business. Yet they have lost jobs across this country, union jobs and nonunion jobs, flooding across to other nations because of the tariff of 35 percent we slap on our own products, making them uncompetitive.

It is time to get this country competitive again. Bring back the jobs to America in the way that we know best, as a free market society, at the same time we protect our borders and stop the crazy deficit spending.

I yield back the balance of my time.

STAFF SERGEANT SALVATORE GIUNTA

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. TONKO). Under the Speaker's announced policy of January 6, 2009, the gentleman from Iowa (Mr. KING) is recognized for 60 minutes.

Mr. KING of Iowa. Mr. Speaker, I appreciate the privilege to be recognized here on the floor of the House of Representatives and be one of the first

speakers here on the floor in the aftermath of the election that took place a little over a week ago.

I have a number of things that I hope to discuss this evening; however, I would like to start this presentation this evening, Mr. Speaker, with a recognition of valor of an Iowan who tomorrow will be receiving the Medal of Honor that will be hung around his neck and presented to him by our Commander in Chief, President Obama, at a ceremony at the White House.

Mr. Speaker, I rise to honor an American hero, Staff Sergeant Salvatore Giunta. He is of the 173rd Airborne Brigade Combat Team from Hiawatha, Iowa. He will be presented with the Medal on November 16, tomorrow, at the White House by the President for distinguishing himself by acts of gallantry at the risk of his life above and beyond the call of duty.

In October 2007, while moving along a wooded area with an eight-man squad in Korengal Valley, Afghanistan, the squad was ambushed on three sides by at least a dozen Taliban fighters.

Even though Staff Sergeant Giunta received several gunshot wounds, he continued the fight, running straight into the path of gunfire to rescue one wounded soldier and saving his life as he drug him back to safety, then running again directly into the path of oncoming gunfire to overtake and kill two fighters while rescuing his brother in arms, Sergeant Josh Brennan. Even though Sergeant Brennan would later die in surgery, the family still had the comfort of knowing that his brothers were with him and had rescued him from being taken captive by the enemy.

That is a small segment of that engagement that day in October of 2007, and, Mr. Speaker, it is our privilege to express our great gratitude and to honor Staff Sergeant Salvatore Giunta.

To commemorate this gallantry and this Medal of Honor, which will be the first Medal of Honor that will be awarded to a surviving American servicemember for either of the Iraq or Afghanistan conflicts, probably the greatest supporter and cheerleader and respecter of our military, our veterans, our combat veterans, and especially our combat wounded, works in this Capitol every day reaching out to them—Albert Caswell. Albert has written a number of poems that he has presented to the wounded and to the families. He has provided a tremendous amount of comfort for those who have suffered so much for our liberty and for our freedom.

This poem is something that he sat up last night and penned. Mr. Speaker, I read this into the record out of great respect for his contribution, and also great respect for the Medal of Honor winner that tomorrow will receive that medal from the President, Staff Sergeant Salvatore Giunta. This poem is called "At Honor's Height." It reads, this:

At . . .

All, At Honor's Height!
 All in the darkness of war . . . this fight!
 All in those most sacred moments, that
 which ignite!
 When, who lives or dies . . . and but lives to
 see another sunrise . . .
 So Sal, so all depended upon you . . .
 While, against all odds . . . as you stood so
 tall, almost like a God!
 As into the face of death you ran . . .
 As did all your brothers in arms, so too, who
 on this day began . . .
 Such Brilliance, Such Light, So True This
 Sight . . . your hue!
 All At Honor's Height, as were you!
 Hooah . . . Airborne! With but your badge of
 courage worn!
 As all in that moment, as when your faith so
 chose to crest!
 All in your actions, and deeds . . . to answer
 freedom's quest!
 As your heart so sailed, up to new heights
 . . . so now!
 All in your most selfless light!
 Its Highest Point, At Honor's Height!
 Turning The Darkness, Into The Light!
 To win that day! To win that night!
 All At Honor's Height!
 For there can be no greater gift!
 Nor then there, no more blessed thing as
 this!
 Then, but the will to give up one's life!
 All for, your Brothers in Arms . . . this most
 sacred sacrifice!
 While, all in that moment of truth . . . by
 bringing your light . . .
 Which, so brings such tears . . . even to the
 Angels' eyes, this night!
 Ah yes you, Sal, so stand this day, all at
 Honor's Height!
 All in what you so gave . . . so brilliant and
 bright!
 For what child shall so be born, all from
 your gift in future's worn?
 Who might so save the world, or in harm's
 way so too . . . climb to such heights!
 For on this day, you and your Brother In
 Arms have so shown us all the way . . .
 To Honor's Height!

Mr. Speaker, I don't have the words to embellish the actions of Staff Sergeant Salvatore Giunta, nor do I have the words to embellish the poem that has been so brilliantly written by Albert Caswell, "At Honor's Height," to commemorate the gallantry, the bravery, the nobility of this Iowan who tomorrow will be so profoundly honored at the ceremony in the White House and the presentation of the Medal of Honor.

I have had the privilege to get to know one of our top Medal of Honor recipients in the Nation. In fact, the most decorated living American is Colonel Bud Day, also from Iowa. We happen to have three living recipients of the Medal of Honor that I claim as Iowans, and Colonel Day heads up that list as the dean of them. He was the top officer in the Hanoi Hilton in Vietnam during the Vietnam War. He is a World War II, Korean, and Vietnam veteran. He also has been an honorable and noble leader here in America that has stepped forward and worn the Medal of Honor with courage and dignity, and he has been a noble American in every day of his civilian life as well as his invested life.

He has made the advice for Medal of Honor winners that: You wear that

medal every day of your life; that when you receive the medal, everyone looks at you and wherever you go they know that you have received the Medal of Honor, so all of your behavior is observed more closely than it might be if you were perhaps significantly more anonymous. So you can cast disgrace on America or you can cast honor on America.

The Medal of Honor recipients have by and large, and in all cases that I know of, cast honor on America by their deeds, by their bravery, by their nobility, and by their actions as they proceed through the course of perhaps post-military service and being Americans in a most honorable fashion of seeking to make America a better place to live in.

□ 2030

We look forward to the future that Staff Sergeant Salvatore Giunta has and the message that he will deliver to this country as he proudly wears the Medal of Honor. I ask that this Congress stop and pause and reflect upon the sacrifice that he has made.

I think also that there are circumstances where we have lost Americans who have conducted themselves in as noble a fashion who are unrecognized. I pray that Staff Sergeant Salvatore Giunta does grow old here in America and leaves the legacy of his nobility and bravery wherever he goes as an inspiration to the young, as an inspiration to all of us. He is certainly an inspiration to me, and he should be an inspiration to us here in this Congress.

As someone says, I don't really want to have that debate. It is a brutal debate. We have never had such a thing here in this Congress. There are brutal battles in war. Lives are lost, blood is spilled, hearts are broken, destinies are changed. The destiny of America has turned for the better when the destinies of individuals are occasionally sacrificed in that noble cause. And Staff Sergeant Giunta was willing to make that sacrifice. He stepped into the gunfire over and over again. Tomorrow he steps up to receive the Medal of Honor from the Commander in Chief, the President of the United States.

I salute Staff Sergeant Salvatore Giunta, and I ask that especially the young people in America look up to him as an example. There are many others. Tomorrow we honor Staff Sergeant Giunta.

Mr. Speaker, I appreciate your indulgence on this subject matter, and I appreciate the privilege to deliver this summary of Staff Sergeant Giunta's sacrifice here on the floor.

I ask that as we go forward into the 112th Congress, we keep in mind, we get into our parochial battles here, and I mean that, of course, figuratively, because they really aren't battles by comparison. And we wear the Repub-

lican jerseys, the people on the other side wear the Democrat jerseys, and we go at each other day after day here trying to gain some kind of advantage.

This Congress, Mr. Speaker, especially over the last 2 years, but I think over the last four, and those on this side of the aisle would say, no, further back than that, has gotten away from the principle of doing the right thing for the American people and instead gotten involved in the one-upmanship that takes place when you have partisan conflict here.

I do recall coming to this Congress when I was elected and sworn in here on this floor in 2003. And I recall those 4 years, and subsequent to that, if I had a policy issue, I had constituents that had a problem that needed to be dealt with, if I had something that made a good argument for where we could take America, I took that argument to the committee or I took it to the committee chairs. I took it to members of the committee. I testified before committees to move that policy forward, Mr. Speaker, and there was an ear for a policy discussion. That ear was there on the part of the committee chairs, the members of the committee, to a certain degree with the leadership, that would seek to accommodate those concerns that I would bring forward.

I am convinced that most of the Members were in the same condition I was in. There was an ear there and the system was set up so that the wisdom of the American people could be synthesized and poured into each of the 435 Members of Congress. We would sort those issues out and raise the priorities of them, and as we brought those issues here and the priorities came to the top, this Congress acted upon those priorities. At least the process and the system was wired to do that.

Sometime in 2007, perhaps, that began to devolve. In 2007, in the beginning of that session, we did have a legitimate appropriations process where we had an open rule and a Member could write an amendment to an appropriations bill, bring it down here to the floor and introduce that amendment, and if it met the rules of the Parliamentarian, it would be deemed in order and one could force a debate and a recorded vote on an issue that had to do with an appropriations bill.

Now, that had gone on for 200 years in this Congress. And it went on in the early part of 2007, which I remember is the last time we had a legitimate appropriations process with open rules. And along about 2008, that began to get shut down. And by 2009 and 2010, it was shut down and Members of Congress, Democrats and Republicans, were shut out of the process.

Our constituents can't understand about electing someone to the United

States Congress, it a powerful seat, 1/435th of the spending and the initiation of the taxation and the deliberative proceedings that take place as directed by our Constitution, electing someone to establish that franchise, and having that franchise cut out from underneath them because the Speaker of the House had deemed that there wouldn't be any amendments on appropriations bills, there wouldn't be any open rules on appropriations results.

I am pretty sensitive to this, Mr. Speaker, because in 2007, my staff analyzed this—I didn't pay attention to it—they analyzed it and concluded that I had introduced and successfully passed more amendments than anybody else in Congress in that appropriations process of 2007. And I look back on that time and I think, where have we gone?

We have gone from having an active open rule that was consistent with the first two centuries of American process here in this Congress to a kind of system that not only is there a closed rule on appropriations bills, it has been shut off now for 2 years, but no appropriations bills. No budget. Just a continuing resolution, a CR, that is written in the Speaker's office by the Speaker's staff. And if someone can knock on the door and slip a piece of paper underneath the door, and if somebody inside there decides they want to incorporate it, you might actually be able to have your voice heard.

But the voice of the American people has been shut out, and that intransigence is one of the biggest problems we have had in this Congress.

If we don't have enough faith in the positions that we take here that we can allow open public debate, and if we can't allow amendments to be offered, debated, and voted upon so that we can perfect legislation in subcommittee, in committee, and here on the floor, then the system is dysfunctional, and it shuts out the wisdom of the American people and it puts it into a monopoly of one office, the Speaker's office, the Speaker's staff, and to the extent that any of the committees can weigh in.

That is the piece that I am hopeful will change. That is the pledges that I am hearing, that we are going to see more open rules, the appropriations process comes down with open rules, and that any Member of Congress, whether they be Democrats or Republicans, can offer essentially an unlimited number of amendments in an appropriations process so that the American people can see it is a legitimate process, we can debate those issues, we can vote them up or down, and we can move on.

Mr. Speaker, I am looking forward to this reversion back to the fresh air we had, some might say a new breath of fresh air. I would say it is reverting back to the fresh air we had. And it is high time. And all of the issues that have been debated up and down in the media, a lot of them didn't see the light of day here in this Congress, and

I am hopeful they will see the light of day.

The first issue that I am hopeful that is debated here in this upcoming 112th Congress with this incoming new freshman class, these 80-some arriving new freshmen, actually it might be in the nineties by the time we add those on the other side of the aisle too, I believe as God's gift to America, just in time. I think the cavalry has arrived.

I think we have been fighting the battle of the Alamo, and we actually held out before we got overrun. And this massive freshman class full of conviction and vigor and dreams and passion, the lifeblood of the vigor of America, is in this city now, going through orientation, getting prepared, putting their offices together, hiring their staff, finding out where everything is, positioning themselves for committee assignments, et cetera, so that they can hit the ground running here on the 4th of January, when they will swear in to the new 112th Congress in large numbers, 80-some Republican freshmen, who will bring their vigor and their legislative valor here to this floor. And they expect that their voice is going to be heard, and we need to make sure that their voice is heard and that the process is open.

It might mean long days, long nights, long debates. It might mean we get a little tired of coming back over here to vote time and time and time again. But the American people expect us to do our work, we should want to do our work, and in fact if we shrink from that, the work product that we have won't be the work product of the reflection of the wisdom of the American people, Mr. Speaker; it will be the work product then of folks that are sitting behind closed doors instead of out here in front of the C-SPAN cameras where we belong. We should be doing our business here.

But that first piece of business that I am hopeful comes out in the 112th Congress, and think it will have the full-throated support of that freshman class that is prepared to grab ahold of the levers here in the 112th Congress, I am hopeful, and I will seek to establish that H.R. 1, the first bill coming out of the chute, is the repeal of ObamaCare.

If there is any piece of legislation that symbolizes this dramatic change that has taken place here in the seats here in Congress, these 290-plus freshmen that will be seated here, most all of them Republicans, if there is any one single piece of policy that embodies that reason for the transformation, the passing of the gavel, it is the repeal of ObamaCare as the clearest example of what people have risen up against.

□ 2040

I remember 4 years ago—it will be 4 years in January—right behind me, Mr. Speaker, as the gavel was passed from Republican to Democrat; from JOHN BOEHNER to NANCY PELOSI, the incoming Speaker of the House of Represent-

atives. I remember that day. It was a historic day, the first female Speaker of the United States House of Representatives in its history. Nearly 4 years have gone by. Some would say a lot of water under the Golden Gate Bridge since that period of time, Mr. Speaker. And we have seen unpopular policy after unpopular policy come unfolded. For example, the theory that spending billions of dollars extending unemployment benefits is the best bang for the taxpayer's buck when it comes to stimulating the economy. I was not prepared to rebut such an argument. I never conceived of such a thing. But that's one of the principles that the American people know better, and they went to the polls and said, Uh-huh. We're really uneasy with that path it is going down.

The idea of pushing ObamaCare down the throats of the American people when it was clear that they had rejected it; when you think of tens of thousands of people who poured into this city I will say a year and a week ago on November 5, a little more than that now, but it was November 5, 2009. Tens of thousands of people were stacked up out here on the West Lawn of the Capitol building, swarmed around the Capitol. They swarmed down through the hallways of the office buildings. They came out here to say, Keep your hands off of our health care. We don't want ObamaCare.

This Nation has never seen the kind of resistance that we saw come out of the streets of America in opposition to a policy this was proposed. We have never seen that. And it says in the Constitution freedom of speech, religion, and the press, and the freedom to petition the government—peacefully petition the government for redress of grievances. And they did, all within the confines of the Constitution, a lot of them with the Constitution in their pocket. It was in their head and in their hearts and tears running down their cheeks because they saw what was being done to America. They saw what was being done to the Constitution. And they saw what was being done to their personal liberty and their personal freedom. And they came here to this city and to most of the big cities—in fact, most of the towns and even county seat towns in Iowa, people filled up the meetings to resist the coming of ObamaCare.

That was the summer buildup in 2009 to the vote that took place here in the House on November 7, 2009. And then we saw a vote on Christmas Eve in the Senate when HARRY REID decided that he had enough leverage on people that if they wanted to go home for Christmas vacation and see their families, they had to catch a plane on Christmas Eve. If they'd have held out until 9 o'clock that night instead of 9 o'clock that morning, a lot of those Senators would have spent Christmas here in Washington, D.C., which is what they deserved. They deserve coal in their stocking for what they did that day.

But they passed through by using the leverage that they had and with no margins to spare a health care bill that didn't match the one here in the House. But they moved the ball down the field a little ways on Christmas Eve. So that would be December 24.

And now some of us said, What do we do? How do we stop this ObamaCare juggernaut that had passed the House on November 7, 2009, and a different version of it squeaked—and squeaked through the House, too, but squeaked through the Senate on Christmas Eve morning—How do we stop it now? And I asked one of the senior Senators over on that side, What do we do now? And his answer was, Pray. And pray for a victory in the special election in Massachusetts.

I don't think very many people believed that SCOTT BROWN was going to be the next Senator from Massachusetts on Christmas Eve of 2009. And I went up to Massachusetts to participate, to the extent that I could contribute, and for 3 days up there I saw valiant constitutional conservative Americans making phone calls, one after another, lined up to make phone calls for the benefit of SCOTT BROWN's candidacy. Constitutional conservatives, tea party activists, regular Baystaters from Massachusetts. And I met couples that say, Well, I'm a teachers' union member here and my husband is a member of the electrical workers—the United Electrical Workers—and we've always walked the streets and campaigned for Democrats. Not anymore. We're campaigning for SCOTT BROWN. We've had it. We've had enough. We don't like that health care proposal that's coming, and we want to send somebody there that's going to stop it. And SCOTT BROWN pledged that he would vote against ObamaCare and he would block it.

And we know what happened. January 19 of this year SCOTT BROWN was elected to the United States Senate to fill—he always said it is the people of Massachusetts' seat. It is their seat, like any seat in the Senate or the House belongs to the people who elect their Representatives to that seat. He was humble enough in that regard. And he was precisely right. We see it as the seat that was occupied by Senator Teddy Kennedy for all of those years. A dramatic shift in the political dynamics of America took place on that day on January 19, and a lot of people thought, myself cautiously included, that that was the end of ObamaCare because they would not have the votes to move ObamaCare by a conference version back through the Senate because it had to sustain itself in a cloture vote.

And so we saw President Obama's mojo be diminished dramatically. We elected a Republican Governor in Virginia when they said it couldn't be done. And even more improbably, elected Chris Christie, a Republican Governor in New Jersey when it seemed completely improbable that

could happen. And even though he had a lead in the polls going into the last few days, a lot of us thought that something would happen to trip up Chris Christie. Well, he's the Governor. Bob McDonnell is the Governor in Virginia. That message came out loud and clear and strong. And when SCOTT BROWN was elected, it was clear that President Obama's mojo had been diminished dramatically and the prospects of America having to live under ObamaCare had also been diminished and perhaps crushed.

But the President came before the Republican conference and had a conversation that lasted about 90 minutes. And subsequent to that he called the meeting on February 25 at Blair House, which was a big square-table discussion about health care, challenging that Republicans didn't want to talk, we just wanted to disagree with the proposals that he had. Well, Republicans wanted to talk and it was the President that didn't seem to want them to talk. So I had a staff person that sat there and put it all into a spreadsheet and timed everybody's speeches. It was limited time. There was a strict rule involved. But of course the President said, I'm the President. I don't have to follow the rules that we have written for the meeting that he's hosting. He interrupted Republicans 72 times that day on February 25 at Blair House. That was the level of respect that he had for our input. But he gained some traction, and they found a way to leverage ObamaCare back at us.

From February 25 until March 23, they marched through this Congress. And finally on that day when ObamaCare passed here in the House, it didn't have the majority support of the House in order to be passed. To get enough votes to pass it they had to meet a couple of conditions. One is the President had to make the pledge or the oath that he would sign an executive order that was designed to amend the legislation that was about to pass Congress. Can you think of such a thing? Standing up to take an oath to uphold the Constitution of the United States of America, so help me God, and thinking that as a President you can write an executive order that eclipses or amends legislation that's passed by the Congress and tell them you're going to do it in advance? That's what the President did.

Now if that's not appalling enough, on top of that, another group of House Members here—Democrats—wouldn't vote for ObamaCare here on the House even with the fig leaf executive order that the President promised for the gentleman from Michigan. But they had to also have a locked-down pledge that the Senate would pass a reconciliation package that would also effectively amend the package that was coming to the House.

So, for those who didn't live through this, Mr. Speaker, I'd put it this way: ObamaCare was the first big piece of legislation that made it to the Presi-

dent's desk and was signed into law and became the law of the land that on the day of its passage didn't have the majority's support in the House of Representatives and it could not have passed the United States Senate under their current rules, but they had to do this by legislative sleight of hand to package up the three components to ObamaCare—the bill itself that started out at 1,994 pages and ended up 2,500 pages—the bill itself; the fig leaf executive order that the President promised and did sign that was supposed to prohibit the funding of abortion through ObamaCare, which we know it did not; and the third thing was the reconciliation packaged that circumvented the requirement for a cloture vote under the rules of the Senate and send it over here to the House.

□ 2050

That's what it took to give America ObamaCare.

Americans rose up on that weekend, and for 3 days they would stay on these Capitol grounds. By the thousands, they would stay outside the windows of the Rules Committee and chant, "Kill the bill. Kill the bill." When I'd say to them, "We're going to have to break this up. We can't keep this up," they would say, "We won't go until they all vote 'no.' We won't go."

These are courageous Americans who stayed here all night. If they slept at all, it was out here on the cement or maybe on the grass. They would not go until they killed the bill. There were enough Americans who poured out here—tens of thousands—and who kept that vigil around the Capitol. They surrounded the Capitol building. They joined hands and surrounded the Capitol building.

Mr. Speaker, I'm not talking about one human chain with long arms each. I'm talking about six or eight deep all the way around the Capitol building and clusters in the corners of thousands who were needed to fill the human chain around the Capitol. They came to peacefully petition the government for redress of grievances, and still the Speaker marched through the crowd with her huge, oversized gavel in her "let them eat cake" moment.

So here we are, Mr. Speaker. The American people saw all of that.

They saw the takeover of three large investment banks. They saw \$700 billion in TARP spending. They saw \$180 billion go out to AIG, the insurance company. They watched the formerly private sector, then quasi-government, now completely government-owned, -operated, -functioned, -guaranteed, and -backed up Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac, which has saddled the American taxpayers with a contingent liability of \$5.5 trillion.

They saw all of that, Mr. Speaker.

They saw as the Federal Government took over General Motors and Chrysler to operate those formerly private sector businesses for the benefit of the people affected by them. That's when

they handed the secured assets of the investors over to the unions.

The American people saw all of that, and their sense of justice was offended: the affront to the free enterprise system, the nationalization of three large banks, AIG, Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac, General Motors, and Chrysler, and the Federal Government takeover of 100 percent of the student loan program.

How? With a debate here on the floor of the House or the Senate? With hearings before committees and markups before subcommittees and committees in a process as envisioned? No. Written into the reconciliation package as a sleight of hand that came out of a circumvention of the cloture vote in the Senate and slipped over here to the House of Representatives to be stuck in as ObamaCare. That is how they took over the student loan program.

Then we saw the Federal Government, under the direction of President Obama with the magnum gavel that NANCY PELOSI regally walked through the crowds who simply wanted to maintain their freedom and liberty, nationalize our skin and everything inside it. That's ObamaCare.

The second-most sovereign thing we have is our body and our health, and the Federal Government took it over to manage it and to make it the law of the land. They nationalized our skin and everything inside it, and they put a 10 percent tax on the outside if you go to the tanning salon. There was no square inch of skin left not nationalized by this government, and the American people rose up in a peaceful way.

I have to give the American people credit, a tremendous amount of credit. In any other country in the world, if they watched their liberty go like that, they would be demonstrating in the streets like they did in Athens not that long ago or as we watched take place in France or in Great Britain, for that matter. I mean the French may have to work until age 62, and they think that's worth burning tires and cars and demonstrating over.

What do we do in America when we disagree with our government? We come to Washington, DC. We fill up the parks in America. We do rallies all over. We fly the American flag. We run the yellow Gadsden flag up alongside it, down just an inch or so from height, the yellow Gadsden "Don't tread on me" flag, and we petition the government for redress of grievances—peacefully—and they were peaceful.

When these rallies were done, when these press conferences were done, I sent staff people out with cameras to look so they could take pictures of the litter. Could they find at least a cigarette butt out there to take a picture of to show me how disrespectful it might have been? These crowds were the most respectful crowds that the park service had ever seen. They cleaned up behind them. They didn't drop anything in the first place. They looked out for each other when they

were done. They might have walked the grass down a little bit, but there wasn't any litter to pick up. They love this country. They love this beautiful Capitol. They respect the history of this Nation, of the Constitution, and of the system that we have.

They were maybe not successful in rolling back ObamaCare in November of 2009, in December of 2009, or in March of 2010, but they understood what happened. They understood that our freedom and our liberty had been marginalized by an arrogant attitude—that the people up in the Speaker's office knew best and that the American people didn't know. When the statement came that we had to pass the bill so that the American people could find out what was in it, I met a lot of people outside this Capitol, outside the beltway, who read every word of that health care bill.

Now, I wouldn't say that I've ever met anybody in or outside of the beltway who could read and understand all of its implications—that's impossible given the depth and the magnitude of it—but they understood that this was an affront to our liberty and to our freedom, that it would forever transform the way health care would be delivered in America and that it was a component of this vast overreach, this taking of our liberty and our freedom that had been initiated, oh, several generations ago. It was brought to a head several times, but never had it seen the configuration of an intense liberal President with a determination to use the majority that a happenstance of history had given him in the House and a supermajority that was filibuster-proof in the Senate.

They used it and they abused it, and the American people rose up and went to the polls and said, Enough. Enough. We're going to send people here to this Congress who understand that the Constitution is our default position, that whenever there is a question, we look back to the Constitution for guidance; and if the Constitution constrains us, we don't disregard the Constitution. What we must do is either comply with the original intent of the Constitution or take the trouble to amend it, and it takes a lot of trouble to amend the Constitution.

The Constitution needs to be our default mechanism. We have a lot of new freshmen coming in here who understand that. One of them is Bobby Schilling, from Quincy, Illinois, who understands it. The opponent whom he ran against was PHIL HARE, who famously said, Oh, the Constitution? We don't care about that.

His constituents do.

They sent their message, and they sent a new Representative here to Congress who does care about the Constitution. His colleagues in this class are 80-some strong, and all of them, I know, do care about the Constitution. When they take their oath of office, they will take it seriously. It will be something branded on their hearts, as

it should be of any Member who comes in here and who has the privilege to serve Americans. We all have to brand down our oath to uphold the Constitution of the United States of America.

I am looking forward to this class coming in, Mr. Speaker. I understand the message that has been sent by this country, and it has been sent with those new Representatives who are arriving here in Washington, D.C. They are here now, those who will be sworn in on January 4. That message is: Adhere to the Constitution. Hold on to the Constitution. Believe in it, and defend it as there have been so many who have died in its defense. We can at least stand and defend it and adhere to it. Understand also that debt and deficit, jobs and the economy are the central theme that have been flowed out here.

But the takings of our liberty in the form of the nationalization of all of these companies and entities has been an affront to the American free enterprise system. It diminishes the vigor of America to have the government running Fortune 500 companies in America with no plan to divest themselves of it and to think that the Federal Government would make decisions with a "one size fits all" formula for our health care and do that to us when we completely have the ability to manage that health care for ourselves.

□ 2100

I think there's something also that was missing on the part of the liberals here in Congress, Mr. Speaker. And that's this: That as much as the progressive movement draws its instruction from Western Europe, when the progressive movement was generated by intellectuals that visited Germany in the latter part of the 19th century and came back here and began to inject the progressive thought process with social democracy. Western European social democracy values, to keep it simple, Mr. Speaker, came to us out of Western Europe in the latter part of the 19th century. It's been debated in this country over and over again. These are the people that decided they would undermine our Constitution not by amending it but by trying to redefine its meaning and its intent. And they made the argument that it's a living and breathing document, and therefore, it has to adapt itself to the mores of the day, otherwise we couldn't possibly be burdened with something that was so rigid and structured that we would have to amend it as society evolved.

Well, I would make the statement that human nature does not change, and that if we ever get the fundamental structure of our Constitution and law correct—and for the most part, we have the fundamental structure of our Constitution correct—if we ever get it correct, then the only reasons to meet in the legislature is to make appropriations for the upcoming year or two and to make adjustments to new technology, if that's required.

But the progressives from a century and a generation ago have polluted the thought process of Americans. And the people who are progressives—and there are some 77 in this Congress, at least today, and they're listed on their Web site, and they're linked with the Democratic socialists of America. Socialists and progressives are one and the same by essentially their own admission. They miss this thing about America: We're not a dependency people. Even if the socialism was right, social democracy is right for Western Europe, it's not right for Americans. And there are a good number of reasons why it's not right. A lot of them are in the Bill of Rights.

We have guaranteed freedom, rights that come from God. They don't believe that in—well, some believe it, but it's not in anybody else's Constitution that I know of. It's in our Declaration actually here, and it's in the Iowa Constitution as a matter of clarification. But our rights come from God. They don't come from a sovereign, from a king. They don't come from government. If rights come from government and government takes your rights away, then who are we to complain? Who do we complain to? If the government takes our rights away, they're the ones that are sovereign.

But what we have here in America are God-given rights that are vested in the people. The people are sovereign, and the people then entrust the power of their sovereignty through the representative form of government, the republican form of government, and they elect those representatives to represent them here in Washington and around the country. They must guarantee a republican form of government. That is a constitutional requirement. But it's the people who are sovereign. The vigor that Americans have that come from these rights is this vigor—a lot of it's in the Bill of Rights. The freedom of speech in a full-throated way to step out on the courthouse steps and let fly with your deepest convictions without fear of a punishment that might come from the government.

I recall standing on the courthouse lawn in Sioux County, Iowa, in Orange City during the Tulip Festival. Myself and another candidate had lined up a couple of big speakers and a microphone. It's always a good crowd during the Tulip Festival. So we just started to holler up a crowd and give speeches. As we did that, more and more people started to gather. And after a little while, a fellow came out of the courthouse, and he came over and approached Representative Dwayne Alons, who represents that area and is from there. And he said, You've got to shut this down. These men can't stand here and give these speeches on the courthouse lawn because this is a polling place. Now this is the first weekend in May. There are no elections going on, no elections near. So the fact that it was a polling place during elections was really irrelevant. But the man

said, They can't be speaking here like this. This is a polling place. This is electioneering, and it's a violation of State law.

Now we're speaking away in our full-throated positions on the things that we advocated and believed in. And Representative Dwayne Alons looked at that courthouse employee, and he said, Well, if you can't exercise your right to freedom of speech here on the courthouse lawn, could you tell me just where in the world you can exercise your right to freedom of speech? That gentleman turned around and went back in the courthouse, and that's the last we heard of him.

But the vigor that comes from this freedom of speech and the confidence that we can write a letter, send out an e-mail, put it on Facebook, put it on Twitter, get on the radio, go out on the street corner and the curb, or stand at the pulpit and express our deepest, most firmly held convictions without fear of retribution or recourse that would come from government—at least in an official fashion—that is one of the essential principles of being an American that adds to our vigor. It allows us to be the people that can use our reason, our ability to rationalize, our ability to continually self-examine our culture and civilization to make these adjustments, like the American people made adjustments when that gavel was passed to Speaker PELOSI in January of 2007. They made more adjustments in 2008, and more Republicans went home, and more Democrats came. And then they watched the results of their decision, and they weren't particularly alarmed when it was Speaker PELOSI and Majority Leader HARRY REID until President Obama came in. Their decision, all right?

I sat out there on the west portico of the Capitol, and I had a great seat. And I saw the momentous time in history when the first black President of the United States was sworn in. And I felt that uplifting feeling. We had reached a milestone as a nation, and perhaps we had put race behind us. And perhaps, just perhaps, he would find a way to blend the two sides together and get us to a postpartisanship era in America. Well, the American people gave him 2 years, and they could see the pattern over and over again. It wasn't going to be postpartisanship. It was going to be more and more partisanship, and an economic theory that had been discredited since the New Deal in the 1930s by FDR. This Keynesian economist on steroids had decided he was going to spend money hand over fist in a desperate effort to try to stimulate the economy, dug us a hole deeper than the hole the Chilean miners were in, and he was still down there with that shovel digging on Election Day November 2, 2010.

The American people looked at that. They were appalled. They thought that good judgment would take over sooner, or at least some time. So they decided

the quickest and most effective way that they could take the shovel out of the President's hands was to take the gavel out of NANCY PELOSI's. And that's what happened. Debt and deficit, jobs and the economy became the order of the day. And the American people were appalled that their ability to manage their own health care had also been taken away from them and company after company had been taken over by the Federal Government. And another principle that is a pillar of American exceptionalism, the pillar of free enterprise, also was being diminished on a regular basis by—I don't know that I can say clearly that it's an anti-capitalist administration. But certainly the President surrounded himself with many anti-capitalists.

Free enterprise, another foundation of American greatness. Freedom of speech, freedom of religion. And by the way, this freedom of religion has been diminished by the IRS by the intimidation that the churches might lose their 501(c)(3) status. So pastor after pastor steps up to the pulpit. And about the time their convictions and their conscience open up the volume in their throat, they think, ooh, but what if I lose a not-for-profit status? I will be standing on the street corner preaching from the curb? Some let fly, and I am proud of them, all of them. Some pull it back and decide they're going to be more careful. And they're afraid of the tax penalties that might come if they lose their not-for-profit status. But freedom of speech is part of American vigor.

Freedom of religion is part of America's core culture. It is the moral foundation that holds our civilization together. You cannot hire enough police officers to do that job for you. It has to be part of our moral character. If you think otherwise, take a look at what happened to the police force in New Orleans during Hurricane Katrina. More police officers, more problems in that scenario. But the core of our values is tied to our Judeo-Christian faith which is the center core of American civilization. That's part of American vigor. And speech, religion, and the press, the freedom to freely assemble, and the rights to property under the Fifth Amendment, freedom from double jeopardy, the list goes on and on. That's just in the Bill of Rights.

□ 2110

That's just in the Bill of Rights.

And then we have this other vigor, this American vigor. And it's unique to us.

This situation where, I'm going to make this argument, Mr. Speaker, that Americans are a distinct race of people, a race of people. And I don't know anybody else that takes this position; but if they listen, then I think everybody that listens will take this position.

We have a distinction that characterizes us. We may look different, we may have different skin tones and different

shapes to our facial features. We may come from every—we do come from every continent on the planet. We come from hundreds of countries on the planet.

But what we have in common is we either, people that came to America bring with them the distinct vigor of their culture and their civilization. It isn't that somebody that comes from France or Italy or Argentina or Russia, wherever it might be, Sweden, that comes to the United States, it isn't that those nationalities have these unique vigorous characteristics of hardworking industrious entrepreneurs that love freedom and want to build something and put a mark on life and leave this world a better place for the next generation.

We got the dreamers from every civilization. We got the can-do spirit from every civilization. The American culture, the American Dream is built because we are the recipients of the cream of the crop of every donor civilization on the planet that sent legal immigrants here to America. And they rose up. They had to sacrifice to get here. They had to plan. They had to sometimes sell out their future to get here. But when they came here, they were determined to build something that had value. And when they saw the Statue of Liberty it meant something to them. It's a dream. It throbbed in their heart when they looked at that and they saw themselves sailing into Ellis Island. Here was this promised land. Yes, some of them thought the streets were paved with gold. But also, many of them believed that they had an opportunity to go out there and mine for that gold and pave their own streets in this country, and nobody could take away their freedom, their liberty, their property rights, and no one could put them in double jeopardy of a crime. That vigor that is from each donor civilization is part and parcel of the character of America.

I come from a number of different sources, but some of my ancestors came across the prairie in a covered wagon. They walked beside that wagon or behind the oxen, and on a good day they traveled 10 miles across the prairie where the prairie grass was high in a sea of grass. On a good day they traveled 10 miles. Why they ever decided to drive that stake in the ground where they did and declare a homestead, I don't know how that process goes through one's mind.

I've never read nor have I heard how they were thinking. But I know this: they came to the Midwest to live free or die on the prairie. They took the State motto of New Hampshire. They transposed it to the Midwest and on to the points to the West as well, where you had freedom-loving people that wanted spaces and opportunity, and they put their stake in the ground for that homesteaded 160. However they got started and they built, they built a house out of sod, and they started raising kids and putting them to work.

And they took the axe and chopped the tree stumps out and turned them into farms, and they ran cattle and they found ways to make a living. They came out to live free or die on the prairie.

And those of us who are descended from that kind of stock, we understand why. Why are my neighbors proud, independent? They don't want to be dependent upon government. They just want to have an opportunity to work and succeed and support their church and their family and their neighborhoods and their schools. That's all they ask for. The pride, the independence, the industriousness, that's what's built America. And we took the cream of the crop off all those donors civilizations, and we gave them an opportunity here under the banner of freedom and liberty. And American vigor rose up. This giant Petri dish of this experiment of freedom and liberty rose up, and here we are.

We're not a people that's suitable to be put under the yoke of socialism, or have a Federal Government dictate to us where we can or can't get our health care, or take away our shares in General Motors or Chrysler and hand them over to the Union, or have the Federal Government say that we want a guarantee that people can buy houses whether they can afford it or not, and we're going to guarantee that we're going to charge the taxpayers to pick up the difference when they can't meet those mortgages.

These people want to be free. They want to be left alone. We want to allow for the vigor of Americans to shine and to glow and project itself across this continent and across this globe.

As I've said, Mr. Speaker, with the opening remarks about Staff Sergeant Salvatore Giunta, the risk that he took running into enemy gunfire over and over again to save his fellow troops, miraculously lived through that, will be receiving the Medal of Honor tomorrow in the ceremony at the White House. He put his life on the line. Some of his people lost theirs.

And we owe to him, and we owe to all of those who have put their lives on the line, who have put on the uniform throughout the centuries, we owe them the fight for freedom and liberty here on the floor of the United States Congress. We owe them that fight. We owe them that liberty.

We owe them that we're going to shut off this accumulation of debt, we're going to reduce and eventually eliminate the deficit. And in doing so, it will bring the economy back around, and it will produce jobs, and it will enhance our freedom and liberty, and those entrepreneurs that came to this country for that freedom, for a chance to build, and the descendants of those entrepreneurs that came here in earlier generations so that their children would have an opportunity for a better life, to earn, not to receive as if America is some giant ATM, but to earn a better life here. We owe it to Staff Sergeant

Salvatore Giunta and everyone like him our best effort here on this floor to honor his effort, to uphold the Constitution, to uphold the oath to the Constitution that we will again take on January 4, here on the floor of this House of Representatives, to raise America up to the next level of our destiny, do honor to those who've gone before us, and to leave a legacy for those that come behind us.

And this is the beginning, Mr. Speaker. This class, this new freshman class, for the 112th Congress is God's gift to America, and the American people will appreciate it. And we need to empower them to the maximum amount because I believe that they will lead us forward to that next level of our destiny.

LEAVE OF ABSENCE

By unanimous consent, leave of absence was granted to:

Mr. DAVIS of Illinois (at the request of Mr. HOYER) for today.

Mr. HEINRICH (at the request of Mr. HOYER) for today on account of personal business.

Mr. PLATTS (at the request of Mr. BOEHNER) for today and November 16 on account of a family member's funeral.

Mr. WOLF (at the request of Mr. BOEHNER) for today on account of medical reasons.

SPECIAL ORDERS GRANTED

By unanimous consent, permission to address the House, following the legislative program and any special orders heretofore entered, was granted to:

(The following Members (at the request of Mr. SHERMAN) to revise and extend their remarks and include extraneous material:)

Ms. WOOLSEY, for 5 minutes, today.

Mr. SHERMAN, for 5 minutes, today.

Mr. DEFazio, for 5 minutes, today.

Ms. KAPTUR, for 5 minutes, today.

(The following Members (at the request of Mr. POE of Texas) to revise and extend their remarks and include extraneous material:)

Mr. BURTON of Indiana, for 5 minutes, today, November 16, 17, 18, and 19.

Mr. POE of Texas, for 5 minutes, today, November 16, 17, 18, and 19.

Ms. ROS-LEHTINEN for 5 minutes, today.

Mr. SMITH of New Jersey, for 5 minutes, today.

Mr. GARRETT of New Jersey, for 5 minutes, today, November 16, 17, 18, and 19.

Mr. PAUL, for 5 minutes, November 16, 17, and 18.

Mr. MORAN of Kansas, for 5 minutes, today, November 16, 17, 18, and 19.

Mr. LINCOLN DIAZ-BALART of Florida, for 5 minutes, November 16 and 17.

SENATE BILLS AND CONCURRENT RESOLUTION REFERRED

Bills and concurrent resolutions of the Senate of the following titles were

taken from the Speaker's table and, under the rule, referred as follows:

S. 685. An act to require new vessels for carrying oil fuel to have double hulls, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure; in addition to the Committee on the Budget for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned; in addition to the Committee on Homeland Security for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

S. 3794. An act to amend chapter 5 of title 40, United States Code, to include organizations whose membership comprises substantially veterans as recipient organizations for the donation of Federal surplus personal property through State agencies; to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

S. Con. Res. 72. Concurrent resolution recognizing the 45th anniversary of the White House Fellows Program; to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

S. Con. Res. 74. Concurrent resolution honoring the 28th Infantry Division for serving and protecting the United States; to the Committee on Armed Services.

ENROLLED BILLS SIGNED

Lorraine C. Miller, Clerk of the House, reported and found truly enrolled bills of the House of the following titles, which were thereupon signed by the Speaker on Thursday, September 30, 2010.

H.R. 946. An act to enhance citizen access to Government information and services by establishing that Government documents issued to the public must be written clearly, and for other purposes.

H.R. 2701. An act to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2010 for intelligence and intelligence-related activities of the United States Government, the Community Management Account, and the Central Intelligence Agency Retirement and Disability System, and for other purposes.

H.R. 3219. An act to amend title 38, United States Code, and the Servicemembers Civil Relief Act to make certain improvements in the laws administered by the Secretary of Veterans Affairs, and for other purposes.

H.R. 3940. An act to clarify the availability of existing funds for political status education in the Territory of Guam, and for other purposes.

H.R. 4543. An act to designate the facility of the United States Postal Service located at 4385 Payne Avenue in San Jose, California, as the "Anthony J. Cortese Post Office Building".

H.R. 5341. An act to designate the facility of the United States Postal Service located at 100 Orndorf Drive in Brighton, Michigan as the "Joyce Rogers Post Office Building".

H.R. 5390. An act to designate the facility of the United States Postal Service located at 13301 Smith Road in Cleveland, Ohio, as the "David John Donafée Post Office Building".

H.R. 5450. An act to designate the facility of the United States Postal Service located at 3894 Crenshaw Boulevard in Los Angeles, California, as the "Tom Bradley Post Office Building".

H.R. 6200. An act to amend part A of title XI of the Social Security Act to provide for a 1-year extension of the authorization for the Work Incentives Planning and Assistance program and the Protection and Advoca-

cacy for Beneficiaries of Social Security program.

Lorraine C. Miller, Clerk of the House, also reported and found truly enrolled a bill of the House of the following title, which was thereupon signed by the Speaker on Friday, October 1, 2010:

H.R. 3619. An act to authorize appropriations for the Coast Guard for fiscal year 2011, and for other purposes.

SENATE ENROLLED BILLS SIGNED

The Speaker announced her signature to enrolled bills of the Senate of the following titles on Thursday, September 30, 2010:

S. 3397. An act to amend the Controlled Substances Act to provide for take-back disposal of controlled substances in certain instances, and for other purposes.

S. 3729. An act to authorize the programs of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration for fiscal years 2011 through 2013, and for other purposes.

The Speaker also announced her signature to enrolled bills of the Senate of the following titles on Friday, October 1, 2010:

S. 1510. An act to transfer statutory entitlements to pay and hours of work authorized by laws codified in the District of Columbia Official Code for current members of the United States Secret Service Uniformed Division from such laws to the United States Code, and for other purposes.

S. 3196. An act to amend the Presidential Transition Act of 1963 to provide that certain transition services shall be available to eligible candidates before the general election.

S. 3751. An act to amend the Stem Cell Therapeutic and Research Act of 2005.

S. 3802. An act to designate a mountain and icefield in the State of Alaska as the "Mount Stevens" and "Ted Stevens Icefield", respectively.

BILLS PRESENTED TO THE PRESIDENT

Lorraine C. Miller, Clerk of the House reports that on September 30, 2010, she presented to the President of the United States, for his approval, the following bills.

H.R. 2923. To enhance the ability to combat methamphetamine.

H.R. 3081. An Act making appropriations for the Department of State, foreign operations, and related programs for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2010, and for other purposes.

H.R. 3808. To require any Federal or State court to recognize any notarization made by a notary public licensed by a State other than the State where the court is located when such notarization occurs in or affects interstate commerce.

H.R. 714. To authorize the Secretary of the Interior to lease certain lands in Virgin Islands National Park, and for other purposes.

H.R. 3553. To exclude from consideration as income under the Native American Housing Assistance and Self-Determination Act of 1996 amounts received by a family from the Department of Veterans Affairs for service-related disabilities of a member of the family.

H.R. 3940. To clarify the availability of existing funds for political status education in the Territory of Guam, and for other purposes.

H.R. 553. To require the Secretary of Homeland Security to develop a strategy to prevent the over-classification of homeland security and other information and to promote the sharing of unclassified homeland security and other information, and for other purposes.

H.R. 1177. To require the Secretary of the Treasury to mint coins in recognition of five United States Army 5-Star Generals, George Marshall, Douglas MacArthur, Dwight Eisenhower, Henry 'Hap' Arnold, and Omar Bradley, alumni of the United States Army Command and General Staff College, Fort Leavenworth, Kansas, to coincide with the celebration of the 132nd Anniversary of the founding of the United States Army Command and General Staff College.

H.R. 3689. To provide for an extension of the legislative authority of the Vietnam Veterans Memorial Fund, Inc. to establish a Vietnam Veterans Memorial visitor center, and for other purposes.

H.R. 3980. To provide for identifying and eliminating redundant reporting requirements and developing meaningful performance metrics for homeland security preparedness grants, and for other purposes.

Lorraine C. Miller, Clerk of the House also reports that on October 1, 2010, she presented to the President of the United States, for his approval, the following bills.

H.R. 5450. To designate the facility of the United States Postal Service located at 3894 Crenshaw Boulevard in Los Angeles, California, as the "Tom Bradley Post Office Building".

H.R. 6200. To amend part A of title XI of the Social Security Act to provide for a 1-year extension of the authorizations for the Work Incentives Planning and Assistance program and the Protection and Advocacy for Beneficiaries of Social Security program.

H.R. 5341. To designate the facility of the United States Postal Service located at 100 Orndorf Drive in Brighton, Michigan, as the "Joyce Rogers Post Office Building".

H.R. 5390. To designate the facility of the United States Postal Service located at 13301 Smith Road in Cleveland, Ohio, as the "David John Donafée Post Office Building".

H.R. 4543. To designate the facility of the United States Postal Service located at 4285 Payne Avenue in San Jose, California, as the "Anthony J. Cortese Post Office Building".

H.R. 946. To enhance citizen access to Government information and services by establishing that Government documents issued to the public must be written clearly, and for other purposes.

H.R. 3219. To amend title 38, United States Code, to make certain improvements in the laws administered by the Secretary of Veterans Affairs relating to insurance and health care, and for other purposes.

H.R. 2701. To authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2010 for intelligence and intelligence-related activities of the United States Government, the Community Management Account, and the Central Intelligence Agency Retirement and Disability System, and for other purposes.

Lorraine C. Miller, Clerk of the House also reports that on October 4, 2010, she presented to the President of the United States, for his approval, the following bill:

H.R. 3619. To authorize appropriations for the Coast Guard for fiscal year 2010, and for other purposes.

ADJOURNMENT

Mr. KING of Iowa. Mr. Speaker, I move that the House do now adjourn.

The motion was agreed to; accordingly (at 9 o'clock and 17 minutes p.m.), under its previous order, the day, November 16, 2010, at 12:30 p.m., House adjourned until tomorrow, Tuesday, for morning-hour debate.

JOINT ESTIMATE OF BUDGETARY EFFECTS OF PAYGO LEGISLATION

Pursuant to Public Law 111–139, after consultation with the Chairman of the Senate Budget Committee, and on behalf of both of us, Mr. SPRATT hereby submits, prior to the vote on passage, the attached estimate of the costs of the House amendment to the Senate amendment to the bill H.R. 5566, the Animal Crush Video Prohibition Act of 2010, for printing in the CONGRESSIONAL RECORD.

CBO ESTIMATE OF THE STATUTORY PAY-AS-YOU-GO EFFECTS FOR A DRAFT HOUSE RESOLUTION PROVIDED TO CBO ON NOVEMBER 15, 2010

	By fiscal year, in millions of dollars—											
	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2011–2015	2011–2020
NET INCREASE OR DECREASE (–) IN THE DEFICIT												
Statutory Pay-As-You-Go Impact	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

The resolution contains provisions to modify the current laws that prohibit the sale of certain videos or other items that depict animal cruelty. If those provisions are enacted, the government could pursue cases that it otherwise would not be able to prosecute. Because those prosecuted and convicted under the resolution could be subject to criminal fines, the federal government might collect additional amounts if the resolution could be subject to criminal fines, the federal government might collect additional amounts if the resolution is enacted. Criminal fines are recorded as revenues, deposited in the Crime Victims Fund, and later spent. CBO estimates that any additional revenues and direct spending would not be significant because of the small number of cases likely to be affected.

BUDGETARY EFFECTS OF PAYGO LEGISLATION

Pursuant to Public Law 111–139, Mr. SPRATT hereby submits, prior to the vote on passage, the attached estimate of the costs of the bill H.R. 6396, To amend the Immigration and Nationality Act to toll, during active-duty service abroad in the Armed Forces, the periods of time to file a petition and appear for an interview to remove the conditional basis for permanent resident status, and for other purposes, for printing in the CONGRESSIONAL RECORD.

CBO ESTIMATE OF THE STATUTORY PAY-AS-YOU-GO EFFECTS FOR A DRAFT BILL TO AMEND THE IMMIGRATION AND NATIONALITY ACT TO TOLL, DURING ACTIVE-DUTY SERVICE ABROAD IN THE ARMED FORCES, THE PERIODS OF TIME TO FILE A PETITION AND APPEAR FOR AN INTERVIEW TO REMOVE THE CONDITIONAL BASIS FOR PERMANENT RESIDENT STATUS, AND FOR OTHER PURPOSES PROVIDED TO CBO ON NOVEMBER 15, 2010

	By fiscal year, in millions of dollars—											
	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2011–2015	2011–2020
NET INCREASE OR DECREASE (–) IN THE DEFICIT												
Statutory Pay-As-You-Go Impact	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

The bill would extend the period of time available for certain members of the U.S. Armed Forces and their spouses to complete requirements to gain permanent U.S. residence. This legislation would affect a small number of people, and CBO estimates that it would have no significant effect on direct spending by the Department of Homeland Security or on federal assistance programs.

Pursuant to Public Law 111–139, Mr. SPRATT hereby submits, prior to the vote on passage, the attached estimate of the costs of the bill H.R. 6397, the Marine Sergeant Michael H. Ferschke, Jr. Memorial Act, for printing in the CONGRESSIONAL RECORD.

CBO ESTIMATE OF THE STATUTORY PAY-AS-YOU-GO EFFECTS FOR A DRAFT BILL, THE MARINE SERGEANT MICHAEL H. FERSCHKE, JR. MEMORIAL ACT PROVIDED TO CBO ON NOVEMBER 15, 2010

	By fiscal year, in millions of dollars—											
	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2011–2015	2011–2020
NET INCREASE OR DECREASE (–) IN THE DEFICIT												
Statutory Pay-As-You-Go Impact	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

The bill would clarify that intended spouses of certain members of the United States Armed Forces could obtain certain immigration benefits. This legislation would affect a very small number of people, and CBO estimates that it would have no significant effect on direct spending by the Department of Homeland Security or on federal assistance programs.

EXECUTIVE COMMUNICATIONS, ETC.

Under clause 2 of rule XIV, executive communications were taken from the Speaker’s table and referred as follows:

9779. A letter from the Executive Director, Commodity Futures Trading Commission, transmitting the Commission’s final rule — Operation, in the Ordinary Course, of a Commodity Broker in Bankruptcy (RIN: 3038-AC90) received September 13, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Agriculture.

9780. A letter from the Executive Director, Commodity Futures Trading Commission, transmitting the Commission’s final rule — Regulation of Off-Exchange Retail Foreign Exchange Transactions and Intermediaries (RIN: 3038-AC61) received October 1, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Agriculture.

9781. A letter from the Director, National Institute of Food and Agriculture, Department of Agriculture, transmitting the Department’s final rule — Competitive and Noncompetitive Non-formula Federal Assist-

ance Programs — General Award Administrative Provisions (RIN: 0524-AA58) received September 15, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Agriculture.

9782. A letter from the Acting Congressional Review Coordinator, Department of Agriculture, transmitting the Department’s final rule — Black Stem Rust; Additions of Rust-Resistant Varieties [Docket No.: APHIS-2010-0088] received September 15, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Agriculture.

9783. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Review Group, Department of Agriculture, transmitting the Department’s final rule — Cotton Program Changes for Upland Cotton, Adjusted World Price, and Active Shipping Orders (RIN: 0560-AH81) received September 13, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Agriculture.

9784. A letter from the Administrator, Risk Management Agency, Department of Agriculture, transmitting the Department’s final rule — Common Crop Insurance Regulations; Stonefruit Crop Insurance Provisions (RIN:

0563-AC21) received September 13, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Agriculture.

9785. A letter from the Congressional Review Coordinator, Department of Agriculture, transmitting the Department’s final rule — National Veterinary Accreditation Program; Currently Accredited Veterinarians Performing Accredited Duties and Electing to Participate [Docket No.: APHIS-2006-0093] (RIN: 0579-AC04) received September 29, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Agriculture.

9786. A letter from the Director, Department of Agriculture, transmitting the Department’s final rule — Competitive and Noncompetitive Non-Formula Federal Assistance Programs—Specific Administrative Provisions for the New Era Rural Technology Competitive Grants Program (RIN:

0524-AA60) received September 27, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Agriculture.

9787. A letter from the Administrator, Department of Agriculture, transmitting the Department's final rule — Tart Cherries Grown in the State of Michigan, et al.; Increased Assessment Rate for the 2010-2011 Crop year for Tart Cherries [Doc. No.: AMS-FV-10-0029; FV10-930-2 FR] received September 27, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Agriculture.

9788. A letter from the Administrator, Department of Agriculture, transmitting the Department's final rule — Walnuts Grown in California; Decreased Assessment Rate [Doc. No.: AMS-FV-10-0060; FV10-984-1 IR] received September 27, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Agriculture.

9789. A letter from the Administrator, Department of Agriculture, transmitting the Department's final rule — Avocados Grown in South Florida; Increased Assessment Rate [Doc. No.: AMS-FV-10-0067; FV10-915-1 IR] received September 27, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Agriculture.

9790. A letter from the Administrator, Department of Agriculture, transmitting the Department's final rule — Egg Research and Promotion Order; Referendum Procedures [Doc. No.: AMS-PY-09-0116] received September 27, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Agriculture.

9791. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Review Group, Department of Agriculture, transmitting the Department's final rule — Conservation Loan Program (RIN: 0560-AI04) received October 1, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Agriculture.

9792. A letter from the Congressional Review Coordinator, Department of Agriculture, transmitting the Department's final rule — Tuberculosis in Cattle and Bison; State and Zone Designations; Minnesota [Docket No.: APHIS-2010-0097] received October 6, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Agriculture.

9793. A letter from the Acting Congressional Review Coordinator, Department of Agriculture, transmitting the Department's final rule — Importation of Fresh Unshu Oranges From the Republic of Korea Into the Continental United States [Docket No.: APHIS-2010-0022] (RIN: 0579-AD14) received October 14, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Agriculture.

9794. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — Thiabendazole; Pesticide Tolerances [EPA-HQ-OPP-2009-0910; FRL-8842-7] received August 30, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Agriculture.

9795. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — Choline hydroxide; Exemption from the Requirement of a Tolerance [EPA-HQ-OPP-2010-0233; FRL-8841-6] received August 30, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Agriculture.

9796. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — Spiromesifen; Pesticide Tolerances [EPA-HQ-OPP-2009-0682; FRL-8841-9] received August 30, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Agriculture.

9797. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental

Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — Bifenazate; Pesticide Tolerances [EPA-HQ-OPP-2009-0890; FRL-8840-9] received August 30, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Agriculture.

9798. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — Acetic Acid Ethenyl Ester, Polymer with Oxirane; Tolerance Exemption [EPA-HQ-OPP-2010-0429; FRL-8841-2] received August 30, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Agriculture.

9799. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — Acetic Acid; Exemption from the Requirement of a Tolerance [EPA-HQ-OPP-2010-0561; FRL-8833-8] received July 9, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Agriculture.

9800. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — Castor Oil, Ethoxylated, Oleate; Tolerance Exemption [EPA-HQ-OPP-2010-0231; FRL-8834-4] received July 9, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Agriculture.

9801. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — Cyazofamid; Pesticide Tolerances [EPA-HQ-OPP-2009-0801; FRL-8833-1] received July 9, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Agriculture.

9802. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — Hexythiazox; Pesticide Tolerances [EPA-HQ-OPP-2009-0325; FRL-8833-6] received July 9, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Agriculture.

9803. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — Residues of Quaternary Ammonium Compounds, N-Alkyl (C12-14) Dimethyl Ethylbenzyl Ammonium Chloride; Exemption from the Requirement of a Tolerance [EPA-HQ-OPP-2008-0533; FRL-8833-2] received July 9, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Agriculture.

9804. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — Carbaryl; Order Denying NRDC's Objections and Requests for Hearing [EPA-HQ-OPP-2008-0347; FRL-8843-7] received September 14, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Agriculture.

9805. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — S-metolachlor; Pesticide Tolerances [EPA-HQ-OPP-2009-0814; FRL-8842-3] received September 14, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Agriculture.

9806. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — Fenarimol; Pesticide Tolerance [EPA-HQ-OPP-2009-0623; FRL-8844-6] received September 14, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Agriculture.

9807. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — Ammonium Formate; Exemption from the Requirement of a Toler-

ance [EPA-HQ-OPP-2006-0121; FRL-8839-3] received September 14, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Agriculture.

9808. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — Acephate, Cacodylic acid, Dicamba, Dicloran, et al.; Tolerance Actions [EPA-HQ-OPP-2010-0262; FRL-8842-1] received September 17, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Agriculture.

9809. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — Fluoxastrobin; Pesticide Tolerances [EPA-HQ-OPP-2007-0677; FRL-8845-7] September 28, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Agriculture.

9810. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — Spinosad; Pesticide Tolerances [EPA-HQ-OPP-2009-0616; FRL-8844-1] September 28, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Agriculture.

9811. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — Pesticide Management and Disposal; Standards for Pesticide Containers and Containment; Change to Labeling Compliance Date [EPA-HQ-OPP-2005-0327; FRL-8848-8] received October 5, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Agriculture.

9812. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — Technical Amendments to Pesticide Regulations [EPA-HQ-OPP-2010-0756; FRL-8844-7] received September 9, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Agriculture.

9813. A letter from the Under Secretary, Department of Defense, transmitting a report of a violation of the Antideficiency Act, Navy Case Number 09-06; to the Committee on Appropriations.

9814. A letter from the Under Secretary, Department of Defense, transmitting a report of a violation of the Antideficiency Act, Navy Case Number 09-05, pursuant to 31 U.S.C. 1517(b); to the Committee on Appropriations.

9815. A letter from the Under Secretary, Department of Defense, transmitting a report of a violation of the Antideficiency Act, Navy Case Number 09-03; to the Committee on Appropriations.

9816. A letter from the Director, Office of National Drug Control Policy, Executive Office of the President, transmitting the final plan for the allocation of the Fiscal Year (FY) 2010 HIDTA program funds for auditing services; to the Committee on Appropriations.

9817. A letter from the Principal Deputy Under Secretary, Department of Defense, transmitting the Department's report on the amount of purchases from foreign entities in Fiscal Year 2009. The report separately identifies the dollar value of items for which the Buy American Act was waived, pursuant to Public Law 104-201, section 827 (110 Stat. 2611); to the Committee on Armed Services.

9818. A letter from the Under Secretary, Department of Defense, transmitting a letter on the approved retirement of Vice Admiral John J. Donnelly, United States Navy, and his advancement to the grade of vice admiral on the retired list; to the Committee on Armed Services.

9819. A letter from the Chairman, Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board, transmitting first periodic Report to Congress on Infrastructure Needs in the Department of Energy's Aging Defense Nuclear Facilities; to the Committee on Armed Services.

9820. A letter from the OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense, transmitting the Department's final rule — TRICARE: Non-Physician Referrals for Physical Therapy, Occupational Therapy, and Speech Therapy [Docket ID: DoD-2009-HA-0098] (RIN: 0720-AB36) received September 13, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Armed Services.

9821. A letter from the OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense, transmitting the Department's final rule — TRICARE: TRICARE Delivery of Health Care in Alaska [Docket ID: DoD-2008-HA-0123] (RIN: 0720-AB29) received September 13, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Armed Services.

9822. A letter from the Under Secretary, Department of Defense, transmitting a letter on the approved retirement of Lieutenant General Richard C. Zilmer, United States Marine Corps, and his advancement to the grade of lieutenant general on the retired list; to the Committee on Armed Services.

9823. A letter from the Under Secretary, Department of Defense, transmitting a letter on the approved retirement of Admiral Mark P. Fitzgerald, United States Navy, and his advancement to the grade of admiral on the retired list; to the Committee on Armed Services.

9824. A letter from the Under Secretary of Defense, Acquisition, Technology and Logistics, Department of Defense, transmitting a letter addressing the awarding of a multiyear procurement (MYP) contract; to the Committee on Armed Services.

9825. A letter from the Director, Defense Procurement and Acquisition Policy, Department of Defense, transmitting the Department's final rule — Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement; Guidance on Personal Services (DFARS Case 2009-D028) (RIN: 0750-AG72) received September 8, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Armed Services.

9826. A letter from the Director, Defense Procurement and Acquisition Policy, Department of Defense, transmitting the Department's final rule — Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement; Government Rights in the Design in DoD Vessels (DFARS Case 2008-D039) received September 8, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Armed Services.

9827. A letter from the Director, Defense Procurement and Acquisition Policy, Department of Defense, transmitting the Department's final rule — Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement; Payment of Costs Prior to Definitization-Definition of Contract Action. (DFARS Case 2009-D035) received September 8, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Armed Services.

9828. A letter from the OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense, transmitting the Department's final rule — TRICARE: Transitional Assistance Management Program (TAMP) [Docket ID: DOD-2009-HA-0096] (RIN: 0720-AB34) received September 13, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Armed Services.

9829. A letter from the Director, Defense Procurement and Acquisition Policy, Department of Defense, transmitting the Department's final rule — Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement; Additional Requirements Applicable to Multiyear Contracts (DFARS Case 2008-D023) (RIN: 0750-

AG26) received September 8, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Armed Services.

9830. A letter from the Director, Defense Procurement and Acquisition Policy, Department of Defense, transmitting the Department's final rule — Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement; Motor Carrier Fuel Surcharge (DFARS Case 2008-D040) (RIN: 0750-AG30) received September 28, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Armed Services.

9831. A letter from the Director, Defense Procurement and Acquisition Policy, Department of Defense, transmitting the Department's final rule — Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement; Government-Assigned Serial Number Marking (DFARS Case 2008-D047) received September 21, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Armed Services.

9832. A letter from the Director, Defense Procurement and Acquisition Policy, Department of Defense, transmitting the Department's final rule — Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement; DoD Office of the Inspector General Address (DFARS Case 2010-D015) received September 21, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Armed Services.

9833. A letter from the Principal Deputy Under Secretary, Department of Defense, transmitting a copy of the "Annual Report on the Department of Defense Mentor-Protégé Program" for FY 2009, pursuant to Public Law 101-510, section 831; to the Committee on Armed Services.

9834. A letter from the Under Secretary, Department of Defense, transmitting a letter on the approved retirement Lieutenant General Jeffery A. Sorenson, United States Army, and his advancement to the grade of lieutenant general on the retired list; to the Committee on Armed Services.

9835. A letter from the Under Secretary, Department of Defense, transmitting a quarterly report on withdrawals or diversions of equipment from Reserve component units for the period of April 1, 2010 through June 30, 2010, pursuant to Public Law 106-554, section 323(e) (114 Stat. 2763A-186); to the Committee on Armed Services.

9836. A letter from the Under Secretary, Department of Defense, transmitting a letter on the approved retirement Vice Admiral Carl V. Mauney, United States Navy, and his advancement to the grade of vice admiral on the retired list; to the Committee on Armed Services.

9837. A letter from the Principal Deputy Under Secretary, Department of Defense, transmitting the Department's annual report on the Acquisition Challenge Program for Fiscal Year 2009, pursuant to 10 U.S.C. 2359b(j); to the Committee on Armed Services.

9838. A letter from the Under Secretary, Department of Defense, transmitting a letter on the approved retirement of Lieutenant General George J. Trautman III, United States Marine Corps, and his advancement to the grade of lieutenant general on the retired list; to the Committee on Armed Services.

9839. A letter from the Under Secretary, Department of Defense, transmitting a letter on the approved retirement of General James T. Conway, United States Marine Corps, and his advancement to the grade of general on the retired list; to the Committee on Armed Services.

9840. A letter from the Director, Office of Congressional Affairs, Nuclear Regulatory Commission, transmitting the Commission's final rule — Inservice Inspection Code Case Acceptability, ASME Section XI, Division 1, Regulatory Guide 1.147, Revision 16 received September 30, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C.

801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Armed Services.

9841. A letter from the Chief Counsel, Department of Homeland Security, transmitting the Department's final rule — Suspension of Community Eligibility [Docket ID: FEMA-2010-0003] [Internal Agency Docket No.: FEMA-8141] received September 13, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Financial Services.

9842. A letter from the Chief Counsel, Department of Homeland Security, transmitting the Department's final rule — Suspension of Community Eligibility [Docket ID: FEMA-2010-0003] [Internal Agency Docket No.: FEMA-8145] received September 13, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Financial Services.

9843. A letter from the Chief Counsel, Department of Homeland Security, transmitting the Department's final rule — Suspension of Community Eligibility [Docket ID: FEMA-2010-0003] [Internal Agency Docket No.: FEMA-8143] received September 15, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Financial Services.

9844. A letter from the Chief Counsel, Department of Homeland Security, transmitting the Department's final rule — Final Flood Elevation Determinations [Docket ID: FEMA-2010-0003] received September 15, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Financial Services.

9845. A letter from the Chief Counsel, Department of Homeland Security, transmitting the Department's final rule — Suspension of Community Eligibility [Docket ID: FEMA-2010-0003] [Internal Agency Docket No.: FEMA-8147] received October 4, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Financial Services.

9846. A letter from the Chief Counsel, Department of Homeland Security, transmitting the Department's final rule — Final Flood Elevation Determinations [Docket ID: FEMA-2010-0003] received October 4, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Financial Services.

9847. A letter from the Chief Counsel, Department of Homeland Security, transmitting the Department's final rule — Suspension of Community Eligibility [Docket ID: FEMA-2010-0003] [Internal Agency Docket No.: FEMA-8149] received October 1, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Financial Services.

9848. A letter from the Associate General Counsel for Legislation and Regulations, Department of Housing and Urban Development, transmitting the Department's final rule — Prohibition of the Escrowing of Tax Credit Equity [Docket No.: FR-5290-F-02] (RIN: 2502-A173) received September 13, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Financial Services.

9849. A letter from the Associate General Counsel for Legislation and Regulations, Department of Housing and Urban Development, transmitting the Department's final rule — Federal Housing Administration Risk Management Initiatives: New Loan-to-Value and Credit Score Requirements [Docket No.: FR-5404-N-02] received October 1, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Financial Services.

9850. A letter from the Regulatory Specialist, LRAD, Department of the Treasury, transmitting the Department's final rule — Community Reinvestment Act Regulations [Docket ID: OCC-2010-0014] (RIN: 1557-AD24) received October 5, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Financial Services.

9851. A letter from the Deputy Director, Department of the Treasury, transmitting the Department's final rule — Financial Crimes Enforcement Network; Amendment to the Bank Secrecy Act Regulations; Defining Mutual Funds as Financial Institutions;

Extension of Compliance Date (RIN: 1506-AA93) received October 13, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Financial Services.

9852. A letter from the Deputy Director, Department of the Treasury, transmitting the Department's final rule — Transfer and Reorganization of Bank Secrecy Act Regulations (RIN: 1506-AA92) received October 13, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Financial Services.

9853. A letter from the President and Chairman, Export-Import Bank, transmitting a report involving U.S. exports to Hungary, pursuant to 12 U.S.C. 635(b)(3)(i); to the Committee on Financial Services.

9854. A letter from the Chairman and President, Export-Import Bank, transmitting a report on transactions involving U.S. exports to Luxembourg pursuant to Section 2(b)(3) of the Export-Import Bank Act of 1945, as amended; to the Committee on Financial Services.

9855. A letter from the Deputy to the Chairman, Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, transmitting the Corporation's final rule — Registration of Mortgage Loan Originators (RIN: 3064-AD43) received September 13, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Financial Services.

9856. A letter from the General Counsel, Federal Housing Finance Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — 2010-2011 Enterprise Housing Goals; Enterprise Book-entry Procedures (RIN: 2590-AA26) received September 8, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Financial Services.

9857. A letter from the General Counsel, Federal Housing Finance Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — Supplemental Standards of Ethical Conduct for Employees of the Federal Housing Finance Agency (RINs: 2590-AA02, 3209-AA15) received September 13, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Financial Services.

9858. A letter from the Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, transmitting the Commission's final rule — Commission Guidance Regarding Auditing, Attestation, and Related Professional Practice Standards Related to Brokers and Dealers [Release No.: 34-62991] received September 29, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Financial Services.

9859. A letter from the Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, transmitting the Commission's final rule — Commission Guidance on Presentation of Liquidity and Capital Resources Disclosures in Management's Discussion and Analysis [Release Nos. 33-9144; 34-62934; FR-83] received September 27, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Financial Services.

9860. A letter from the Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, transmitting the Commission's final rule — Internal Control Over Financial Reporting in Exchange Act Periodic Reports of Non-Accelerated Filers [Release Nos.: 33-9142; 34-62914] September 27, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Financial Services.

9861. A letter from the Chairman, Securities and Exchange Commission, transmitting the Commission's 2009 Annual Report of the Securities Investor Protection Corporation; to the Committee on Financial Services.

9862. A letter from the Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, transmitting the Commission's final rule — Removal From Regulation FD of the Exemption for Credit Rating Agencies [Release No.: 33-9146; 34-63003; IC 29448; File No. S7-23-10] received October 6, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Financial Services.

9863. A letter from the Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, transmitting the Commission's "Major" final rule — Reporting of Security-Based Swap Transaction Data (RIN: 3235-AK73) received October 27, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Financial Services.

9864. A letter from the Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, transmitting the Commission's final rule — Technical Amendments to Forms N-CSR and N-SAR in Connection with the Comprehensive Iran Sanctions, Accountability, and Divestment Act of 2010 [Release Nos.: 34-63087; IC-29461] received October 21, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Financial Services.

9865. A letter from the Secretary, Department of Education, transmitting the Department's final rule — High School Equivalency Program and College Assistance Migrant Program, The Federal TRIO Programs, and Gaining Early Awareness and Readiness for Undergraduate Program [Docket ID: ED-2010-OPE-0002] (RIN: 1840-AD01) received October 1, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Education and Labor.

9866. A letter from the Assistant General Counsel for Regulatory Services, Office of the General Counsel, Department of Education, transmitting the Department's "Major" final rule — High School Equivalency Program and College Assistance Migrant Program, The Federal TRIO Programs, and Gaining Early Awareness and Readiness for Undergraduate Program [Docket ID: ED-2010-OPE-0002] (RIN: 1840-AD01) received October 21, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Education and Labor.

9867. A letter from the Secretary, Department of Health and Human Services, transmitting the Department's "Report to Congress on the Proposed Head Start Designation Renewal System"; to the Committee on Education and Labor.

9868. A letter from the Secretary, Department of Health and Human Services, transmitting the Department's Biennial Report to Congress on the Child Care and Development Fund (CCDF) for FY 2006 and FY 2007; to the Committee on Education and Labor.

9869. A letter from the Secretary, Department of Health and Human Services, transmitting the Department's report entitled, "Report to Congress on the Impact and Effectiveness of Administration for Native Americans (ANA) Projects: Fiscal Year 2008"; to the Committee on Education and Labor.

9870. A letter from the Assistant Secretary, Employment and Training Administration, Department of Labor, transmitting the Department's final rule — Senior Community Service Employment Program; Final Rule (RIN: 1205-AB48 and RIN 1205-AB47) received September 15, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Education and Labor.

9871. A letter from the Assistant Secretary for Occupational Safety and Health, Department of Labor, transmitting the Department's final rule — Procedures for the Handling of Retaliation Complaints Under Section 219 of the Consumer Product Safety Improvement Act of 2008 [Docket Number: OSHA-2010-0006] (RIN: 1218-AC47) received September 13, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Education and Labor.

9872. A letter from the Assistant Secretary for Occupational Safety and Health, Department of Labor, transmitting the Department's final rule — Procedures for the Handling of Retaliation Complaints Under the Employee Protection provision of the Surface Transportation Assistance Act of 1982

[Docket Number: OSHA-2008-0026] (RIN: 1218-AC36) received September 13, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Education and Labor.

9873. A letter from the Assistant Secretary for Occupational Safety and Health, Department of Labor, transmitting the Department's final rule — Procedures for the Handling of Retaliation Complaints Under the National Transit Systems Security Act and the Federal Railroad Safety Act [Docket Number: OSHA-2008-0027] (RIN: 1218-AC36) received September 13, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Education and Labor.

9874. A letter from the Director, Office of Standards, Regulations and Variances, Department of Labor, transmitting the Department's final rule — Maintenance of Incombustible Content of Rock Dust in Underground Coal Mines (RIN: 1219-AB76) received September 23, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Education and Labor.

9875. A letter from the Director, Directorate of Cooperative and State Programs, Department of Labor, transmitting the Department's final rule — Notice of Initial Approval Determination; Illinois Public Employee Only State Plan [Docket No.: OSHA-2009-0010] (RIN: 1218-AC44) received October 7, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Education and Labor.

9876. A letter from the Director of Legislative and Regulatory Affairs, Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation, transmitting the Corporation's final rule — Allocation of Assets in Single-Employer Plans; Valuation of Benefits and Assets; Expected Retirement Age received September 15, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Education and Labor.

9877. A letter from the Director of Legislative and Regulatory Affairs, Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation, transmitting the Corporation's final rule — Benefits Payable in Terminated Single-Employer Plans received September 15, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Education and Labor.

9878. A letter from the Deputy Director of Policy and External Affairs, Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation, transmitting the Corporation's final rule — Benefits Payable in Terminated Single-Employer Plans; Interest Assumptions for Valuing and Paying Benefits received September 13, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Education and Labor.

9879. A letter from the Deputy Director for Operations, Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation, transmitting the Corporation's final rule — Allocation of Assets in Single-Employer Plans; Benefits Payable in Terminated Single-Employer Plans; Interest Assumptions for Valuing and Paying Benefits received October 1, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Education and Labor.

9880. A letter from the Administrator, Energy Information Administration, Department of Energy, transmitting the Energy Information Administration's "International Energy Outlook 2010", pursuant to 15 U.S.C. 790f(a)(2); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

9881. A letter from the Secretary, Department of Health and Human Services, transmitting the Department's FY 2009 annual performance report to Congress required by the Prescription Drug User Fee Act of 1992 (PDUFA), as amended, pursuant to 21 U.S.C. 379g note; to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

9882. A letter from the Assistant General Counsel for Legislation, Regulation and Energy Efficiency, Department of Energy, transmitting the Department's final rule —

Acquisition Regulation: Sustainable Acquisition (RIN: 1991-AB95) received September 23, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

9883. A letter from the Administrator, Energy Information Administration, Department of Energy, transmitting the Energy Information Administration's Annual Energy Review 2009; to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

9884. A letter from the Assistant General Counsel for Legislation, Regulation and Energy Efficiency, Department of Energy, transmitting the Department's final rule — Energy Conservation Program for Consumer Products: Test Procedures for Residential Furnaces and Boilers (Standby Mode and Off Mode) [Docket No.: EERE-2008-BT-TP-0020] (RIN: 1904-AB89) received October 21, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

9885. A letter from the Secretary, Department of Health and Human Services, transmitting a report entitled "Performance Evaluation of Accreditation Bodies under the Mammography Quality Standards Act of 1992 as amended by the Mammography Quality Standards Reauthorization Acts of 1998 and 2004" covering the year 2009; to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

9886. A letter from the Secretary, Department of Health and Human Services, transmitting the Department's Annual Report entitled, "Delays in Approvals of Applications Related to Citizen Petitions and Petitions for Stay of Agency Action for Fiscal Year 2009", pursuant to 21 U.S.C. 355, section 505(q)(3); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

9887. A letter from the Deputy Director, Regulations Policy and Management Staff, Department of Health and Human Services, transmitting the Department's final rule — Revisions to the Requirements Applicable to Blood, Blood Components and Source Plasma [Docket No.: 2007N-0264] received September 13, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

9888. A letter from the Program Manager, Department of Health and Human Services, transmitting the Department's final rule — Health Information Technology: Revisions to Initial Set of Standards, Implementation Specifications, and Certification Criteria for Electronic Health Record Technology (RIN: 0991-AB76) received October 13, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

9889. A letter from the Secretaries, Departments of Agriculture and Health and Human Services, transmitting the Departments' report on Thefts, Losses, or Releases of Select Agents or Toxins for the period January 1, 2009 to December 31, 2009, as required by the Public Health Security and Bioterrorism Preparedness and Response Act of 2002, Pub. L. 107-188; to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

9890. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — Adequacy of New Hampshire Municipal Solid Waste Landfill Permit Program [EPA-R01-RCRA-2010-0676; FRL-9793-1] received August 20, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

9891. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — Revisions to the California State Implementation Plan, San Diego County Air Pollution Control District [EPA-R09-OAR-2010-0569; FRL-9200-6] received September 14, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

9892. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental

Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Connecticut; Determination of Attainment of the 1997 Ozone Standard for the Greater Connecticut Area [EPA-R01-OAR-2010-0380; A-1-FRL-9195-2] received August 30, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

9893. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans: Kentucky; Approval Section 110(a)(1) Maintenance Plan for the 1997 8-Hour Ozone Standard for the Paducah Area [EPA-R04-OAR-2007-1186-201021; FRL-9193-4] received August 20, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

9894. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Georgia: State Implementation Plan Revision; Correction [EPA-R04-OAR-2007-0113-200709(c); FRL-9193-5] received August 20, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

9895. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — Restructuring of the Stationary Source Audit Program [EPA-HQ-OAR-2008-0531; FRL-9195-7] (RIN: 2060-AP23) received August 30, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

9896. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants From the Portland Cement Manufacturing Industry and Standards of Performance for Portland Cement Plants [EPA-HQ-OAR-2002-0051; EPA-HQ-OAR-2007-0877, FRL-9189-2] (RIN: 2060-A015, 2060-A042) received August 20, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

9897. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — Extension of Deadline for Action on Section 126 Petition from New Jersey [EPA-HQ-OAR-2010-0473; FRL-9174-5] received July 9, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

9898. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — Amendments to Enforceable Consent Agreement Procedural Rules [EPA-HQ-OPPT-2009-0894; FRL-8832-8] (RIN: 2070-AJ59) received September 14, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

9899. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — Technical Amendments for Marine Spark-Ignition Engines and Vessels [EPA-HQ-OAR-2010-0270; FRL-9202-4] (RIN: 2060-AQ18) received September 14, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

9900. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — Multi-Walled Carbon Nanotubes and Single-Walled Carbon Nanotubes; Significant New Use Rules [EPA-HQ-OPPT-2008-0252; FRL-8835-5] (RIN: 2070-AB27) received September 14, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

9901. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans and Designations of Areas for Air Quality Planning Purposes; Alabama: Birmingham; Determination of Attaining Data for the 2006 24-Hour Fine Particulate Standard [EPA-R04-OAR-2010-0203-201035; FRL-9202-9] received September 14, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

9902. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — Significant New Use Rules on Certain Chemical Substances [EPA-HQ-OPPT-2009-922; FRL-8839-7] (RIN: 2070-AB27) received September 17, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

9903. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — Rhode Island: Final Authorization of State Hazardous Waste Management Program Revisions [EPA-R01-RCRA-2010-0561; FRL-9203-3] received September 17, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

9904. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans Alabama: Volatile Organic Compounds [EPA-R04-OAR-2010-0289-201018(a); FRL-9203-9] received September 17, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

9905. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — Hazardous Waste Management System; Identification and Listing of Hazardous Waste Amendment [EPA-R05-RCRA-2010-0758; FRL-9201-2] received September 17, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

9906. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — Nebraska: Final Authorization of State Hazardous Waste Management Program [EPA-R07-RCRA-2008-0830; FRL-9205-3] received September 21, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

9907. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Maryland; Adoption of Control Techniques Guidelines for Flexible Packaging Printing [EPA-R03-OAR-2010-0484; FRL-9205-9] received September 21, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

9908. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Maryland; Control Technique Guidelines for Paper, Film, and Foil Coatings [EPA-R03-OAR-2010-0525; FRL-9206-4] received September 21, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

9909. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Michigan; PSD Regulations [EPA-R05-OAR-2010-0657; FRL-9205-6] received September 21, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

9910. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans and Designation of Area for Air Quality Planning Purposes; Michigan; Redesignation of the Allegan County Areas to Attainment for Ozone [EPA-R05-OAR-2010-0477; FRL-9204-5] received September 21, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

9911. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — Mandatory Reporting of Greenhouse Gases [EPA-HQ-OAR-2009-0925; FRL-9204-7] (RIN: 2060-AQ02) received September 21, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

9912. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — Revisions to the California State Implementation Plan, San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District [EPA-R09-OAR-2009-0958; FRL-9204-3] received September 21, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

9913. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Indiana; Revised Format for Materials Being Incorporated by Reference [IN 171; FRL-9200-1] received September 21, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

9914. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — Revisions to the California State Implementation Plan, San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District [EPA-R09-OAR-2009-0711; FRL-9207-7] received September 28, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

9915. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Maryland; Control of Volatile Organic Compound Emission from Industrial Solvent Cleaning Operations [EPA-R03-OAR-2010-0594; FRL-9208-2] received September 28, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

9916. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — Hazardous Waste Management System; Identification and Listing of Hazardous Waste; Direct Final Rule [EPA-R06-RCRA-2010-0066; SW FRL-9208-7] received September 28, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

9917. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — Supplemental Determination for Renewable Fuels Produced Under the Final RFS2 Program from Canola Oil [EPA-HQ-OAR-2010-0133; FRL-9207-1] (RIN: 2060-AQ35) received September 24, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

9918. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — National Priorities List, Final Rule No. 50 [EPA-HQ-SFUND-2009-0067, EPA-HQ-SFUND-2010-0068, EPA-HQ-SFUND-

2010-0069, EPA-HQ-SFUND-2010-0070, EPA-HQ-SFUND-2010-0074, EPA-HQ-SFUND-2010-0076; FRL-9207-3] (RIN: 2050-AD75) received September 24, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

9919. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — National Priorities List, Final Rule — Newtown Creek [EPA-HQ-SFUND-2009-0588; FRL-9207-2] (RIN: 2050-AD75) received September 24, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

9920. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — Approval of Implementation Plans of Wisconsin; Nitrogen Oxides Reasonably Available Control Technology [EPA-R05-OAR-2007-0587; EPA-R05-OAR-2009-0732; FRL-9205-8] received September 24, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

9921. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans and Designation of Areas for Air Quality Planning Purposes; State of Nevada; Redesignation of Las Vegas Valley to Attainment for the Carbon Monoxide Standard [EPA-R009-OAR-2010-0585; FRL-9204-9] received September 24, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

9922. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — Revised Guidance on Compiling Administrative Records for CERCLA Response Actions received October 5, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

9923. A letter from the Administrator, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the FY 2009 Superfund Five-Year Review Report to Congress, in accordance with the requirements in Section 121(c) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, as amended by the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act of 1986; to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

9924. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Delaware; Limiting Emissions of Volatile Organic Compounds from Consumer Products [EPA-R03-OAR-2010-0124; FRL-9211-5] received October 5, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

9925. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Pennsylvania; Amendment to Consumer Products and Architectural and Industrial Maintenance Coatings Regulations [EPA-R03-OAR-2010-0319; FRL-9211-6] received October 5, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

9926. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans and Designations of Areas for Air Quality Planning Purposes; Tennessee; Knoxville; Determination of Attaining Data for the 1997 8-Hour Ozone Standards [EPA-R04-2007-0228-201038; FRL-9212-6] received October 5, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

9927. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; State of Missouri [EPA-R07-2010-0415; FRL-9210-3] received October 5, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

9928. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) for Particulate Matter Less than 2.5 Micrometers (PM_{2.5}) — Increments, Significant Impact Levels (SILs) and Significant Monitoring Concentration (SMC) [EPA-HQ-OAR-2009-0605; FRL-9210-9] (RIN: 2060-AO24) received October 5, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

9929. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — Mandatory Reporting of Greenhouse Gases [EPA-HQ-OAR-2010-0109; FRL-9213-5] (RIN: 2060-A079) received October 14, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

9930. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — Determination of Attainment for PM₁₀; Eagle River PM₁₀ Nonattainment Area, Alaska [Docket: EPA-R10-OAR-2010-0433; FRL-9214-7] received October 14, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

9931. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's "Major" final rule — Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans and Designation of Areas for Air Quality Planning Purposes; Texas; Beaumont/Port Arthur Ozone Nonattainment Area; Redesignation to Attainment for the 1997 8-Hour Ozone Standard and Determination of Attainment for the 1-Hour Ozone Standard; Clarification of EPA's Approval of the El Paso Section 110(a)(1) Maintenance Plan for the 1997 8-Hour Ozone Standard [EPA-R06-OAR-2008-0932; FRL-9214-9] received October 14, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

9932. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — Approval of Implementation Plans of Wisconsin; Nitrogen Oxides Reasonably Available Control Technology [EPA-R05-OAR-2007-0587; EPA-R05-OAR-2009-0732; FRL-9205-8] received October 14, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

9933. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — Outer Continental Shelf Air Regulations Consistency Update for California [OAR-2004-0091; FRL-9192-8] received September 9, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

9934. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Commonwealth of Kentucky; Prevention of Significant Deterioration and Nonattainment New Source Review Rules; Nitrogen Oxide as Precursor to Ozone [EPA-R04-OAR-2009-1014-201026; FRL-9201-1] received September 9, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

9935. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental

Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Texas; Revisions to the New Source Review (NSR) State Implementation Plan (SIP); Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) [EPA-R06-OAR-2010-0620; FRL-9199-8] received September 9, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

9936. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Texas; Revisions to the New Source Review (NSR) State Implementation Plan (SIP); Nonattainment NSR (NNSR) for the 1-Hour and the 1997 8-Hour Ozone Standard, NSR Reform, and a Standard Permit [EPA-R06-OAR-2006-0133 and EPA-R06-OAR-2005-TX-0025; FRL-9199-6] received September 9, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

9937. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — New Mexico: Final Authorization of State Hazardous Waste Management Program Revision [EPA-R06-RCRA-2009-0343 FRL-9217-2] received October 20, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

9938. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Illinois; Voluntary Nitrogen Oxides Controls [EPA-R05-OAR-2007-1096; FRL-9215-8] received October 20, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

9939. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Rhode Island; Determination of Attainment of the 1997 Ozone Standard for the Providence, Rhode Island Area [EPA-R01-OAR-2010-0459; A-1-FRL-9215-9] received October 20, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

9940. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Ohio; Particulate Matter Standards [EPA-R05-OAR-2008-0684; FRL-9215-2] received October 20, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

9941. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Ohio; Ohio Ambient Air Quality Standards [EPA-R05-OAR-2009-0807; FRL-9209-1] received October 20, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

9942. A letter from the Associate Bureau Chief, Wireline Competition, Federal Communication Commission, transmitting the Commission's final rule — Schools and Libraries Universal Service Support Mechanism [CC Docket No.: 02-6] A National Broadband Plan for Our Future [GN Docket No.: 09-51] received October 12, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

9943. A letter from the Policy Advisor, WTB, Federal Communications Commission, transmitting the Commission's final rule — Amendment of the Commission's Rules Governing Hearing Aid-Compatible Mobile Handsets [WT Docket No.: 07-250] received

September 15, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

9944. A letter from the Program Analyst, OMD, Financial Operations — RROG, Federal Communications Commission, transmitting the Commission's final rule — Assessment and Collection of Regulatory Fees for Fiscal Year 2010 [MD Docket No.: 10-87] received September 8, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

9945. A letter from the Policy Advisor, WTB, Federal Communications Commission, transmitting the Commission's final rule — Amendment of the Commission's Rules Governing Hearing Aid-Compatible Mobile Handsets [WT Docket No.: 07-250] received September 9, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

9946. A letter from the Deputy Division Chief, Federal Communications Commission, transmitting the Commission's final rule — Amendment of Part 97 of the Commission's Rules Regarding Amateur Radio Service Communications During Government Disaster Drills, Amateur Radio Policy Committee Petition for Rulemaking, Request by American Hospital Association for Blanket Waiver to Permit Hospitals to Use Amateur Radio as Part of Emergency Preparedness Drills [WP Docket No.: 10-72] [WP Docket No.: 10-54] received September 8, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

9947. A letter from the Chief of Staff, Media Bureau, Federal Communications Commission, transmitting the Commission's final rule — Amendment of Section 73.202(b), Table of Allotments, FM Broadcast Stations. (DeBeque, Colorado) [MB Docket No.: 10-22] received September 8, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

9948. A letter from the Chief of Staff, Media Bureau, Federal Communications Commission, transmitting the Commission's final rule — Amendment of Section 73.202(b), Table of Allotments, FM Broadcast Stations. (Blythe, California) [MB Docket No.: 08-151] received September 8, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

9949. A letter from the Acting Chief, TAPD, WCB, Federal Communications Commission, transmitting the Commission's final rule — Jurisdictional Separations and Referral to the Federal-State Joint Board (CC Docket No.: 80-286) received September 21, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

9950. A letter from the Program Analyst, OMD, Financial Operation — RROG, Federal Communications Commission, transmitting the Commission's final rule — Assessment and Collection of Regulatory Fees for Fiscal Year 2010 [MD Docket No.: 10-87] received September 27, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

9951. A letter from the Deputy Division Chief, Federal Communications Commission, transmitting the Commission's final rule — Amendment of Part 97 of the Commission's Rules Regarding Amateur Radio Service Communications During Government Disaster Drills, Amateur Radio Policy Committee Petition for Rulemaking, Request by American Hospital Association for Blanket Waiver to Permit Hospitals to Use Amateur Radio as Part of Emergency Preparedness Drills [WP Docket No.: 10-72] [WP Docket No.: 10-54] received September 27, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

9952. A letter from the Chief of Staff, Media Bureau, Federal Communications Commis-

sion, transmitting the Commission's final rule — Amendment of Section 73.202(b), Table of Allotments, FM Broadcast Stations. (Grants Pass, Oregon) [MB Docket No.: 10-117] received October 14, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

9953. A letter from the Chief, Policy and Rules Division, OET, Federal Communications Commission, transmitting the Commission's final rule — Investigation of the Spectrum Requirements for Advanced Medical Technologies, Amendment of Parts 2 and 95 of the Commission's Rules to Establish the Medical Device Radiocommunication Service at 401-402 and 405-406 MHz [ET Docket No.: 06-135] received October 20, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

9954. A letter from the Chief, Policy and Rules Division, OET, Federal Communications Commission, transmitting the Commission's final rule — Investigation of the Spectrum Requirements for Advanced Medical Technologies, Amendment of Parts 2 and 95 of the Commission's Rules to Establish the Medical Device Radiocommunication Service at 401-402 and 405-406 MHz [ET Docket No.: 06-135] received October 15, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

9955. A letter from the Executive Director, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, transmitting the Commission's final rule — Update of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's Fees Schedule for Annual Charges for the Use of Government Lands [Docket No.: RM10-27-000] received September 15, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

9956. A letter from the Secretary, Federal Trade Commission, transmitting the Commission's final rule — Telemarketing Sales Rule received September 27, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

9957. A letter from the Secretary, Federal Trade Commission, transmitting the Commission's final rule — Appliance Labeling Rule (RIN: 3084-AB03) received October 6, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

9958. A letter from the Deputy Director, Regulations Policy and Management Staff, Health and Human Services, transmitting the Department's final rule — Cardiovascular Devices; Reclassification of Certain Percutaneous Transluminal Coronary Angioplasty (PTCA) Catheters [Docket No.: FDA-2000-P-0924] [formerly Docket No.: FDA-2000-P-1533] received October 1, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

9959. A letter from the Program Manager, Health and Human Services, transmitting the Department's final rule — Countermeasures Injury Compensation Program (CICP): Administrative Implementation, Interim Final Rule (RIN: 0906-AA83) received October 15, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

9960. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Internal Revenue Service, transmitting the Agency's final rule — National Oil and Hazardous Substances Pollution Contingency Plan; National Priorities List [EPA-R04-SFUND-2010-0502; FRL-9194-3] received August 30, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

9961. A letter from the Director, Office of Congressional Affairs, Nuclear Regulatory Commission, transmitting the Commission's final rule — Service Level I, II, and III Protective Coatings Applied to Nuclear Power Plants (Regulatory Guide 1.54, Revision 2) received October 13, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C.

801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

9962. A letter from the Director, Office of Congressional Affairs, Nuclear Regulatory Commission, transmitting the Commission's final rule — NRC Enforcement Policy Revision [NRC-2008-0497] received September 29, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

9963. A letter from the Director, Office of Congressional Affairs, Nuclear Regulatory Commission, transmitting the Commission's final rule — Regulatory Guide 1.193 ASME Code Cases Not Approved For Use Revision 3 received September 30, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

9964. A letter from the Director, Office of Congressional Affairs, Nuclear Regulatory Commission, transmitting the Commission's final rule — Regulatory Guide 1.84 Design, Fabrication, and Materials Code Case Acceptability, ASME Section III Revision 35 received September 30, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

9965. A letter from the Director, Office of Congressional Affairs, Nuclear Regulatory Commission, transmitting the Commission's final rule — Incorporation by Reference of Regulatory Guide 1.84, Revision 35, and Regulatory Guide 1.147, Revision 16, into 10 CFR 50.55a [NRC 2009-0014] (RIN: 3150-AI37) received October 15, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

9966. A letter from the Director, Office of Congressional Affairs, U.S. Regulatory Commission, transmitting the Commission's final rule — Planned Special Exposure Regulatory Guide 8.35, Revision 1 received September 8, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

9967. A letter from the Secretary, Department of the Treasury, transmitting a six-month periodic report on the national emergency with respect to Iran that was declared in Executive Order 12957 of March 15, 1995, pursuant to 50 U.S.C. 1641(c); to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

9968. A letter from the Secretary, Department of the Treasury, transmitting a six-month periodic report on the national emergency with respect to the situation in or in relation to the Democratic Republic of the Congo that was declared in Executive Order 13413 of October 27, 2006, pursuant to 50 U.S.C. 1641(c); to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

9969. A letter from the Secretary, Department of the Treasury, transmitting as required by section 401(c) of the National Emergency Act, 50 U.S.C. 1641(c), and section 204(c) of the International Emergency Economic Powers Act, 50 U.S.C. 1703(c), and pursuant to Executive Order 13313 of July 31, 2003, a six-month periodic report on the national emergency with respect to significant narcotics traffickers centered in Colombia in Executive Order 12987 of October 21, 1995, pursuant to 50 U.S.C. 1641(c); to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

9970. A communication from the President of the United States, transmitting notification of an Executive Order that takes additional steps with respect to the national emergency declared with respect to the blocking of property of certain persons with respect to serious human rights abuses by the government of Iran declared by Executive Order 12957 of March 15, 1995, pursuant to 50 U.S.C. 1622(d); (H. Doc. No. 111—147); to the Committee on Foreign Affairs and ordered to be printed.

9971. A communication from the President of the United States, transmitting notification terminating the suspensions pertaining

to the issuance of temporary munitions export licenses for exports to the People's Republic of China, pursuant to Public Law 101-246, section 902(b)(2) (104 Stat. 85); (H. Doc. No. 111—148); to the Committee on Foreign Affairs and ordered to be printed.

9972. A letter from the Assistant Legal Adviser for Treaty Affairs, Department of State, transmitting pursuant to the Taiwan Relations Act, agreements concluded by the American Institute and the Taipei Economic and Cultural Representative Office in Washington, pursuant to 22 U.S.C. 3311(a); to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

9973. A letter from the Assistant Secretary, Legislative Affairs, Department of State, transmitting notification that effective September 12, 2010, the danger pay allowance for Nogales, Mexico has been eliminated, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 5928; to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

9974. A letter from the Secretary, Department of State, transmitting notification that effective September 12, 2010, the 15% Danger Pay Allowance for USG civilian employees serving in Reynosa, Mexico has been established, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 5928; to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

9975. A letter from the Acting Deputy Assistant Administrator, Bureau for Legislative and Public Affairs, Agency for International Development, transmitting a formal response to the GAO report entitled "USAID Needs to Improve Its Strategic Planning to Address Current and Future Workforce Needs"; to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

9976. A letter from the Chairman and Co-Chairman, Congressional Executive Commission on China, transmitting the Commission's annual report for 2010; to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

9977. A letter from the Director, Defense Security Cooperation Agency, transmitting pursuant to the reporting requirements of Section 36(b)(1) of the Arms Export Control Act, as amended, a correction to letters dated September 14, 2010, concerning the Department of the Air Force's proposed Letter(s) of Offer and Acceptance to Canada for defense articles and services; to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

9978. A letter from the Deputy Director, Defense Security Cooperation Agency, transmitting Transmittal No. 10-59, pursuant to the reporting requirements of Section 36(b)(1) of the Arms Export Control Act, as amended; to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

9979. A letter from the Deputy Director, Defense Security Cooperation Agency, transmitting Transmittal No. 10-55, pursuant to the reporting requirements of Section 36(b)(1) of the Arms Export Control Act, as amended; to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

9980. A letter from the Deputy Director, Defense Security Cooperation Agency, transmitting Transmittal No. 10-53, pursuant to the reporting requirements of Section 36(b)(1) of the Arms Export Control Act, as amended; to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

9981. A letter from the Director, Defense Security Cooperation Agency, transmitting Transmittal No. 10-63, pursuant to the reporting requirements of Section 36(b)(1) of the Arms Export Control Act, as amended; to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

9982. A letter from the Director, Defense Security Cooperation Agency, transmitting Transmittal No. 10-61, pursuant to the reporting requirements of Section 36(b)(1) of the Arms Export Control Act, as amended; to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

9983. A letter from the Deputy Director, Defense Security Cooperation Agency, transmitting Transmittal No. 10-60, pursuant to

the reporting requirements of Section 36(b)(1) of the Arms Export Control Act, as amended; to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

9984. A letter from the Deputy Director, Defense Security Cooperation Agency, transmitting Transmittal No. 10-54, pursuant to the reporting requirements of Section 36(b)(1) of the Arms Export Control Act, as amended; to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

9985. A letter from the Deputy Director, Defense Security Cooperation Agency, transmitting Transmittal No. 0B-10, pursuant to the reporting requirements of Section 36(b)(5)(a) of the Arms Export Control Act, as amended; to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

9986. A letter from the Director, International Cooperation, Department of Defense, transmitting Pursuant to Section 27(f) of the Arms Export Control Act and Section 1(f) of Executive Order 11958, Transmittal No. 20-10 informing of an intent to sign a Memorandum of Understanding with Canada and the Kingdom of Norway; to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

9987. A letter from the Assistant Secretary for Export Administration, Department of Commerce, transmitting the Department's final rule — Updated Statements of Legal Authority for the Export Administration Regulations (RIN: 0694-AF00) [Docket No.: 100824381-0381-02] received September 13, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

9988. A letter from the Assistant Secretary for Export Administration, Department of Commerce, transmitting the Department's final rule — Wassenaar Arrangement 2009 Plenary Agreements Implementation: Categories 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 Part I, 6, 7, and 9 of the Commerce Control List, Definitions, Reports [Docket No.: 100413184-0299-01] (RIN: 0694-AE91) received September 13, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

9989. A letter from the Director, Bureau of Economic Analysis, Department of Commerce, transmitting the Department's final rule — International Services Surveys: BE-180, Benchmark Survey of Financial Services Transactions Between U.S. Financial Services Providers and Foreign Persons [Docket No.: 0908131235-0248-02] (RIN: 0691-AA73) received October 1, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

9990. A letter from the Director, International Cooperation, Department of Defense, transmitting Pursuant to Section 27(f) of the Arms Export Control Act and Section 1(f) of Executive Order 11958, Transmittal No. 10-16 informing of an intent to sign a Memorandum of Understanding with Israel; to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

9991. A letter from the Director, International Cooperation, Department of Defense, transmitting Pursuant to Section 27(f) of the Arms Export Control Act and Section 1(f) of Executive Order 11958, Transmittal No. 10-14 informing of an intent to sign a Memorandum of Understanding with Germany; to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

9992. A letter from the Director, International Cooperation, Department of Defense, transmitting Pursuant to Section 27(f) of the Arms Export Control Act and Section 1(f) of Executive Order 11958, Transmittal No. 10-12 informing of an intent to sign a Memorandum of Understanding with Singapore; to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

9993. A letter from the Director, International Cooperation, Department of Defense, transmitting Pursuant to Section 27(f) of the Arms Export Control Act and Section 1(f) of Executive Order 11958, Transmittal No. 19-10 informing of an intent to sign a Memorandum of Understanding with the State of Israel; to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

9994. A letter from the Assistant Legal Advisor for Treaty Affairs, Department of State, transmitting a report prepared by the Department of State concerning international agreements other than treaties entered into by the United States to be transmitted to the Congress within the sixty-day period specified in the Case-Zablocki Act; to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

9995. A letter from the Assistant Legal Advisor for Treaty Affairs, Department of State, transmitting a report prepared by the Department of State concerning international agreements other than treaties entered into by the United States to be transmitted to the Congress within the sixty-day period specified in the Case-Zablocki Act; to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

9996. A letter from the Assistant Legal Advisor for Treaty Affairs, Department of State, transmitting a report prepared by the Department of State concerning international agreements other than treaties entered into by the United States to be transmitted to the Congress within the sixty-day period specified in the Case-Zablocki Act; to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

9997. A letter from the Assistant Secretary, Legislative Affairs, Department of State, transmitting a report concerning efforts made by the United Nations and the Specialized Agencies to employ an adequate number of Americans during 2009; to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

9998. A letter from the Assistant Legal Advisor for Treaty Affairs, Department of State, transmitting a report prepared by the Department of State concerning international agreements other than treaties entered into by the United States to be transmitted to the Congress within the sixty-day period specified in the Case-Zablocki Act; to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

9999. A letter from the Secretary, Department of the Treasury, transmitting the semiannual report detailing payments made to Cuba as a result of the provision of telecommunications services pursuant to Department of the Treasury specific licenses as required by section 1705(e)(6) of the Cuban Democracy Act of 1992, as amended by Section 102(g) of the Cuban Liberty and Democratic Solidarity (LIBERTAD) Act of 1996, 22 U.S.C. 6004(e)(6), and pursuant to Executive Order 13313 of July 31, 2003; to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

10000. A letter from the Secretary, Department of the Treasury, transmitting as required by section 401(c) of the National Emergencies Act, 50 U.S.C. 1641(c), and section 204(c) of the International Emergency Economic Powers Act, 50 U.S.C. 1703(c), and pursuant to Executive Order 13313 of July 31, 2003, a six-month periodic report on the national emergency with respect to persons undermining democratic processes or institutions in Zimbabwe that was declared in Executive Order 13288 of March 6, 2003; to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

10001. A letter from the Associate Director, PP&I, Department of the Treasury, transmitting the Department's final rule — Iraqi Sanctions Regulations and Iraq Stabilization and Insurgency Sanctions Regulations received September 8, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

10002. A letter from the Associate Director, PP&I, Department of the Treasury, transmitting the Department's final rule — Iranian Transactions Regulations received September 23, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

10003. A letter from the Secretary, Department of the Treasury, transmitting as required by section 204(c) of the International Emergency Economic Powers Act, 50 U.S.C.

1703(c), and pursuant to Executive Order 13313 of July 31, 2003, a six-month periodic report on the national emergency with respect to Iran that was declared in Executive Order 12170 of November 14, 1979; to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

10004. A letter from the Secretary, Department of the Treasury, transmitting as required by section 401(c) of the National Emergencies Act, 50 U.S.C. 1641(c), and section 204(c) of the International Emergency Economic Powers Act, 50 U.S.C. 1703(c), a six-month periodic report on the national emergency with respect to Somalia that was declared in Executive Order 13536 of April 12, 2010; to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

10005. A letter from the Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, transmitting the Commission's final rule — Temporary Registration of Municipal Advisors [Release No. 34-62824; File No. S7-19-10] (RIN: 3235-AK69) September 15, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Financial Services.

10006. A letter from the Auditor, Office of the District of Columbia Auditor, transmitting copy of the report entitled "Letter Report: Fiscal Year 2009 District of Columbia Agency Compliance with Small Business Enterprise Goals", pursuant to D.C. Code section 47-117(d); to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

10007. A letter from the Acting Executive Secretary, Agency for International Development, transmitting a report pursuant to the Federal Vacancies Reform Act of 1998; to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

10008. A letter from the Acting Executive Secretary, Agency for International Development, transmitting a report pursuant to the Federal Vacancies Reform Act of 1998; to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

10009. A letter from the Acting Executive Secretary, Agency for International Development, transmitting a report pursuant to the Federal Vacancies Reform Act of 1998; to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

10010. A letter from the Acting Executive Secretary, Agency for International Development, transmitting a report pursuant to the Federal Vacancies Reform Act of 1998; to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

10011. A letter from the Chairman, Council of the District of Columbia, transmitting Transmittal of D.C. ACT 18-556, "Closing of Public Streets and a Public Alley and Dedication and Designation of Land for Street Purposes in Squares 3765, 3767, 3768, and 3769 Temporary Act of 2010"; to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

10012. A letter from the Chairman, Council of the District of Columbia, transmitting Transmittal of D.C. ACT 18-552, "Howard Theater Redevelopment Project Great Streets Initiative Tax Increment Financing Temporary Act of 2010"; to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

10013. A letter from the Chairman, Council of the District of Columbia, transmitting Transmittal of D.C. ACT 18-555, "DC High Risk Pool Program Establishment Temporary Act of 2010"; to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

10014. A letter from the Chairman, Council of the District of Columbia, transmitting Transmittal of D.C. ACT 18-554, "Healthy DC Temporary Amendment Act of 2010"; to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

10015. A letter from the Chairman, Council of the District of Columbia, transmitting Transmittal of D.C. ACT 18-553, "Sustainable Energy Utility Temporary Amendment Act of 2010"; to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

10016. A letter from the Chairman, Council of the District of Columbia, transmitting Transmittal of D.C. ACT 18-551, "Youth Baseball Academy Grant Authorization Temporary Act of 2010"; to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

10017. A letter from the Chairman, Council of the District of Columbia, transmitting Transmittal of D.C. ACT 18-550, "Washington Convention and Sports Authority Temporary Amendment Act of 2010"; to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

10018. A letter from the Chairman, Council of the District of Columbia, transmitting Transmittal of D.C. ACT 18-549, "DCPL Federal Grant Authorization Temporary Amendment Act of 2010"; to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

10019. A letter from the Chairman, Council of the District of Columbia, transmitting Transmittal of D.C. ACT 18-548, "M.M. Washington Career High School Redevelopment Grant Authorization Temporary Act of 2010"; to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

10020. A letter from the Chairman, Council of the District of Columbia, transmitting Transmittal of D.C. ACT 18-547, "Kelsey Gardens Redevelopment Project Real Property Limited Tax Abatement Assistance Clarification Temporary Act of 2010"; to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

10021. A letter from the Chairman, Council of the District of Columbia, transmitting Transmittal of D.C. ACT 18-546, "14W and Anthony Bowen YMCA Project Tax Abatement Implementation Clarification Temporary Act of 2010"; to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

10022. A letter from the Chairman, Council of the District of Columbia, transmitting Transmittal of D.C. ACT 18-545, "Supermarket Tax Exemption Clarification Temporary Amendment Act of 2010"; to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

10023. A letter from the Chairman, Council of the District of Columbia, transmitting Transmittal of D.C. ACT 18-544, "Land Acquisition for Housing Development Opportunities Program Act of 2010"; to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

10024. A letter from the Chairman, Council of the District of Columbia, transmitting Transmittal of D.C. ACT 18-561, "Extension of Review Period for the Proposed Disposition of the J.F. Cook School Temporary Amendment Act of 2010"; to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

10025. A letter from the Chairman, Council of the District of Columbia, transmitting Transmittal of D.C. ACT 18-562 "District Settlement Payment Integrity Temporary Amendment Act of 2010"; to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

10026. A letter from the Chairman, Council of the District of Columbia, transmitting Transmittal of D.C. ACT 18-563, "Private Fire Hydrant Responsibility Temporary Act of 2010"; to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

10027. A letter from the Chairman, Council of the District of Columbia, transmitting Transmittal of D.C. ACT 18-558, "National Popular Vote Interstate Agreement Act of 2010"; to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

10028. A letter from the Chairman, Council of the District of Columbia, transmitting Transmittal of D.C. ACT 18-559, "Howard Theater Redevelopment Project Great Streets Initiative Tax Increment Financing Act of 2010"; to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

10029. A letter from the Executive Analyst, Department of Health and Human Services,

transmitting a report pursuant to the Federal Vacancies Reform Act of 1998; to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

10030. A letter from the Executive Analyst, Department of Health and Human Services, transmitting a report pursuant to the Federal Vacancies Reform Act of 1998; to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

10031. A letter from the Administrator, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's FY 2011-2015 Strategic Plan as required by the Government Performance and Results Act of 1993 (GPRA); to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

10032. A letter from the Senior Procurement Executive, General Services Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — Federal Acquisition Regulation; Federal Acquisition Circular 2005-45; Small Entity Compliance Guide [Docket FAR 2010-0077, Sequence 7] received September 8, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

10033. A letter from the Senior Procurement Executive, General Services Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — Federal Acquisition Regulation; American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (the Recovery Act) — Buy American Requirements for Construction Material [FAC 2005-45; FAR Case 2009-008; Item III; Docket 2009-0008, Sequence 1] (RIN: 9000-AL22) received September 8, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

10034. A letter from the Deputy Associate Administrator of Acquisition Policy and Senior Procurement Executive, General Services Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — Federal Acquisition Regulation; Inflation Adjustment of Acquisition-Related Thresholds [FAC 2005-45; FAR Case 2008-024; Item I; Docket 2010-0079, Sequence 1] (RIN: 9000-AL51) received September 18, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

10035. A letter from the Deputy Associate Administrator of Acquisition Policy and Senior Procurement Executive, General Services Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — Federal Acquisition Regulation; Federal Acquisition Circular 2005-45; Introduction [Docket: FAR 2010-0076, Sequence 7] received September 8, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

10036. A letter from the Deputy Associate Administrator of Acquisition Policy and Senior Procurement Executive, General Services Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — Federal Acquisition Regulation; Definition of Cost or Pricing Data [FAC 2005-45; FAR Case 2005-036; Item II; Docket 2007-0001, Sequence 15] (RIN: 9000-AK74) received September 8, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

10037. A letter from the Senior Procurement Executive, General Services Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — Federal Acquisition Regulation; Federal Acquisition Circular 2005-46; Small Entity Compliance Guide [Docket: FAR 2010-0077, Sequence 8] received September 30, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

10038. A letter from the Senior Procurement Executive, General Services Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — Federal Acquisition Regulation; Offering a Construction Requirement-8(a)

Program [FAC 2005-46; FAR Case 2009-020; Item V; Docket 2010-0103, Sequence 1] (RIN: 9000-AL68) received September 30, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

10039. A letter from the Senior Procurement Executive, GSA, General Services Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — Federal Acquisition Regulation; Buy American Exemption for Commercial Information Technology-Construction Material [FAC 2005-46; FAR Case 2009-039; Item VII; Docket 2010-0104, Sequence 1] (RIN: 9000-AL62) received September 30, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

10040. A letter from the Senior Procurement Executive, General Services Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — Federal Acquisition Regulation; Encouraging Contractor Policies to Ban Text Messaging While Driving [FAC 2005-46; FAR Case 2009-028; Item VI; Docket 2010-0097, Sequence 1] (RIN: 9000-AL64) received September 30, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

10041. A letter from the Senior Procurement Executive, General Services Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — Federal Acquisition Regulation; Termination for Default Reporting [FAC 2005-46; FAR Case 2008-016; Item III; Docket 2009-0032, Sequence 1] (RIN: 9000-AL45) received September 30, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

10042. A letter from the Senior Procurement Executive, General Services Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — Federal Acquisition Regulation; Award-Fee Language Revision [FAC 2005-46; FAR Case 2008-008; Item IV; Docket 2009-0036, Sequence 1] (RIN: 9000-AL42) received September 30, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

10043. A letter from the Senior Procurement Executive, General Services Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — Federal Acquisition Regulation; Certification Requirement and Procurement Prohibition Relating to Iran Sanctions [FAC 2005-46; FAR Case 2010-012; Item II; Docket 2010-0102, Sequence 1] (RIN: 9000-AL71) received September 30, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

10044. A letter from the Senior Procurement Executive, General Services Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — Federal Acquisition Regulation; Equal Opportunity for Veterans [FAC 2005-46; FAR Case 2009-007; Item I; Docket 2010-0101, Sequence 1] (RIN: 9000-AL67) received September 30, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

10045. A letter from the Senior Procurement Executive, General Services Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — Federal Acquisition Regulation; Federal Acquisition Circular 2005-46; Introduction [Docket FAR 2010-0076, Sequence 8] received September 30, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

10046. A letter from the Chief Human Capital Officer, National Science Foundation, transmitting report on the Foundation's use of the category rating method of evaluating external applicants for Federal positions, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 3319; to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

10047. A letter from the General Counsel & Senior Policy Advisor, Office of Management

and Budget, transmitting a report pursuant to the Federal Vacancies Reform Act of 1998; to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

10048. A letter from the General Counsel & Senior Policy Advisor, Office of Management and Budget, transmitting a report pursuant to the Federal Vacancies Reform Act of 1998; to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

10049. A letter from the Director, Office of National Drug Control Policy, transmitting the Office's report entitled, "Fiscal Year 2009 Performance Summary Report", pursuant to Public Law 105-277 (Div. C-Title VII), section 705(d); to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

10050. A letter from the Director, Office of Personnel Management, transmitting a legislative proposal entitled, "Federal Hiring Modernization Act of 2010"; to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

10051. A letter from the Director, Office of Personnel Management, transmitting the Office's final rule — Prevailing Rate Systems; Appendix D to Subpart B of Part 532 — Non-appropriated Fund Wage and Survey Areas (RIN: 3206-AM09) received September 15, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

10052. A letter from the General Counsel, Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation, transmitting a report pursuant to the Federal Vacancies Reform Act of 1998; to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

10053. A letter from the Deputy Administrator, Small Business Administration, transmitting the Administration's strategic plan for fiscal years 2011 through 2016 in compliance with the Government Performance and Results Act of 1993 (GPRA); to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

10054. A letter from the Chairman, Federal Election Commission, transmitting the Commission's final rule — Definition of Federal Election Activity [Notice 2010-18] received September 8, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on House Administration.

10055. A letter from the Chairman, Federal Election Commission, transmitting the Commission's final rule — Coordinated Communications [Notice 2010-17] received October 15, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on House Administration.

10056. A letter from the Chief Administrative Officer, transmitting the quarterly report of receipts and expenditures of appropriations and other funds for the period July 1, 2010 through September 30, 2010 as compiled by the Chief Administrative Officer, pursuant to 2 U.S.C. 104a Public Law 88-454; (H. Doc. No. 111—151); to the Committee on House Administration and ordered to be printed.

10057. A letter from the Assistant Secretary, Land and Minerals Management, Department of the Interior, transmitting the Department's final rule — Minerals Management: Adjustment of Cost Recovery Fees [L13100000 PP0000 LLWO310000 L1990000 PO0000 LLWO320000] (RIN: 1004-AE18) received September 15, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Natural Resources.

10058. A letter from the Director, Department of the Interior, transmitting a report entitled, "Report to Congress: The Bureau of Ocean Energy Management, Regulation, and Enforcement, Royalty in Kind Program" for Fiscal Year 2009; to the Committee on Natural Resources.

10059. A letter from the Acting Chief, Branch of Foreign Species, Department of

the Interior, transmitting the Department's final rule — Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Listing Three Foreign Bird Species from Latin America and Caribbean as Endangered Throughout Their Range [Docket No.: FWS-R3-ES-2009-0092] [90100-16601-FLA-B6] (RIN: 1018-AV76) received September 15, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Natural Resources.

10060. A letter from the Acting Chief, Branch of Recovery and Delisting, Department of the Interior, transmitting the Department's final rule — Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Threatened Status for Shovelnose Sturgeon under the Similarity of Appearance Provisions of the Endangered Species Act [Docket No.: FWS-R6-ES-2009-0027] [92220-1113-0000; ABC Code: C3] (RIN: 1018-AW27) received September 15, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Natural Resources.

10061. A letter from the Chief, Branch of Recovery and Delisting, Department of the Interior, transmitting the Department's final rule — Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Technical Corrections for Three Midwest Region Plant Species [Docket No.: FWS-R3-ES-2010-0068] [92220-1113-0000-B3] (RIN: 1018-AX28) received September 29, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Natural Resources.

10062. A letter from the Assistant Director, Program Support, Department of the Interior, transmitting the Department's final rule — Technical Amendments 2010 [Docket ID: OSM-2009-0006] (RIN: 1029-AC62) received September 24, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Natural Resources.

10063. A letter from the Assistant Secretary for Land and Minerals Management, Department of the Interior, transmitting the Department's final rule — Reorganization of Title 30, Code of Federal Regulations [Docket No. MMS-2010-MRM-0033] received October 6, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Natural Resources.

10064. A letter from the Assistant Secretary — Land and Minerals Management, Department of the Interior, transmitting the Department's final rule — Oil and Gas and Sulphur Operations in the Outer Continental Shelf-Safety and Environmental Management Systems [Docket ID: BOEM-2010-0046] (RIN: 1010-AD15) received October 14, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Natural Resources.

10065. A letter from the Assistant Secretary-Land and Minerals Management, Department of the Interior, transmitting the Department's "Major" final rule — Oil and Gas and Sulphur Operations in the Outer Continental Shelf-Increased Safety Measures for Energy Development on the Outer Continental Shelf [Docket ID: BOEM-2010-0034] (RIN: 1010-AD68) received October 14, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Natural Resources.

10066. A letter from the Assistant Secretary for Fish and Wildlife and Parks, Department of the Interior, transmitting the Department's final rule — General Regulation: National Park System (RIN: 1024-AD91) received October 21, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Natural Resources.

10067. A letter from the Director, Office of Hearings and Appeals, Department of the Interior, transmitting the Department's final rule — Interior Board of Land Appeals and Other Appeals Procedures (RIN: 1094-AA53) received October 27, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Natural Resources.

10068. A letter from the Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, NMFS, Na-

tional Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — Fisheries of the Exclusive Economic Zone Off Alaska; Atka Mackerel Lottery in Areas 542 and 543 [Docket No.: 0910131363-0087-02] (RIN: 0648-XY14) received September 13, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Natural Resources.

10069. A letter from the Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, NMFS, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — Fisheries of the Exclusive Economic Zone Off Alaska; Reallocation of Rock Sole in the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Management Area [Docket No.: 0910131363-0087-02] (RIN: 0648-XY29) received September 15, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Natural Resources.

10070. A letter from the Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, NMFS, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — Atlantic Highly Migratory Species; Atlantic Bluefin Tuna Fisheries (RIN: 0648-XY10) received September 15, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Natural Resources.

10071. A letter from the Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, NMFS, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — Magnuson-Stevens Act Provisions; Fisheries Off West Coast States; Pacific Coast Groundfish Fishery; Inseason Adjustments to Fishery Management Measures [Docket No.: 090428799-9802-01] (RIN: 0648-BA10) received September 15, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Natural Resources.

10072. A letter from the Assistant Administrator for Fisheries, NMFS, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — Fisheries of the Northeastern United States; Atlantic Deep-Sea Red Crab Fisheries; 2010 Atlantic Deep-Sea Red Crab Specifications In-season Adjustment [Docket No.: 100513223-0289-02] (RIN: 0648-AY88) received September 15, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Natural Resources.

10073. A letter from the Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, NMFS, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — Fisheries of the Northeastern United States; Northeast Multispecies Fishery; Implementation of Trip Limit for Witch Flounder and Removal of Trip Limit for Pollock [Docket No.: 0910051338-0151-02] (RIN: 0648-XY03) received September 15, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Natural Resources.

10074. A letter from the Assistant Administrator for Fisheries, NMFS, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — Fisheries of the Northeastern United States; Atlantic Herring Fishery; Specifications [Docket No.: 0907301205-0289-02] (RIN: 0648-AY14) received September 13, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Natural Resources.

10075. A letter from the Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, NMFS, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — Atlantic Highly Migratory Species; Atlantic Bluefin Tuna Fisheries (RIN: 0648-XX26) September 13, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Natural Resources.

10076. A letter from the Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, NMFS, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — Fisheries of the Exclusive Economic Zone Off Alaska; Pacific Ocean Perch in the

Western Yakutat District of the Gulf of Alaska [Docket No.: 0910131362-0087-02] (RIN: 0648-XX77) received September 13, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Natural Resources.

10077. A letter from the Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, NMFS, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — Fisheries of the Northeastern United States; Atlantic Mackerel, Squid, and Butterfish Fisheries; Adjustment to the Lologo Trimester 2 and 3 Quota; Correction [Docket No.: 0907301206-0032-02] (RIN: 0648-XW95) received September 13, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Natural Resources.

10078. A letter from the Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, NMFS, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — Fisheries of the Exclusive Economic Zone Off Alaska; Northern Rockfish for Catcher/Processors Participation in the Rockfish Limited Access Fishery in the Central Regulatory Area of the Gulf of Alaska [Docket No.: 091013162-0087-02] (RIN: 0648-XX68) received September 13, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Natural Resources.

10079. A letter from the Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, NMFS, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — Fisheries of the Exclusive Economic Zone Off Alaska; Pacific Ocean Perch for Catcher Vessels Participating in the Rockfish Entry Level Trawl Fishery in the Central Regulatory Area of the Gulf of Alaska [Docket No.: 091013162-0087-02] (RIN: 0648-XX65) received September 13, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Natural Resources.

10080. A letter from the Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, NMFS, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — Magnuson-Stevens Act Provisions; Fisheries off West Coast States; Pacific Coast Groundfish Fishery; Inseason Adjustments to Fishery Management Measures [Docket No.: 090428799-9802-01] (RIN: 0648-BA05) received September 13, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Natural Resources.

10081. A letter from the Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, NMFS, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — Fisheries Off West Coast States; Modifications of the West Coast Commercial and Recreational Salmon Fisheries; Inseason Actions #5, #6, #7, and #8 [Docket No.: 100218107-0199-01] (RIN: 0648-XX92) received September 13, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Natural Resources.

10082. A letter from the Deputy Assistant Administrator for Regulatory Programs, NMFS, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — Atlantic Highly Migratory Species; Atlantic Shark Management Measures; Amendment 3 [Docket No.: 080519678-0313-03] (RIN: 0648-AW65) received September 15, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Natural Resources.

10083. A letter from the Deputy Assistant Administrator for Regulatory Programs, NMFS, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — Fisheries of the Caribbean, Gulf of Mexico, and South Atlantic; Emergency Fisheries Closures in the Southeast Region Due to the Deepwater Horizon MC252 Oil Spill; Publication of Coordinates [Docket No.: 100510220-0334-03] (RIN: 0648-

AY90) received September 15, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Natural Resources.

10084. A letter from the Deputy Assistant Administrator For Regulatory Programs, NMFS, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — Fisheries of the Exclusive Economic Zone Off Alaska; Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Crab Rationalization Program; Emergency Extension [Docket No.: 100106010-0074-01] (RIN: 0648-AY52) received September 15, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Natural Resources.

10085. A letter from the Deputy Assistant Administrator for Regulatory Programs, NMFS, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — Magnuson-Stevens Act Provisions; Fisheries Off West Coast States; Pacific Coast Groundfish Fishery; Biennial Specifications and Management Measures [Docket No.: 100617272-0271-02] (RIN: 0648-AY94) received August 18, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Natural Resources.

10086. A letter from the Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, NMFS, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — Fisheries Off West Coast States; Coastal Pelagic Species Fisheries; Closure [Docket No.: 0912281446-0111-02] (RIN: 0648-XX54) received September 13, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Natural Resources.

10087. A letter from the Deputy Assistant Administrator for Operations, NMFS, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — Fisheries of the Northeastern United States; Northeast Multispecies Fishery; Pollock Catch Limit Revisions [Docket No.: 100427197-0207-01] (RIN: 0648-AY86) received September 13, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Natural Resources.

10088. A letter from the Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, NMFS, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — Fisheries of the Exclusive Economic Zone Off Alaska; Pacific Ocean Perch for Catcher/Processors Participating in the Rockfish Limited Access Fishery in the Central Regulatory Area the Gulf of Alaska [Docket No.: 0910131362-0087-02] (RIN: 0648-XX71) received September 13, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Natural Resources.

10089. A letter from the Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, NMFS, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — Fisheries of the Northeastern United States; Northeast Multispecies Fishery; Trip Limit Reduction and Trawl Gear Restriction [Docket No.: 0910051338-0151-02] (RIN: 0648-XX64) received September 13, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Natural Resources.

10090. A letter from the Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, NMFS, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — Fisheries Off West Coast States; Modifications of the West Coast Commercial and Recreational Salmon Fisheries; Inseason Actions #1, #2, #3, and #4 [Docket No.: 100218107-0199-01] (RIN: 0648-XX18) received September 13, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Natural Resources.

10091. A letter from the Acting Deputy Director, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — Gray's Reef

National Marine Sanctuary Regulations on the Use of Spearfishing Gear; Correction [Docket No.: 090122043-0128-03] (RIN: 0648-AX37) received September 27, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Natural Resources.

10092. A letter from the Acting Deputy Director, Office of National Marine Sanctuaries, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, transmitting the Agency's final rule — Gulf of the Farallones, Monterey Bay and Cordell Bank National Marine Sanctuaries Technical Corrections [Docket No.: 0908201245-0217-01] (RIN: 0648-AY20) received September 27, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Natural Resources.

10093. A letter from the Acting Deputy Director, Office of National Marine Sanctuaries, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — Changes to the Florida Keys National Marine Sanctuary Regulations; Technical Corrections and Minor Substantive Changes [Docket No.: 0810241396-9118-02] (RIN: 0648-AX34) received September 27, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Natural Resources.

10094. A letter from the Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, NMFS, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — Fisheries of the Exclusive Economic Zone Off Alaska; Reallocation of Pollock in the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands [Docket No.: 0910131363-0087-02] (RIN: 0648-XY84) received October 4, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Natural Resources.

10095. A letter from the Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, NMFS, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — Fisheries Off West Coast States; Modifications of the West Coast Commercial and Recreational Salmon Fisheries; Inseason Actions #9, #10, and #11 [Docket No.: 100218107-0199-01] (RIN: 0648-XY08) received October 4, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Natural Resources.

10096. A letter from the Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, NMFS, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — Fisheries of the Northeastern United States; Northeast Skate Complex Fishery; Reduction of Skate Wing Fishery Possession Limit [Docket No.: 080228326-0108-03] (RIN: 0648-XY46) received October 1, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Natural Resources.

10097. A letter from the Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, NMFS, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — Fisheries of the Northeastern United States; Northeast Multispecies Fishery; Modification of the Common Pool Day-at-Sea Accounting and Possession Prohibition for Witch Flounder [Docket No.: 0910051338-0151-02] (RIN: 0648-XY20) received October 4, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Natural Resources.

10098. A letter from the Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, NMFS, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — Atlantic Highly Migratory Species; Inseason Action To Close the Commercial Porbeagle Shark Fishery [Docket No.: 0906221072-91425-02] (RIN: 0648-XY56) received October 4, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Natural Resources.

10099. A letter from the Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, NMFS, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administra-

tion, transmitting the Administration's final rule — Fisheries of the Northeastern United States; Scup Fishery; Adjustment to the 2010 Winter II Quota [Docket No.: 0908191244-91427-02] (RIN: 0648-XY61) received October 4, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Natural Resources.

10100. A letter from the Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, NMFS, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — Fisheries of the Northeastern United States; Atlantic Mackerel, Squid, and Butterfish Fisheries; Closure of the Directed Butterfish Fishery [Docket No.: 0907301206-0032-02] (RIN: 0648-XX82) received October 4, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Natural Resources.

10101. A letter from the Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, NMFS, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — Fisheries of the Exclusive Economic Zone Off Alaska; Reallocation of Pacific cod in the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Management Area [Docket No.: 0910131363-0087-02] (RIN: 0648-XX01) received October 4, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Natural Resources.

10102. A letter from the Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, NMFS, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — Fisheries of the Northeastern United States; Spiny Dogfish Fishery; Commercial Period 1 Quota Harvested [Docket No.: 100201058-0260-02] (RIN: 0648-XY22) received October 4, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Natural Resources.

10103. A letter from the Deputy Assistant Administrator for Regulatory Programs, NMFS, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — Fisheries in the Western Pacific; Bottomfish and Seamount Groundfish Fisheries; 2010-11 Main Hawaiian Islands Bottomfish Total Allowable Catch [Docket No.: 100630283-0388-02] (RIN: 0648-XX15) received October 4, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Natural Resources.

10104. A letter from the Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, NMFS, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — Fisheries of the Exclusive Economic Zone Off Alaska; Pacific Ocean Perch in the West Yakutat District of the Gulf of Alaska [Docket No.: 0910131362-0087-02] (RIN: 0648-XY66) received October 4, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Natural Resources.

10105. A letter from the Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, NMFS, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — Fisheries of the Exclusive Economic Zone Off Alaska; Atka Mackerel in the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Management Area [Docket No.: 0910131363-0087-02] (RIN: 0648-XY62) received October 4, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Natural Resources.

10106. A letter from the Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, NMFS, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — Fisheries of the Exclusive Economic Zone Off Alaska; Pacific Cod in the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands [Docket No.: 0910131363-0087-02] (RIN: 0648-XY45) received October 4, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Natural Resources.

10107. A letter from the Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, NMFS, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, transmitting the Administration's final

rule — Fisheries of the Exclusive Economic Zone Off Alaska; Pollock in Statistical Area 630 in the Gulf of Alaska [Docket No.: 0910131362-0087-02] (RIN: 0648-XY57) received October 4, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Natural Resources.

10108. A letter from the Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, NMFS, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — Fisheries of the Exclusive Economic Zone Off Alaska; Northern Rockfish for Vessels Participating in the Rockfish Entry Level Fishery in the Central Regulatory Area of the Gulf of Alaska [Docket No.: 0910131362-0087-02] (RIN: 0648-XY72) received October 4, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Natural Resources.

10109. A letter from the Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, NMFS, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — Fisheries of the Exclusive Economic Zone Off Alaska; Pacific Ocean Perch for Vessels Participating in the Rockfish Entry Level Fishery in the Central Regulatory Area of the Gulf of Alaska [Docket No.: 0910131362-0087-02] (RIN: 0648-XY70) received October 4, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Natural Resources.

10110. A letter from the Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, NMFS, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — Fisheries of the Exclusive Economic Zone Off Alaska; Pelagic Shelf Rockfish for Vessels Participating in the Rockfish Entry Level Fishery in the Central Regulatory Area of the Gulf of Alaska [Docket No.: 0910131362-0087-02] (RIN: 0648-XY71) received October 4, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Natural Resources.

10111. A letter from the Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, NMFS, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — Fisheries of the Exclusive Economic Zone Off Alaska; Reallocation of Pacific cod in the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Management Area [Docket No.: 0910131363-0087-02] (RIN: 0648-XX01) received October 1, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Natural Resources.

10112. A letter from the Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, NMFS, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — Fisheries of the Exclusive Economic Zone Off Alaska; Reallocation of Pacific Cod in the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Management Area [Docket No.: 0910131363-0087-02] (RIN: 0648-AY82) received October 1, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Natural Resources.

10113. A letter from the Assistant Administrator for Fisheries, NMFS, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — Fisheries of the Western Pacific; Community Development Program Process [Docket No.: 0907211157-0224-02] (RIN: 0648-AX76) received October 1, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Natural Resources.

10114. A letter from the Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, NMFS, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — Fisheries of the Northeastern United States; Summer Flounder Fishery; Commercial Quota Harvested for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts [Docket No.: 0908191244-91427-02] (RIN: 0648-XY35) received October 1, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Natural Resources.

10115. A letter from the Deputy Assistant Administrator for Regulatory Programs, NMFS, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — Fisheries of the Exclusive Economic Zone Off Alaska; Chinook Salmon Bycatch Management in the Bering Sea Pollock Fishery [Docket No.: 090511911-0307-02] (RIN: 0648-AX89) received October 1, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Natural Resources.

10116. A letter from the Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, NMFS, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — Fisheries of the Exclusive Economic Zone Off Alaska; Northern Rockfish in the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Management Area [Docket No.: 0910131363-0087-02] (RIN: 0648-XY87) received October 1, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Natural Resources.

10117. A letter from the Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, NMFS, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — Fisheries of the Exclusive Economic Zone Off Alaska; Pollock in Statistical Area 620 in the Gulf of Alaska [Docket No.: 0910131362-0087-02] (RIN: 0648-XY88) received October 4, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Natural Resources.

10118. A letter from the Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, NMFS, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — Fisheries of the Northeastern United States; Atlantic Mackerel, Squid, and Butterfish Fisheries; Closure of the Directed Butterfish Fishery [Docket No.: 0907301206-0032-02] (RIN: 0648-XX82) received October 15, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Natural Resources.

10119. A letter from the Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, NMFS, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — Fisheries of the Northeastern United States; Spiny Dogfish Fishery; Commercial Period 1 Quota Harvested [Docket No.: 100201058-0260-02] (RIN: 0648-XY22) received October 15, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Natural Resources.

10120. A letter from the Director, Administrative Office of the United States Courts, transmitting the Office's report entitled, "Report of the Proceedings of the Judicial Conference of the United States" for the March 2010 session; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

10121. A letter from the President, American Academy and Institute of Arts and Letters, transmitting the annual report of the activities of the American Academy of Arts and Letters during the year ending December 31, 2009, pursuant to section 4 of its charter (39 Stat. 51); to the Committee on the Judiciary.

10122. A letter from the Staff Director, Commission on Civil Rights, transmitting notification that the Commission recently appointed members to the Arkansas Advisory Committee; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

10123. A letter from the Staff Director, Commission on Civil Rights, transmitting notification that the Commission recently appointed members to the Maine Advisory Committee; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

10124. A letter from the Secretary, Department of Health and Human Services, transmitting the Department's determination on a petition on behalf of a class of workers from the Blockson Chemical Company in Joliet, Illinois to be added to the Special Expo-

sure Cohort (SEC), pursuant to the Energy Employees Occupational Illness Compensation Program Act of 2000 (EEOICPA); to the Committee on the Judiciary.

10125. A letter from the Management and Program Analyst, Department of Homeland Security, transmitting the Department's "Major" final rule — U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services Fee Schedule [DHS Docket No.: USCIS-2009-0033] (RIN: 1615-AB80) received September 23, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on the Judiciary.

10126. A letter from the Management and Program Analyst, Department of Homeland Security, transmitting the Department's final rule — U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services Fee Schedule [CIS No. 2490-09, DHS Docket No. USCIS-2009-0033] (RIN: 1615-AB80) received September 28, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on the Judiciary.

10127. A letter from the Deputy Assistant Attorney General, Torts Branch, Department of Justice, transmitting the Department's final rule — Radiation Exposure Compensation Act: Allowance for Costs and Expenses [CIV Docket No.: 111; AG Order No. 3185-2010] (RIN: 1105-AB33) received September 15, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on the Judiciary.

10128. A letter from the Assistant Attorney General, Department of Justice, transmitting the annual report of the Office of Justice Programs' Bureau of Justice Assistance for Fiscal Year 2009, pursuant to 42 U.S.C. 3712(b); to the Committee on the Judiciary.

10129. A letter from the Trial Attorney, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Inflation Adjustment of the Ordinary Maximum and Aggravated Maximum Civil Monetary Penalties for a Violation of the Hazardous Material Transportation Laws and Regulations [Docket No.: FRA-2004-17530; Notice No. 2] (RIN: 2130-ZA03) received October 14, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on the Judiciary.

10130. A letter from the Secretary, Federal Trade Commission, transmitting the Commission's Thirty-second annual Report to Congress pursuant to section 201 of the Hart-Scott-Rodino Antitrust Improvements Act of 1976 the Clayton Act, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. 18a(j); to the Committee on the Judiciary.

10131. A letter from the Acting Director, Defense Capabilities and Management, Government Accountability Office, transmitting the GAO's report entitled "Military Personnel: Observations on the Use and Effects of the National Guard and Reservists Debt Relief Act of 2008"; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

10132. A letter from the Attorney General, Office of the Attorney General, transmitting a letter concerning a case before the Supreme Court; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

10133. A letter from the Clerk of the Court, United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit, transmitting an opinion of the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit No. 09-4025-cr USA v. Epstein (August 27, 2010); to the Committee on the Judiciary.

10134. A letter from the Secretary, Department of Transportation, transmitting the National Plan of Integrated Airport Systems (NPIAS), 2011-2015, pursuant to 49 U.S.C. app. 2203(b)(1); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10135. A letter from the Attorney Advisor, Department of Homeland Security, transmitting the Department's final rule — Regulated Navigation Areas, Safety Zones, Security Zones; Deepwater Ports in Boston Capitan of the Port Zone; MA [Docket No.:

USCG-2009-0589] (RIN: 1625-AA00, 1625-AA11) received September 8, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10136. A letter from the Attorney Advisor, Department of Homeland Security, transmitting the Department's final rule — Regulated Navigation Area; Boom Deployment Strategy [Docket No.: USCG-2010-0666] (RIN: 1625-AA11) received September 9, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10137. A letter from the Attorney Advisor, Department of Homeland Security, transmitting the Department's final rule — Navigation and Navigable Waters; Technical, Organizational and Conforming Amendments, Bridges [Docket No.: USCG-2010-0351] (RIN: 1625-ZA25) received September 9, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10138. A letter from the Attorney Advisor, Department of Homeland Security, transmitting the Department's final rule — Regulated Navigation Area; Galveston Channel, TX [Docket No.: USCG-2009-0931] (RIN: 1625-AA11) received September 9, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10139. A letter from the Attorney Advisor, Department of Homeland Security, transmitting the Department's final rule — Safety Zone; Thunder on Niagara, Niagara River, North Tonawanda, NY [Docket No.: USCG-2010-0745] (RIN: 1625-AA00) received September 9, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10140. A letter from the Attorney, Department of Homeland Security, transmitting the Department's final rule — Navigation and Navigable Waters; Technical, Organizational, and Conforming Amendments, Sector Columbia River, WA [Docket No.: USCG-2010-0351] (RIN: 1625-ZA25) received September 9, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10141. A letter from the Attorney, Department of Homeland Security, transmitting the Department's final rule — Navigation and Navigable Waters; Technical, Organizational, and Conforming Amendments, Sector Puget Sound, WA [Docket No.: USCG-2010-0351] (RIN: 1625-ZA25) received September 9, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10142. A letter from the Attorney, Department of Homeland Security, transmitting the Department's final rule — Special Local Regulation for Marine Events; Elizabeth River, Portsmouth, VA [Docket No.: USCG-2010-0713] (RIN: 1625-AA08) received September 9, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10143. A letter from the Attorney, Department of Homeland Security, transmitting the Department's final rule — Special Local Regulation; Marine Events Within the Captain of the Port Sector Boston Zone [Docket No.: USCG-2010-0675] (RIN: 1625-AA08) received September 9, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10144. A letter from the Attorney Advisor, Department of Homeland Security, transmitting the Department's final rule — Safety Zone; Celebrate Erie, Presque Isle Bay, Erie, PA [Docket No.: USCG-2010-0746] (RIN: 1625-AA00) received September 9, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10145. A letter from the Attorney-Advisor, Department of Homeland Security, transmitting the Department's final rule — Safety Zone; Kanawha River Mile 56.7 to 57.6,

Charleston, WV [Docket No.: USCG-2010-0208] (RIN: 1625-AA00) received September 9, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10146. A letter from the Attorney-Advisor, Department of Homeland Security, transmitting the Department's final rule — Safety zone; AVI September Fireworks Display, Laughlin, Nevada, NV [Docket No.: USCG-2010-0020] (RIN: 1625-AA00) received September 9, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10147. A letter from the Attorney, Department of Homeland Security, transmitting the Department's final rule — Safety Zone; Transformers 3 Movie Filming, Chicago River, Chicago, IL [Docket No.: USCG-2010-0706] (RIN: 1625-AA00) received September 9, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10148. A letter from the Attorney-Advisor, Department of Homeland Security, transmitting the Department's final rule — Safety Zone; Ne'eia Kea Small Boat Harbor, Kaneohe Bay, Oahu, Hawaii [Docket No.: USCG-2010-0458] (RIN: 1625-AA00) received September 9, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10149. A letter from the Attorney-Advisor, Department of Homeland Security, transmitting the Department's final rule — Safety Zone; DEEPWATER HORIZON Response Staging Area in the vicinity of Shell Beach, Hopedale, LA [Docket No.: USCG-2010-0622] received September 9, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10150. A letter from the Attorney Advisor, Department of Homeland Security, transmitting the Department's final rule — Security Zone; 2010 Seattle Seafair Fleet Week Moving Vessels, Puget Sound, Washington [Docket No.: USCG-2010-0709] (RIN: 1625-AA87) received September 9, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10151. A letter from the Attorney, Department of Homeland Security, transmitting the Department's final rule — Safety Zone; Live-Fire Gun Exercise, M/V Del Monte, James River, VA [Docket No.: USCG-2010-0585] (RIN: 1625-AA00) received September 9, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10152. A letter from the Attorney-Advisor, Department of Homeland Security, transmitting the Department's final rule — Safety Zones; Fireworks within the Captain of the Port Sector Boston Zone [Docket No.: USCG-2010-0685] (RIN: 1625-AA00) received September 9, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10153. A letter from the Attorney-Advisor, Department of Homeland Security, transmitting the Department's final rule — Drawbridge Operation Regulation; Elizabeth River, Eastern Branch, Norfolk, VA [USCG-2009-0754] (RIN: 1625-AA00) received September 9, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10154. A letter from the Regulatory Ombudsman, Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's "Major" final rule — Electronic On-Board Recorders for Hours-of-Service Compliance [Docket No.: FMCSA-2004-18940] (RIN: 2126-AA89) received September 21, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10155. A letter from the Secretary, Department of Transportation, transmitting the

Department's sixth report on the breakdown of the disability-related complaints that U.S. and foreign passenger air carriers operating to and from the U.S. received during 2009, pursuant to Section 707 of the Wendell H. Ford Aviation Investment and Reform Act for the 21st Century; to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10156. A letter from the Secretary, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's report of obligations and unobligated balances of funds provided for Federal-aid highway and safety construction programs for Fiscal Year 2009 as of September 30, 2009, pursuant to 23 U.S.C. 104(j); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10157. A letter from the Secretary, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's report of obligations and unobligated balances of funds provided for Federal-aid highway and safety construction programs for Fiscal Year 2009 as of September 30, 2009, pursuant to 23 U.S.C. 104(j); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10158. A letter from the Administrator, Federal Highway Administration, Department of Transportation, transmitting a letter regarding the status of a report as required by the Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users (SAFETEA-LU); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10159. A letter from the FMCSA Regulatory Ombudsman, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Limiting the Use of Wireless Communication Devices [Docket No.: FMCSA-2009-0370] (RIN: 2126-AB22) received September 30, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10160. A letter from the Chairman, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Regulations Governing Fees for Services Performed in Connection with Licensing and Related Services — 2010 Update [Docket No.: EP 542 (Sub-No. 17)] received September 13, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10161. A letter from the Senior Program Analyst, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Standard Instrument Approach Procedures, and Takeoff Minimums and Obstacle Departures Procedures; Miscellaneous Amendments [Docket No.: 30740; Amdt. 3388] received September 15, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10162. A letter from the Senior Program Analyst, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Standard Instrument Approach Procedures, and Takeoff Minimums and Obstacle Departures Procedures; Miscellaneous Amendments [Docket No.: 30741; Amdt. 3389] received September 15, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10163. A letter from the Senior Program Analyst, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Standard Instrument Approach Procedures, and Takeoff Minimums and Obstacle Departures Procedures; Miscellaneous Amendments [Docket No.: 30743; Amdt. 3390] received September 29, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10164. A letter from the Program Analyst, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Airworthiness Directives; Pratt & Whitney Canada (P&WC) PW530A, PW545A, and PW545B Turbofan Engines [Docket No.: FAA-2010-0864; Directorate Identifier 2010-NE-28-AD; Amendment

39-16422; AD 2010-18-09] (RIN: 2120-AA64) received September 29, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10165. A letter from the Program Analyst, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Airworthiness Directives; The Boeing Company Model 737-700 (IGW) Series Airplanes Equipped with Auxiliary Fuel Tanks Installed in Accordance with Configuration 3 of Supplemental Type Certificate ST00936NY [Docket No.: FAA-2010-0037; Directorate Identifier 2009-NM-240-AD; Amendment 39-16431; AD 2010-19-03] (RIN: 2120-AA64) received September 29, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10166. A letter from the Program Analyst, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Airworthiness Directives; Thielert Aircraft Engines GmbH (TAE) Models TAE 125-01 and TAE 125-02-99 Reciprocating Engines [Docket No.: FAA-2010-0683; Directorate Identifier 2010-NE-25-AD; Amendment 39-16415; AD 2010-18-02] (RIN: 2120-AA64) received September 29, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10167. A letter from the Program Analyst, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Airworthiness Directives; GA 8 Airvan (Pty) Ltd Models GA8 and GA8-TC320 Airplanes [Docket No.: FAA-2010-0847; Directorate Identifier 2010-CE-046-AD; Amendment 39-16419; AD 2010-18-06] (RIN: 2120-AA64) received September 29, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10168. A letter from the Assistant Chief Counsel for Hazardous Materials Safety, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Hazardous Materials: Minor Editorial Corrections and Clarifications [Docket No.: PHMSA-2010-0195 (HM-244C)] received September 15, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10169. A letter from the Program Analyst, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Airworthiness Directives; Pratt & Whitney (PW) PW4000 Series Turbofan Engines [Docket No.: FAA-2010-0217; Directorate Identifier 2009-NE-23-AD; Amendment 39-16427; AD 2010-18-13] (RIN: 2120-AA64) received September 29, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10170. A letter from the Program Analyst, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Airworthiness Directives; Sikorsky Aircraft Corporation Model S-76A, S-76B, and S-76C Helicopters [Docket No.: FAA-2008-0609; Directorate Identifier 2008-SW-24-AD; Amendment 39-16408; AD 2010-17-16] (RIN: 2120-AA64) received September 29, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10171. A letter from the Program Analyst, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Airworthiness Directives; The Boeing Company Model 737-100 and -200 Series Airplanes [Docket No.: FAA-2010-0481; Directorate Identifier 2009-NM-192-AD; Amendment 39-16406; AD 2010-17-14] (RIN: 2120-AA64) received September 15, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10172. A letter from the Program Analyst, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Airworthiness Directives; Thielert Aircraft Engines GmbH

(TAE) Models TAE 125-01 and TAE 125-02-99 Reciprocating Engines Installed In, But Not Limited To, Diamond Aircraft Industries Model DA 42 Airplanes; Correction [Docket No.: FAA-2009-0201; Directorate Identifier 2008-NE-47-AD; Amendment 39-16314; AD 2010-11-09] (RIN: 2120-AA64) received September 15, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10173. A letter from the Program Analyst, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Airworthiness Directives; Agusta S.p.A. (Agusta) Model A119 and AW119 MKII Helicopters [Docket No.: FAA-2010-0824; Directorate Identifier 2010-SW-045-AD; Amendment 39-16409; AD 2010-12-51] (RIN: 2120-AA64) received September 15, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10174. A letter from the Program Analyst, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Airworthiness Directives; Bombardier-Rotax GmbH 912 F Series and 912 S Series Reciprocating Engines [Docket No.: FAA-2010-0499; Directorate Identifier 2010-NE-06-AD; Amendment 39-16428; AD 2010-18-14] (RIN: 2120-AA64) received September 15, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10175. A letter from the Program Analyst, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Airworthiness Directives; BAE SYSTEMS (OPERATIONS) LIMITED Model BAe 146 and Avro 146-RJ Airplanes [Docket No.: FAA-2010-0477; Directorate Identifier 2009-NM-226-AD; Amendment 39-16423; AD 2010-18-10] (RIN: 2120-AA64) received September 15, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10176. A letter from the Program Analyst, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Airworthiness Directives; Bombardier, Inc. Model CL-600-2C10 (Regional Jet Series 700, 701, & 702); Model CL-600-2D15 (Regional Jet Series 705); and Model CL-600-2D24 (Regional Jet Series 900) Airplanes [Docket No.: FAA-2010-0851; Directorate Identifier 2010-NM-171-AD; Amendment 39-16424; AD 2010-18-11] (RIN: 2120-AA64) received September 15, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10177. A letter from the Program Analyst, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Airworthiness Directives; Bombardier, Inc. Model CL-600-2C10 (Regional Jet Series 700, 701, & 702); Model CL-600-2D15 (Regional Jet Series 705); and CL-600-2D24 (Regional Jet Series 900) Airplanes [Docket No.: FAA-2009-1110; Directorate Identifier 2009-NM-116-AD; Amendment 39-16421; AD 2010-18-08] (RIN: 2120-AA64) received September 15, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10178. A letter from the Program Analyst, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Airworthiness Directives; Eurocopter France Model SA330J Helicopters [Docket No.: FAA-2010-0825; Directorate Identifier 2010-SW-072-AD; Amendment 39-16410; AD 2010-16-51] (RIN: 2120-AA64) received September 15, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10179. A letter from the Program Analyst, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Airworthiness Directives; GA 8 Airvan (Pty) Ltd Models GA8 and GA8-TC320 Airplanes [Docket No.: FAA-2010-0463; Directorate Identifier 2010-CE-021-AD; Amendment 39-16425; AD 2010-10-01 R1] (RIN: 2120-AA64) received September

15, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10180. A letter from the Program Analyst, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Airworthiness Directives; Robert E. Rust, Jr. Model DeHavilland DH.C1 Chipmunk 21, DH.C1 Chipmunk 22, and DH.C1 Chipmunk 22A Airplanes [Docket No.: FAA-2010-0632; Directorate Identifier 2010-CE-025-AD; Amendment 39-16426; AD 2010-18-12] (RIN: 2120-AA64) received September 15, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10181. A letter from the Program Analyst, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Amendment of Restricted Area R-5113; Socorro, NM [Docket No.: FAA-2010-0693; Airspace Docket No. 10-ASW-6] (RIN: 2120-AA66) received September 15, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10182. A letter from the Senior Program Analyst, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Airspace Designations; Incorporation By Reference [Docket No.: 29334; Amendment No. 71-42] received September 29, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10183. A letter from the Senior Program Analyst, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Final Airworthiness Design Standards for Acceptance Under the Primary Category Rule; Orlando Helicopter Airways (OHA), Inc., Models Cessna 172I, 172K, 172L, and 172M September 15, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10184. A letter from the Senior Program Analyst, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Standard Instrument Approach Procedures, and Takeoff Minimums and Obstacle Departure Procedures; Miscellaneous Amendments [Docket No.: 30744; Amdt. No. 3391] received September 15, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10185. A letter from the Regulatory Ombudsman, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Parts and Accessories Necessary for Safe Operation: Antilock Brake Systems [Docket No.: FMCSA-2010-0186] (RIN: 2126-AB27) received September 21, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10186. A letter from the Program Analyst, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Airworthiness Directives; Bombardier, Inc. Model DHC-8-200 and DHC-8-300 Series Airplanes [Docket No.: FAA-2010-0432; Directorate Identifier 2010-NM-001-AD; Amendment 39-16430; AD 2010-19-02] (RIN: 2120-AA64) received September 21, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10187. A letter from the Program Analyst, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Posting of Flight Delay Data on Web Sites [Docket No.: DOT-OST-2007-0022] (RIN No.: 2105-AE02) received September 21, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10188. A letter from the FMCSA Regulatory Ombudsman, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Compliance with Interstate Motor Carrier Noise Emission Standards: Exhaust Systems [Docket No.: FMCSA-2006-24065 AB31] (RIN: 2126-AB31) received September 21, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10189. A letter from the Program Analyst, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Pilot, Flight Instructor, and Pilot School Certification [Docket No.: FAA-2006-26661; Amendment No. 141-14] (RIN: 2120-A186) received September 29, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10190. A letter from the Program Analyst, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Amendment of Class E Airspace; Port Angeles, WA [Docket No.: FAA-2010-0002; Airspace Docket No. 09-ANM-32] received August 24, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10191. A letter from the Program Analyst, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Modification of Class E Airspace; Astoria, OR [Docket No.: FAA-2009-0902; Airspace Docket No. 09-ANM-16] received August 24, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10192. A letter from the Program Analyst, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Amendment of Class E Airspace; Center, TX [Docket No.: FAA-2010-0181; Airspace Docket No. 10-ASW-3] received August 24, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10193. A letter from the Program Analyst, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Amendment of Class D and Class E Airspace; Kaneohe, HI [Docket No.: FAA-2010-0530; Airspace Docket No. 10-AWP-10] received August 24, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10194. A letter from the Program Analyst, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Amendment of Class E Airspace; Litchfield, MN [Docket No.: FAA-2010-0401; Airspace Docket No. 10-AGL-8] received August 24, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10195. A letter from the Program Analyst, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Revocation of Class E Airspace; Eastsound, WA [Docket No.: FAA-2010-0387; Airspace Docket No. 10-ANM-1] received August 24, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10196. A letter from the Program Analyst, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Amendment of Class E Airspace; Perham, MN [Docket No.: FAA-2010-0402; Airspace Docket No. 10-AGL-6] received August 24, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10197. A letter from the Program Analyst, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Establishment of Class E Airspace; Pauls Valley, OK [Docket No.: FAA-2010-0182; Airspace Docket No. 10-ASW-4] received August 24, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10198. A letter from the Program Analyst, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Establishment of Class E Airspace; Perryville, MO [Docket No.: FAA-2010-0403; Airspace Docket No. 10-ACE-4] received August 24, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10199. A letter from the Secretary, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's 2010 annual report on recommendations made by the Intelligent Transportation Systems Program Advisory Committee; to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10200. A letter from the Program Analyst, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Airworthiness Directives; Robert E. Rust, Jr. Model DeHavilland DH.C1 Chipmunk 21, DH.C1 Chipmunk 22, and DH.C1 Chipmunk 22A Airplanes [Docket No.: FAA-2010-0632; Directorate Identifier 2010-CE-025-AD; Amendment 39-16426; AD 2010-18-12] (RIN: 2120-AA64) received October 5, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10201. A letter from the Program Analyst, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Airworthiness Directives; Bombardier-Rotax GmbH Type 912 F, 912 S, and 914 F Series Reciprocating Engines [Docket No.: FAA-2010-0342; Directorate Identifier 2002-NE-08-AD; Amendment 39-16458; AD 2010-20-23] (RIN: 2120-AA64) received October 5, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10202. A letter from the Program Analyst, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Airworthiness Directives; Bombardier, Inc. Model CL-600-2B19 (Regional Jet Series 100 & 440) Airplanes; Model CL-600-2C10 (Regional Jet Series 700, 701, & 702) Airplanes; Model CL-600-2D15 (Regional Jet Series 705) and Model CL-600-2D24 (Regional Jet Series 900) Airplanes [Docket No.: FAA-2010-0375; Directorate Identifier 2010-NM-014-AD; Amendment 39-16443; AD 2010-20-09] (RIN: 2120-AA64) received October 5, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10203. A letter from the Program Analyst, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Airworthiness Directives; GROB-WERKE (Type Certificate Previously Held by BURKHART GROB Luft-und Raumfahrt) Models G115C, G115D and G115D2 Airplanes [Docket No.: FAA-2010-0260; Directorate Identifier 2010-CE-015-AD; Amendment 39-16440; AD 2010-20-06] (RIN: 2120-AA64) received October 5, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10204. A letter from the Program Analyst, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Airworthiness Directives; Bombardier, Inc. Model CL-600-2B16 (CL-604 Variants (Including CL-605 Marketing Variant)) Airplanes [Docket No.: FAA-2010-0439; Directorate Identifier 2010-NM-029-AD; Amendment 39-16437; AD 2010-20-03] (RIN: 2120-AA64) received October 5, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10205. A letter from the Program Analyst, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Airworthiness Directives; International Aero Engines AG V2500-A1, V2522-A5, V2524-A5, V2525-D5, V2527-A5, V2527E-A5, V2527M-A5, V2528-D5, V2530-A5, and V2533-A5 Turbofan Engines [Docket No.: FAA-2009-1100; Directorate Identifier 2009-NE-37-AD; Amendment 39-16441; AD 2010-20-07] (RIN: 2120-AA64) received October 5, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10206. A letter from the Program Analyst, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Airworthiness Directives; Pacific Aerospace Limited Models FU24-954 and FU24A-954 Airplanes [Docket No.: FAA-2010-0941; Directorate Identifier 2010-CE-051-AD; Amendment 39-16453; AD 2010-20-18] (RIN: 2120-AA64) received October 5, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10207. A letter from the Program Analyst, Department of Transportation, transmitting

the Department's final rule — Airworthiness Directives; The Boeing Company Model 747-400, 747-400D, and 747-400F Series Airplanes [Docket No.: FAA-2010-0035; Directorate Identifier 2009-NM-066-AD; Amendment 39-16447; AD 2010-20-12] (RIN: 2120-AA64) received October 5, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10208. A letter from the Program Analyst, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Airworthiness Directives; Airbus Model A300 B4-600, B4-600R, and F4-600R Series Airplanes, and Model A300 C4-605R Variant F Airplanes (Collectively Called A300-600 Series Airplanes); and Model A300 and A310 Series Airplanes [Docket No.: FAA-2010-0478; Directorate Identifier 2008-NM-090-AD; Amendment 39-16451; AD 2010-20-16] (RIN: 2120-AA64) received October 5, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10209. A letter from the Program Analyst, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Airworthiness Directives; Bombardier, Inc. Model CL-600-2B19 (Regional Jet Series 100 & 440) Airplanes; Model CL-600-2C10 (Regional Jet Series 700, 701, & 702) Airplanes; Model CL-600-2D15 (Regional Jet Series 705) Airplanes; and Model CL-600-2D24 (Regional Jet Series 900) Airplanes [Docket No.: FAA-2010-0550; Directorate Identifier 2009-NM-124-AD; Amendment 39-16454; AD 2010-20-19] (RIN: 2120-AA64) received October 5, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10210. A letter from the Program Analyst, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Airworthiness Directives; Bombardier, Inc. Model CL-600-2C10 (Regional Jet Series 700, 701, & 702) Airplanes, Model CL-600-2D15 (Regional Jet Series 705) Airplanes, and Model CL-600-2D24 (Regional Jet Series 900) Airplanes [Docket No.: FAA-2010-0438; Directorate Identifier 2009-NM-265-AD; Amendment 39-16450; AD 2010-20-15] (RIN: 2120-AA64) received October 5, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10211. A letter from the Program Analyst, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Establishment of Class E Airspace; Homestead, FL [Docket No.: FAA-2010-0429; Airspace Docket No. 10-ASO-24] received September 13, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10212. A letter from the Program Analyst, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Amendment of Class E Airspace; Brewton, AL [Docket No.: FAA-2010-0777; Airspace Docket No. 10-ASO-20] received September 13, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10213. A letter from the Program Analyst, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Revocation of Class E Airspace; Brunswick, ME; and Establishment of Class E Airspace, Wiscasset, ME [Docket No.: FAA-2010-0248; Airspace Docket No. 10-ANE-10] received September 13, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10214. A letter from the Program Analyst, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Amendment of Class D and E Airspace; Establishment of Class E Airspace; Patuxent River, MD [Docket No.: FAA-2010-0428; Airspace Docket No. 10-AEA-13] received September 13, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10215. A letter from the Assistant Chief Counsel for Hazardous Materials Safety, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Hazardous Material; Miscellaneous Packaging Amendments [Docket No.: PHMSA-06-25736 (HM-231)] (RIN: 2137-AD89) received September 30, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10216. A letter from the Senior Regulations Analyst, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Procedures for Transportation Workplace Drug and Alcohol Testing Programs: Federal Drug Testing Custody and Control Form; Technical Amendment [Docket: DOT-OST-2010-0161] (RIN: 2105-AE03) received September 30, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10217. A letter from the Senior Program Analyst, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Airworthiness Directives; Gulfstream Aerospace LP (Type Certificate Previously Held by Israel Aircraft Industries, Ltd.) Model Galaxy and Gulfstream 200 Airplanes [Docket No.: FAA-2010-0555; Directorate Identifier 2010-NM-053-AD; Amendment 39-16438; AD 2010-20-04] (RIN: 2120-AA64) received September 31, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10218. A letter from the Assistant Chief Counsel for Legislation & Regulations, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Determination of Availability of Coastwise-Qualified Vessels for the Transportation of Platform Jackets [Docket No.: MARAD-2008-0045] (RIN: 2133-AB67) received September 30, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10219. A letter from the Trial Attorney, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Positive Train Control Systems [Docket No.: FRA-2008-0132, Notice No. 4] (RIN: 2130-AC03) received September 30, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10220. A letter from the Senior Program Analyst, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Airworthiness Directives; Turbomeca S.A. ARRIEL 2B Turboshift Engines [Docket No.: FAA-2005-21624; Directorate Identifier 2005-NE-17-AD; Amendment 39-16439; AD 2010-20-05] (RIN: 2120-AA64) received September 30, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10221. A letter from the Program Analyst, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Airworthiness Directives Eurocopter France (ECF) Model SA-365N1, AS-365N2, AS 365 N3, EC 155B, and EC155B1 Helicopters [Docket No.: FAA-2010-0426; Directorate Identifier 2009-SW-34-AD; Amendment 39-16433; AD 2010-19-05] (RIN: 2120-AA64) received September 30, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10222. A letter from the Program Analyst, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Airworthiness Directives; Empresa Brasileira de Aeronautica S.A. (EMBRAER) Model EMB-120, -120ER, -120FC, -120QC, and -120RT Airplanes [Docket No.: FAA-2009-0715; Directorate Identifier 2008-NM-211-AD; Amendment 39-16432; AD 2010-19-04] (RIN: 2120-AA64) received September 30, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10223. A letter from the Program Analyst, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Airworthiness Directives; GROB-WERKE Model G120A Airplanes [Docket No.: FAA-2010-0926; Directorate Identifier 2010-CE-024-AD; Amendment 39-16435; AD 2010-20-01] (RIN: 2120-AA64) received September 30, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10224. A letter from the Program Analyst, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Airworthiness Directives; Turbomeca Arriel 1 Series Turboshift Engines [Docket No.: FAA-2010-0710; Directorate Identifier 2010-NE-26-AD; Amendment 39-16434; AD 2010-19-06] (RIN: 2120-AA64) received October 5, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10225. A letter from the Program Analyst, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Airworthiness Directives; Rolls-Royce Corporation (RRC) AE 3007A Series Turbofan Engines [Docket No.: FAA-2009-0811; Directorate Identifier 2008-NE-41-AD; Amendment 39-16429; AD 2010-19-01] (RIN: 2120-AA64) received September 30, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10226. A letter from the Senior Program Analyst, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Airworthiness Directives; Turbomeca S.A. ARRIEL 2B and 2B1 Turboshift Engines [Docket No.: FAA-2007-28077; Directorate Identifier 2007-NE-20-AD; Amendment 39-16445; AD 2009-09-03R1] (RIN: 2120-AA64) received October 5, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10227. A letter from the Senior Program Analyst, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Airworthiness Directives; The Cessna Aircraft Company Model 750 Airplanes [Docket No.: FAA-2010-0380; Directorate Identifier 2009-NM-009-AD; Amendment 39-16444; AD 2010-20-10] (RIN: 2120-AA64) received October 5, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10228. A letter from the Senior Program Analyst, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Airworthiness Directives; Rolls-Royce plc RB211 Trent 700 and Trent 800 Series Turbofan Engines [Docket No.: FAA-2010-0364; Directorate Identifier 2009-NE-27-AD; Amendment 39-16446; AD 2010-20-11] (RIN: 2120-AA64) received October 5, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10229. A letter from the Senior Program Analyst, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Airworthiness Directives; Airbus Model A330-200 and -300, and Model A340-200, -300, -500 and -600 Series Airplanes [Docket No.: FAA-2009-1215; Directorate Identifier 2009-NM-126-AD; Amendment 39-16364; AD 2010-14-19] (RIN: 2120-AA64) received September 30, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10230. A letter from the Program Analyst, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Amendment of Class D Airspace; Miami Opa Locka Airport, FL, and Hollywood, FL [Docket No.: FAA-2010-0816; Airspace Docket No. 10-ASO-30] received September 31, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10231. A letter from the Program Analyst, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Establishment

of Class E Airspace; Toledo, WA [Docket No.: FAA-2009-1189; Airspace Docket No. 09-ANM-28] received September 31, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10232. A letter from the Program Analyst, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Establishment of Class E Airspace; Fillmore, UT [Docket No.: FAA-2009-1248; Airspace Docket No. 09-ANM-31] received September 31, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10233. A letter from the Program Analyst, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Modification of Class E Airspace; Willcox, AZ [Docket No.: FAA-2010-0325; Airspace Docket No. 10-AWP-2] received September 31, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10234. A letter from the Program Analyst, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Establishment of Class E Airspace and Amendment to Class D Airspace; Troutdale, OR [Docket No.: FAA-2010-0393; Airspace Docket No. 10-ANM-2] received September 31, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10235. A letter from the Program Analyst, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Modification of Class B Airspace; Chicago, IL [Docket No.: FAA-2010-0347; Airspace Docket No. 07-AWA-2] (RIN: 2120-AA66) received September 31, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10236. A letter from the Senior Program Analyst, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Re-Registration and Renewal of Aircraft Registration; OMB Approval of Information Collection; Correction [Docket No.: FAA-2008-0188; Amdt. No. 47-29A] (RIN: 2120-A189) received October 5, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10237. A letter from the Senior Program Analyst, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Standard Instrument Approach Procedures, and Takeoff Minimums and Obstacle Departure Procedures; Miscellaneous Amendments [Docket No.: 30746; Amdt. No. 3393] received October 5, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10238. A letter from the Senior Program Analyst, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Airworthiness Directives; McDonnell Douglas Corporation Model MD-11 and MD-11F Airplanes Equipped With General Electric CF6-80C2 Series Engines [Docket No.: FAA-2008-0403; Directorate Identifier 2007-NM-166-AD; Amendment 39-16379; AD 2010-16-03] (RIN: 2120-AA64) received October 5, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10239. A letter from the Senior Program Analyst, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Standard Instrument Approach Procedures, and Takeoff Minimums and Obstacle Departure Procedures; Miscellaneous Amendments [Docket No.: 30745; Amdt. No. 3392] received October 5, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10240. A letter from the Senior Program Analyst, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Inclusion of Reference to Manual Requirements [Docket No.: FAA-2006-25877; Amendment No. 91-317] (RIN: 2120-AJ44) received October 5, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C.

801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10241. A letter from the Senior Programs Analyst, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Airports/Locations: Special Operating Restrictions [Docket No.: FAA-2010-0995; Amendment No. 91-319] received October 14, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10242. A letter from the Trial Attorney, Federal Railroad Administration, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Restrictions on Railroad Operating Employees' Use of Cellular Telephones and other Electronic Devices [Docket No.: FRA-2009-0118] (RIN: 2130-AC21) received October 14, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10243. A letter from the Program Analyst, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Amendment of Class E Airspace; Kwajalein Island, Marshall Islands, RMI [Docket No.: FAA-2010-0808; Airspace Docket No. 10-AWP-14] (RIN: 2120-AA66) received October 14, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10244. A letter from the Program Analyst, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Establishment and Modification of Class E Airspace; Deer Park, WA [Docket No.: FAA-2009-1136; Airspace Docket No. 09-ANM-26] received October 14, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10245. A letter from the Program Analyst, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Amendment to Class E Airspace; Smithfield, NC [Docket No.: FAA-2010-0911; Airspace Docket No. 10-ASO-32] received October 14, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10246. A letter from the Program Analyst, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Modification of Class E Airspace; Arco, ID [Docket No.: FAA-2010-0615; Airspace Docket No. 10-ANM-5] received October 14, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10247. A letter from the Program Analyst, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Modification of Class E Airspace; Pendleton, OR [Docket No.: FAA-2010-0616; Airspace Docket No. 10-ANM-00] received October 14, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10248. A letter from the Program Analyst, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Modification of Class E Airspace; San Clemente, CA [Docket No.: FAA-2010-0619; Airspace Docket No. 10-AWP-11] received October 14, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10249. A letter from the Program Analyst, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Airworthiness Directives; Agusta S.p.A. (Agusta) Model A109E Helicopters [Docket No.: FAA-2010-0449; Directorate Identifier 2009-SW-38-AD; Amendment 39-16456; AD 2010-20-21] (RIN: 2120-AA64) received October 14, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10250. A letter from the Program Analyst, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Airworthiness Directives; Eclipse Aerospace, Inc. Model EA500 Airplanes [Docket No.: FAA-2010-0691; Directorate Identifier 2010-CE-027-AD;

Amendment 39-16459; AD 2010-20-24] (RIN: 2120-AA64) received October 14, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10251. A letter from the Program Analyst, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Airworthiness Directives; Rolls-Royce Deutschland Ltd & Co KG (RRD) Models Tay 620-15, Tay 650-15, and Tay 651-54 Turbofan Engines [Docket No.: FAA-2010-0301; Directorate Identifier 2009-NE-22-AD; Amendment 39-16457; AD 2010-20-22] (RIN: 2120-AA64) received October 14, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10252. A letter from the Program Analyst, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Airworthiness Directives; McDonnell Douglas Corporation Model DC-10-10, DC-10-10F, DC-10-15, DC-10-30, DC-10-30F (KC-10A and KDC-10), DC-10-40, DC-10-40F, MD-10-10F, MD-10-30F, MD-11, and MD-11F Airplanes [Docket No.: FAA-2010-0384; Directorate Identifier 2010-NM-003-AD; Amendment 39-16449; AD 2010-20-14] (RIN: 2120-AA64) received October 14, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10253. A letter from the Program Analyst, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Airworthiness Directives; The Boeing Company Model 747-100, 747-100B, 747-100B SUD, 747-200B, 747-200C, 747-200F, 747-300, 747-400, 747-400D, 747-400F, and 747SR Series Airplanes [Docket No.: FAA-2009-1069; Directorate Identifier 2009-NM-036-AD; Amendment 39-16442; AD 2010-20-08] (RIN: 2120-AA64) received October 14, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10254. A letter from the Program Analyst, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Airworthiness Directives; McDonnell Douglas Corporation Model DC-10-30, DC-10-30F, DC-10-30F (KC-10A and KDC-10), DC-1040, DC-10-40F, and MD-10-30F Airplanes [Docket No.: FAA-2010-0553; Directorate Identifier 2010-NM-070-AD; Amendment 39-16448; AD 2010-20-13] (RIN: 2120-AA64) received October 14, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10255. A letter from the Program Analyst, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Airworthiness Directives; Bombardier, Inc. Model CL-600-2B19 (Regional Jet Series 100 & 440) Airplanes [Docket No.: FAA-2010-0276; Directorate Identifier 2009-NM-144-AD; Amendment 39-16452; AD 2010-20-17] (RIN: 2120-AA64) received October 14, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10256. A letter from the Program Analyst, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Airworthiness Directives; McDonnell Douglas Corporation Model DC-8-31, DC-8-32, DC-8-33, DC-8-41, DC-8-42, and DC-8-43 Airplanes; Model DC-8-50 Series Airplanes; Model DC-8F-54 and DC-8F-55 Airplanes; Model DC-8-60 Series Airplanes; Model DC-8-60F Series Airplanes; Model DC-8-70 Series Airplanes; and Model DC-8-70F Series Airplanes [Docket No.: FAA-2010-0639; Directorate Identifier 2000-NM-232-AD; Amendment 39-16463; AD 2010-21-03] (RIN: 2120-AA64) received October 14, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10257. A letter from the Program Analyst, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Airworthiness Directives; The Boeing Company Model 747-100, 747-200B, and 747-200F Series Airplanes [Docket No.: FAA-2010-0552; Directorate Identifier 2009-NM-095-AD; Amendment 39-

16464; AD 2010-21-04] (RIN: 2120-AA64) received October 14, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10258. A letter from the Program Analyst, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Airworthiness Directives; BAE SYSTEMS (Operations) Limited Model 4101 Airplanes [Docket No.: FAA-2010-0474; Directorate Identifier 2009-NM-056-AD; Amendment 39-16465; AD 2010-21-05] (RIN: 2120-AA64) received October 14, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10259. A letter from the Program Analyst, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Airworthiness Directives; Airbus Model A300 B4-600 Series Airplanes, Model A300 B4-600R Series Airplanes, Model A300 C4-605R Variant F Airplanes, and Model A300 F4-600R Series Airplanes (Collectively called A300-600 Series Airplanes) [Docket No.: FAA-2010-0644; Directorate Identifier 2009-NM-204-AD; Amendment 39-16466; AD 2010-21-06] (RIN: 2120-AA64) received October 14, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10260. A letter from the Program Analyst, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Airworthiness Directives; Bombardier, Inc. Model DHC-8 Airplanes [Docket No.: FAA-2010-0643; Directorate Identifier 2010-NM-030-AD; Amendment 39-16462; AD 2010-21-02] (RIN: 2120-AA64) received October 14, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10261. A letter from the Program Analyst, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule — Airworthiness Directives; Eurocopter France Model SA-365N, SA-365N1, AS-365N2, AS-365N3, SA-366G1, EC 155B, EC155B1, SA-365C, SA-365C1, SA-365C2, SA-360C Helicopters [Docket No.: FAA-2010-0610; Directorate Identifier 2009-SW-47-AD; Amendment 39-16455; AD 2010-20-20] (RIN: 2120-AA64) received October 14, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10262. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — Ocean Dumping; Correction of Typographical Error in 2006 Federal Register Final Rule for Designation of Ocean Dredged Material Disposal Site at Coos Bay, Oregon, Site F; Restoration of Coordinates for Ocean Dredged Material Disposal Site at Coos Bay, Oregon, Site H [EPA-R10-OW-2006-0409; FRL-9161-6] received September 20, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10263. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — Oil Pollution Prevention; Spill Prevention, Control, and Countermeasure (SPCC) Rule — Compliance Date Amendment [EPA-HQ-OPA-[2009-0880]; FRL-[9213-8]] (RIN: 2050-AG59) received October 14, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10264. A letter from the Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, NMFS, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — Fisheries of the Exclusive Economic Zone Off Alaska; Pollock for American Fisheries Act Catcher Vessels in the Inshore Open Access Fishery in the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Management Area [Docket No.: 0910131363-0087-02] (RIN: 0648-XX93) received September 13, 2010, pursuant to 5

U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

10265. A letter from the Secretary, Department of Veterans Affairs, transmitting a draft bill to authorize \$1,112,845,000 for the Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) major facility construction project for Fiscal Year 2011 and \$47,338,000 for major facility leases for Fiscal Year 2011; to the Committee on Veterans' Affairs.

10266. A letter from the Director, Regulations Policy and Management, Office of the General Counsel, Department of Veterans Affairs, transmitting the Department's final rule — Technical Revisions to Conform with the Veterans' Mental Health Care Act of 2008 and Other Laws (RIN: 2900-AN52) received September 8, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Veterans' Affairs.

10267. A letter from the Director, Regulation Policy and Management, Office of the General Counsel, Department of Veterans Affairs, transmitting the Department's final rule — Loan Guaranty: Assistance to Eligible Individuals in Acquiring Specially Adapted Housing (RIN: 2900-AM87) received September 15, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Veterans' Affairs.

10268. A letter from the Director, Regulations Policy and Management, Office of the General Counsel, Department of Veterans Affairs, transmitting the Department's final rule — Deceased Indebted Servicemembers and Veterans: Authority Concerning Certain Indebtedness (RIN: 2900-AN14) received September 8, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Veterans' Affairs.

10269. A letter from the Director, Regulations Policy and Management, Office of the General Counsel, Department of Veterans Affairs, transmitting the Department's final rule — Presumptions of Service Connection for Persian Gulf Service (RIN: 2900-AN24) received September 28, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Veterans' Affairs.

10270. A letter from the Director, Regulations and Policy Management, Department of Veterans Affairs, transmitting the Department's final rule — Specially Adapted Housing and Special Home Adaptation (RIN: 2900-AN21) received September 23, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Veterans' Affairs.

10271. A letter from the Director, Regulation Policy and Management, Office of General Counsel, Department of Veterans Affairs, transmitting the Department's final rule — Economic Impact Analysis for RIN 2900-AN15, Charges billed to third parties for prescription drugs furnished by VA to a veteran for a nonservice-connected disability received October 5, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Veterans' Affairs.

10272. A letter from the Director, Regulations and Policy Management, Office of General Counsel, Department of Veterans Affairs, transmitting the Department's final rule — Compensation for Certain Disabilities Due to Undiagnosed Illnesses (RIN: 2900-AN68) received October 12, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Veterans' Affairs.

10273. A letter from the Secretary, Department of Health and Human Services, transmitting the Department's report entitled, "Assets for Independence Program — Status at the Conclusion of the Tenth Year"; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

10274. A letter from the Chief, Trade and Commercial Regulations Branch, Department of Homeland Security, transmitting the Department's final rule — Extension of Important Restrictions Imposed on Certain

Categories of Archaeological Material from the Pre-Hispanic Cultures of the Republic of Nicaragua (RIN: 1515-AD70) received October 18, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Ways and Means.

10275. A letter from the Secretary, Department of Labor, transmitting the Department's seventeenth annual report prepared in accordance with section 207 of the Andean Trade Preference Act (ATPA); to the Committee on Ways and Means.

10276. A letter from the Assistant Secretary, Employment and Training Administration, Department of Labor, transmitting the Department's final rule — Federal-State Unemployment Compensation Program; Funding Goals for Interest-Free Advances (RIN: 1205-AB53) received October 6, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Ways and Means.

10277. A letter from the chief, Publications and Regulations Branch, Department of the Treasury, transmitting the Service's final rule — Life Insurance Contract Defined (Rev. Proc. 2010-28) received August 31, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Ways and Means.

10278. A letter from the Chief, Publications and Regulations, Department of the Treasury, transmitting the Service's final rule — Disclosures of Return Information Reflected on Returns to Officers and Employees of the Department of Commerce for Certain Statistical Purposes and Related Activities [TD 9500] (RIN: 1545-BJ47) received August 31, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Ways and Means.

10279. A letter from the Chief Counsel, Department of the Treasury, transmitting the Department's final rule — Regulations Governing Agencies for Issue of United States Savings Bonds, Offering of United States Savings Bonds, Series EE, Regulations Governing Definitive United States Savings Bonds, Series EE and HH, Offering of United States Savings Bonds, Series I received September 13, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Ways and Means.

10280. A letter from the Administrator, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting a draft of a bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code for the purpose of extending the financing of the Superfund; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

10281. A letter from the Chief, Publications and Regulations, Internal Revenue Service, transmitting the Service's final rule — Examination of returns and claims for refund, credit or abatement; determination of correct tax liability (Rev. Proc. 2010-30) received August 31, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Ways and Means.

10282. A letter from the Chief, Publications and Regulations, Internal Revenue Service, transmitting the Service's final rule — Clarification to Section 6411 Regulations [TD 9499] (RIN: 1545-BF65) received August 31, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Ways and Means.

10283. A letter from the Chief, Publications and Regulations Branch, Internal Revenue Service, transmitting the Service's final rule — Update for Weighted Average Interest Rates, Yield Curves, and Segment Rates [Notice 2010-61] received September 10, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Ways and Means.

10284. A letter from the Chief, Publications and Regulations, Internal Revenue Service, transmitting the Service's final rule — Exclusions from Gross Income of Foreign Corporations [TD 9502] (RIN: 1545-BF90) received September 21, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Ways and Means.

10285. A letter from the Chief, Publications and Regulations, Internal Revenue Service,

transmitting the Service's final rule — Contributions by employer to accident and health plans; Amounts received under accident and health plans (Rev. Rul. 2010-23) received September 17, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Ways and Means.

10286. A letter from the Chief, Publications and Regulations, Internal Revenue Service, transmitting the Service's final rule — Amounts received under Accident and Health Plans [Notice 2010-59] received September 17, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Ways and Means.

10287. A letter from the Branch Chief, Publications and Regulations, Internal Revenue Service, transmitting the Service's final rule — Extension of Replacement Period for Livestock Sold on Account of Drought in Specified Counties [Notice 2010-64] received September 24, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Ways and Means.

10288. A letter from the Chief, Publications and Regulations Branch, Internal Revenue Service, transmitting the Service's final rule — Field Directive on Treatment of Sales-Based Vendor Allowances ("SBVA") and Margin Protection Payments ("MPP") under section 471 [LMSB Control No.: LMSB-04-0910-026] received September 29, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Ways and Means.

10289. A letter from the Chief, Publications and Regulations, Internal Revenue Service, transmitting the Service's final rule — Examination of returns and claims for refund, credit, or abatement; determination of correct tax liability (Rev. Proc. 2010-34) received September 29, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Ways and Means.

10290. A letter from the Chief, Publications and Regulations, Internal Revenue Service, transmitting the Service's final rule — User Fees Relating to Enrollment and Preparer Tax Identification Numbers [TD 9503] (RIN: 1545-BI71) received October 1, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Ways and Means.

10291. A letter from the Chief, Publications and Regulations, Internal Revenue Service, transmitting the Service's final rule — Furnishing Identifying Number of Tax Return Preparer [TD 9501] (RIN: 1545-BI28) received October 1, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Ways and Means.

10292. A letter from the Chief, Publications and Regulations Unit, Internal Revenue Service, transmitting the Service's final rule — Taxation of fringe benefits [Case MIS Number: RR-133528-10] (Rev. Rule. 2010-22) received October 1, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Ways and Means.

10293. A letter from the Chief, Publications and Regulations Branch, Internal Revenue Service, transmitting the Service's final rule — 2010-2011 Per Deim Rates (Rev. Proc. 2010-39) received October 6, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Ways and Means.

10294. A letter from the Chief, Publications and Regulations Branch, Internal Revenue Service, transmitting the Service's final rule — Adoption Credit Inflation Adjustments (Rev. Proc. 2010-35) received October 6, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Ways and Means.

10295. A letter from the Chief, Publications and Regulations Branch, Internal Revenue Service, transmitting the Service's final rule — Finality of Foreign Adoptions under the Hague Convention (Rev. Proc. 2010-31) received October 6, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Ways and Means.

10296. A letter from the Chief, Publications and Regulations Branch, Internal Revenue Service, transmitting the Service's final rule — Revenue Procedure re: Corrosive Drywall (Rev. Proc 2010-36) received October 6, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Ways and Means.

10297. A letter from the Chief, Publications and Regulations Branch, Internal Revenue Service, transmitting the Service's final rule — Refundable Adoption Credit (Notice 2010-66) received October 6, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Ways and Means.

10298. A letter from the Chief, Publications and Regulations Branch, Internal Revenue Service, transmitting the Service's final rule — Expanded Carryback of Net Operating Losses and Losses from Operations [Notice 2010-58] received October 15, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Ways and Means.

10299. A letter from the Chief, Publications and Regulations, Internal Revenue Service, transmitting the Service's final rule — Determination of Issue Price in the Case of Certain Debt Instruments Issued for Property (Rev. Rul. 2010-30) received October 15, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Ways and Means.

10300. A letter from the Chief, Publications and Regulations, Internal Revenue Service, transmitting the Service's final rule — Dyed Diesel Fuel and Kerosene: Nontaxable Use; Alaska [Notice 2010-68] received October 18, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Ways and Means.

10301. A letter from the Chief, Publications and Regulations Branch, Internal Revenue Service, transmitting the Service's final rule — Update for Weighted Average Interest Rates, Yield Curves, and Segment Rates [Notice 2010-70] received October 20, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Ways and Means.

10302. A letter from the Chief, Publications and Regulations Branch, Internal Revenue Service, transmitting the Service's final rule — Shoukri Osman Saleh Abdel-Fattah v. Commissioner received October 18, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Ways and Means.

10303. A letter from the Chief, Publications and Regulations Branch, Internal Revenue Service, transmitting the Service's final rule — Production Tax Credit for Refined Coal [Notice 2010-54] received October 18, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Ways and Means.

10304. A letter from the Chief, Publications and Regulations Branch, Internal Revenue Service, transmitting the Service's final rule — Interest (Rev. Rule. 2010-25) received October 18, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Ways and Means.

10305. A letter from the Chief, Publications and Regulations Branch, Internal Revenue Service, transmitting the Service's final rule — Basis Reporting by Securities Brokers and Basis Determination for Stock [TD 9504] (RIN: 1545-BI66) received October 18, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Ways and Means.

10306. A letter from the Chairman, International Trade Commission, transmitting the fourteenth annual report on the Andean Trade Preference Act (ATPA) entitled "Impact on U.S. Industries and Consumers and on Drug Crop Eradication and Crop Substitution, 2009", pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 3204; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

10307. A letter from the Director, Office of Regulations, Social Security Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — Entitlement and Termination Requirements for Stepchildren [Docket No.: SSA-2006-0154] (RIN: 0960-AF78) received September 8, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C.

801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Ways and Means.

10308. A letter from the Director, Office of Regulation, Social Security Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — Improvements to the Supplemental Security Income Program — Heroes Earnings Assistance and Relief Tax Act of 2008 (HEART Act) [Docket No.: SSA-2009-0017] (RIN: 0960-AD78) received October 1, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Ways and Means.

10309. A letter from the Director, Office of Regulations, Social Security Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule — Disability Determinations by State Agency Disability Examiners [Docket No. SSA-2008-0041] (RIN: 0960-AG87) October 13, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Ways and Means.

10310. A letter from the General Counsel, Office of the Director of National Intelligence, transmitting letter concerning the amendment to H.R. 2701; to the Committee on Intelligence (Permanent Select).

10311. A letter from the Chief Privacy Officer, Department of Homeland Security, transmitting a report entitled, "DHS Privacy Office 2010 Annual Report to Congress", pursuant to Public Law 107-296, section 222; to the Committee on Homeland Security.

10312. A letter from the Deputy Chief Counsel, Regulations and Security Standards, Department of Homeland Security, transmitting the Department's final rule — Revision of Enforcement Procedures [Docket No.: TSA-2009-0013] (RIN: 1625-AA62) received September 29, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Homeland Security.

10313. A letter from the Chairman, Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board, transmitting the Board's quarterly report to Congress on the Status of Significant Unresolved Issues with the Department of Energy's Design and Construction Projects (dated September 3, 2010); jointly to the Committees on Armed Services and Appropriations.

10314. A letter from the Under Secretary, Department of Defense, transmitting notification to Congress on Transfer Authorities Used in Fiscal Year 2010; jointly to the Committees on Armed Services and Appropriations.

10315. A letter from the Secretary, Department of Commerce, transmitting the Department's Propane Consumer Impact Analysis regarding the operations of the Propane Education and Research Council; jointly to the Committees on Energy and Commerce and Science and Technology.

10316. A letter from the Secretary, Department of Health and Human Services, transmitting the Medicare Ombudsman combined report to Congress for the years 2007 and 2008; jointly to the Committees on Energy and Commerce and Ways and Means.

10317. A letter from the Secretary, Department of Health and Human Services, transmitting Medicaid, CHIP and Employer-Sponsored Coverage Coordination Working Group report to the Secretaries of Labor and Health and Human Services; jointly to the Committees on Energy and Commerce and Education and Labor.

10318. A letter from the Assistant Secretary, Legislative Affairs, Department of State, transmitting Memorandum of justification for the President's waiver of the restrictions on the provision of funds to the Palestinian Authority, pursuant to Public Law 111-117, section 7040(d); jointly to the Committees on Foreign Affairs and Appropriations.

10319. A letter from the Chairman, Commission Civil Rights, transmitting the Commission's report entitled "The Impact of Illegal Immigration on the Wages and Em-

ployment Opportunities of Black Workers"; jointly to the Committees on the Judiciary and Education and Labor.

10320. A letter from the Board Members, Railroad Retirement Board, transmitting the Annual Report of the Railroad Retirement Board for Fiscal Year ending September 30, 2009; jointly to the Committees on Transportation and Infrastructure and Ways and Means.

10321. A letter from the Regulations Coordinator, Department of Health and Human Services, transmitting the Department's "Major" final rule — Medicare Program; Inpatient Psychiatric Facilities Prospective Payment System payment — Update for Rate Year Beginning July 1, 2010 (RY 2011) [CMS-1424-N] (RIN: 0938-AP83) received October 13, 2010, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); jointly to the Committees on Ways and Means and Energy and Commerce.

10322. A letter from the Under Secretary, Department of Defense, transmitting the annual report on the National Security Education Program (NESP) for 2008-2009, pursuant to 50 U.S.C. 1906; jointly to the Committees on Intelligence (Permanent Select) and Education and Labor.

10323. A letter from the Board Members, Railroad Retirement Board, transmitting the Board's budget request for fiscal year 2012, in accordance with Section 7(f) of the Railroad Retirement Act, pursuant to 45 U.S.C. 231f(f); jointly to the Committees on Appropriations, Transportation and Infrastructure, and Ways and Means.

10324. A letter from the Secretary, Department of Transportation, transmitting a draft of proposed legislation entitled "Strengthening Pipeline Safety and Enforcement Act of 2010"; jointly to the Committees on the Judiciary, Foreign Affairs, Transportation and Infrastructure, and Energy and Commerce.

10325. A letter from the Secretary, Department of Health and Human Services, transmitting a report entitled "Report to Congress of the Interagency Access to Health Care in Alaska Task Force"; jointly to the Committees on Veterans' Affairs, Energy and Commerce, Armed Services, and Natural Resources.

REPORTS OF COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS

Under clause 2 of rule XIII, reports of committees were delivered to the Clerk for printing and reference to the proper calendar, as follows:

[Omitted from the Record of September 29, 2010]

Mr. FRANK of Massachusetts: Committee on Financial Services. H.R. 2267. A bill to amend title 31, United States Code, to provide for the licensing of Internet gambling activities by the Secretary of the Treasury, to provide for consumer protections on the Internet, to enforce the tax code, and for other purposes, with an amendment (Rept. 111-656, Pt. 1). Ordered to be printed.

TIME LIMITATION OF REFERRED BILL PURSUANT TO RULE XII

Pursuant to clause 2 of rule XII the following action was taken by the Speaker:

[Omitted from the Record of September 29, 2010]

H.R. 2267. Referral to the Committees on Energy and Commerce and the Judiciary extended for a period ending not later than November 19, 2010.

[The following actions occurred on September 30, 2010]

H.R. 1174. Referral to the Committee on Homeland Security extended for a period ending not later than December 3, 2010.

H.R. 3376. Referral to the Committees on the Judiciary and Homeland Security extended for a period ending not later than December 3, 2010.

PUBLIC BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS

Under clause 2 of rule XII, public bills and resolutions of the following titles were introduced and severally referred, as follows:

By Ms. ZOE LOFGREN of California (for herself and Mr. SMITH of Texas):

H.R. 6396. A bill to amend the Immigration and Nationality Act to toll, during active-duty service abroad in the Armed Forces, the periods of time to file a petition and appear for an interview to remove the conditional basis for permanent resident status, and for other purposes; to the Committee on the Judiciary, and in addition to the Committee on the Budget, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Mr. DUNCAN (for himself, Ms. ZOE LOFGREN of California, Mr. MCGOVERN, and Mr. SMITH of Texas):

H.R. 6397. A bill to amend section 101(a)(35) of the Immigration and Nationality Act to provide for a marriage for which the parties are not physically in the presence of each other due to service abroad in the Armed Forces of the United States; to the Committee on the Judiciary, and in addition to the Committee on the Budget, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned, considered and passed.

By Mr. DOGGETT:

H.R. 6398. A bill to require the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation to fully insure Interest on Lawyers Trust Accounts; to the Committee on Financial Services.

By Mr. BRADY of Pennsylvania:

H.R. 6399. A bill to improve certain administrative operations of the Office of the Architect of the Capitol, and for other purposes; to the Committee on House Administration.

By Mr. CLAY (for himself, Mr. CARNAHAN, Mrs. EMERSON, Mr. BLUNT, Mr. SKELTON, Mr. GRAVES of Missouri, Mr. AKIN, Mr. CLEAVER, Mr. LUTKEMEYER, Mrs. MALONEY, Ms. MOORE of Wisconsin, Mr. BISHOP of Georgia, Ms. RICHARDSON, Mr. DAVIS of Illinois, Mrs. CHRISTENSEN, Mr. MEEKS of New York, Ms. KILPATRICK of Michigan, Ms. JACKSON LEE of Texas, and Mr. LEWIS of Georgia):

H.R. 6400. A bill to designate the facility of the United States Postal Service located at 111 North 6th Street in St. Louis, Missouri, as the "Earl Wilson, Jr. Post Office"; to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

By Mr. DREIER (for himself and Mr. WHITFIELD):

H.R. 6401. A bill to provide a biennial budget for the United States Government; to the Committee on the Budget, and in addition to the Committees on Rules, and Oversight and Government Reform, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Mr. LARSON of Connecticut:

H.R. 6402. A bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to reduce oil consumption and improve energy security, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Energy and Commerce, and in addition to the Commit-

tees on Ways and Means, Oversight and Government Reform, Transportation and Infrastructure, Science and Technology, and the Budget, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Mr. LEWIS of California:

H.R. 6403. A bill to immediately rescind all unobligated discretionary stimulus funds, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Appropriations, and in addition to the Committees on Transportation and Infrastructure, and Oversight and Government Reform, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Mr. MEEK of Florida (for himself, Ms. DELAURO, Mr. ISRAEL, and Ms. WASSERMAN SCHULTZ):

H.R. 6404. A bill to require that every mammography report delivered to a patient after a mammography examination, as required by section 354 of the Public Health Service Act (commonly referred to as the "Mammography Quality Standards Act of 1992"), contain a summary of the patient's breast density and a statement concerning the benefit of supplementary screening tests for patients with dense breast tissue, where such additional evaluations are recommended by a patient's interpreting physician; to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

By Mr. THOMPSON of Mississippi:

H.R. 6405. A bill to authorize the Secretary of the Interior to conduct a special resource study of the Medgar Evers House, located in Jackson, Mississippi, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Natural Resources.

By Mr. CONYERS (for himself, Mr. SMITH of Texas, Mr. JOHNSON of Georgia, Mr. COBLE, Mr. SENSENBRENNER, Ms. BALDWIN, Mr. GOODLATTE, Mr. INGLIS, Mr. WU, Mr. HONDA, Mr. CRITZ, Ms. FUDGE, Mr. CHANDLER, Mr. LIPINSKI, Mr. DAVIS of Illinois, Mr. PRICE of North Carolina, and Mr. GORDON of Tennessee):

H. Con. Res. 328. Concurrent resolution expressing the sense of the Congress regarding the successful and substantial contributions of the amendments to the patent and trademark laws that were initially enacted in 1980 by Public Law 96-517 (commonly referred to as the "Bayh-Dole Act") on the occasion of the 30th anniversary of its enactment; to the Committee on the Judiciary; considered and agreed to.

By Mr. CONYERS:

H. Res. 1712. A resolution providing for the consideration of the bill H.R. 5566 and the Senate amendment thereto; considered and agreed to.

By Mr. LEWIS of Georgia (for himself, Ms. MOORE of Wisconsin, Mr. CAO, and Mr. KENNEDY):

H. Res. 1713. A resolution recognizing the 50th anniversary of Ruby Bridges desegregating a previously all-White public elementary school; to the Committee on the Judiciary; considered and agreed to.

By Ms. EDDIE BERNICE JOHNSON of Texas (for herself, Mr. BARROW, Ms. BORDALLO, Mr. CALVERT, Mrs. CHRISTENSEN, Mr. CULBERSON, Mr. DAVIS of Illinois, Mr. CLAY, Ms. EDWARDS of Maryland, Ms. FUDGE, Mr. LIPINSKI, Mr. OLSON, and Ms. RICHARDSON):

H. Res. 1714. A resolution congratulating the engineers, scientists, psychologists, and staff of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) for helping to successfully rescue 33 trapped Chilean miners from a collapsed mine near Copiapo, Chile;

to the Committee on Science and Technology.

By Mr. THOMPSON of Pennsylvania (for himself, Mr. DENT, Mr. SHUSTER, Mr. BRADY of Pennsylvania, Mr. DOYLE, Mr. HOLDEN, Mr. GERLACH, Mr. CARNEY, Mr. PLATTS, Mr. CRITZ, Mr. PITTS, Mr. SESSIONS, Mr. NEUGEBAUER, Mr. COHEN, Mr. ENGEL, Mr. COURTNEY, Mr. ANDREWS, Mr. SESTAK, Mr. ROONEY, Mr. TIM MURPHY of Pennsylvania, Ms. SCHWARTZ, Mr. FATTAH, Mr. KANJORSKI, Mrs. DAHLKEMPER, Mr. ALTMIRE, Mrs. MCCARTHY of New York, Mr. PIERLUISI, Mr. WOLF, Mr. PATRICK J. MURPHY of Pennsylvania, and Mr. KING of New York):

H. Res. 1715. A resolution congratulating Joe Paterno on his 400th win as Penn State Nittany Lions football head coach; to the Committee on Education and Labor.

MEMORIALS

Under clause 4 of Rule XXII, memorials were presented and referred as follows:

400. The SPEAKER presented a memorial of the Legislature of the Territory of Guam, relative to Resolution No. 432-30 (LS) objecting to the Programmatic Agreement as proposed; to the Committee on Armed Services.

401. Also, a memorial of the Legislature of the Territory of Guam, relative to Resolution No. 420-30 (LS) supporting the United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples and demand that President Obama sign the Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples; to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

402. Also, a memorial of the House of Representatives of the State of Louisiana, relative to House Concurrent Resolution No. 224 memorializing the Congress to review the Government Pension Offset and the Windfall Elimination Provision Social Security benefit reductions and to consider eliminating reducing them by enacting the Social Security Fairness Act of 2009; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

ADDITIONAL SPONSORS

Under clause 7 of rule XII, sponsors were added to public bills and resolutions as follows:

H.R. 275: Mr. WAMP.

H.R. 333: Ms. HIRONO and Mr. HARE.

H.R. 413: Mr. LYNCH.

H.R. 574: Mr. PETRI.

H.R. 682: Mrs. MALONEY.

H.R. 745: Mr. PASTOR of Arizona and Mr. DOGGETT.

H.R. 764: Mr. GRAVES of Georgia.

H.R. 917: Mr. ROTHMAN of New Jersey.

H.R. 1020: Mrs. NAPOLITANO, Ms. SLAUGHTER, and Mr. MCDERMOTT.

H.R. 1034: Mr. BACHUS.

H.R. 1079: Mr. CHAFFETZ and Mr. CAPUANO.

H.R. 1193: Ms. WASSERMAN SCHULTZ, Mr. BARROW, and Mr. OBERSTAR.

H.R. 1228: Mr. GRAVES of Georgia.

H.R. 1326: Mr. DAVIS of Illinois, Ms. TSONGAS, Mr. SCOTT of Virginia, Mr. BACA, and Mr. COSTELLO.

H.R. 1361: Mr. HARE.

H.R. 1403: Mr. GOODLATTE.

H.R. 1443: Mr. FARR.

H.R. 1588: Mr. GRAVES of Georgia.

H.R. 1616: Ms. ZOE LOFGREN of California.

H.R. 1751: Mr. PAYNE and Mr. GARAMENDI.

H.R. 1806: Mr. LANGEVIN and Mr. COURTNEY.

H.R. 1816: Mrs. EMERSON.

H.R. 1927: Mr. PIERLUISI and Ms. HERSETH SANDLIN.

H.R. 1969: Mr. WAMP.
H.R. 2030: Mr. ELLISON, Mr. ROTHMAN of New Jersey, Mr. HARE, Mr. HOLT, Mr. WOLF, Mr. FOSTER, and Mr. HONDA.
H.R. 2057: Ms. HIRONO.
H.R. 2149: Ms. LINDA T. SÁNCHEZ of California.
H.R. 2492: Ms. HIRONO.
H.R. 2567: Mr. SCOTT of Virginia.
H.R. 2737: Ms. TSONGAS, Mr. QUIGLEY, Mr. TIAHRT, Mr. SMITH of Washington, Mr. CLEAVER, Mr. FILNER, and Mr. MARSHALL.
H.R. 3001: Ms. MOORE of Wisconsin.
H.R. 3006: Mr. SCHAUER.
H.R. 3227: Mr. GONZALEZ.
H.R. 3240: Mr. COHEN.
H.R. 3287: Mr. BISHOP of Georgia.
H.R. 3317: Ms. ZOE LOFGREN of California.
H.R. 3394: Mr. CHAFFETZ.
H.R. 3395: Mr. CHAFFETZ.
H.R. 3458: Mr. OLVER.
H.R. 3655: Mr. PAYNE.
H.R. 3753: Mr. PIERLUISI.
H.R. 4037: Mr. HARE, Mr. SCHAUER, and Mr. GUTIERREZ.
H.R. 4116: Mr. FILNER.
H.R. 4121: Mr. ISRAEL, Ms. HIRONO, Ms. SUTTON, Mr. KISSELL, and Ms. CHU.
H.R. 4278: Mr. FILNER, Mr. TOWNS, Mr. HINCHEY, Mr. JONES, and Ms. DEGETTE.
H.R. 4529: Mr. BACHUS.
H.R. 4593: Mr. MORAN of Virginia.
H.R. 4594: Mr. LARSON of Connecticut, Mr. ROTHMAN of New Jersey, Mr. DEUTCH, and Mr. MURPHY of Connecticut.
H.R. 4662: Mr. SCHIFF, Mr. DEFazio, Mr. CLAY, and Mr. LYNCH.
H.R. 4677: Ms. JACKSON LEE of Texas.
H.R. 4746: Mr. COFFMAN of Colorado.
H.R. 4844: Mr. NYE, Mr. CUMMINGS, and Mr. FARR.
H.R. 4890: Ms. HIRONO.
H.R. 4891: Ms. HIRONO and Mr. FILNER.
H.R. 4926: Mr. HALL of New York.
H.R. 5040: Ms. ESHOO, Mr. LANGEVIN, and Ms. FUDGE.
H.R. 5081: Mr. KING of Iowa, Mr. ROSS, and Ms. EDWARDS of Maryland.
H.R. 5121: Ms. MATSUI.
H.R. 5207: Mr. EHLERS.
H.R. 5269: Mrs. EMERSON, Ms. MATSUI, and Ms. SCHAKOWSKY.
H.R. 5400: Mr. UPTON, Ms. CHU, Mr. COHEN, Ms. CASTOR of Florida, Mr. LYNCH, Mr. KISSELL, Ms. SUTTON, and Ms. HIRONO.
H.R. 5527: Mr. COHEN and Mr. BILBRAY.
H.R. 5538: Mrs. MYRICK, Mr. FRANKS of Arizona, Ms. FOXX, Mr. GARRETT of New Jersey, Mr. OLSON, Mr. BURTON of Indiana, Mr. MCCLINTOCK, Mr. KLINE of Minnesota, Mr. BRADY of Texas, Mr. FORBES, Mr. GOODLATTE, Mr. WILSON of South Carolina, and Mr. ROE of Tennessee.
H.R. 5565: Mr. GENE GREEN of Texas and Ms. EDDIE BERNICE JOHNSON of Texas.
H.R. 5740: Mr. CONNOLLY of Virginia.
H.R. 5748: Ms. DEGETTE.
H.R. 5766: Mr. LYNCH.
H.R. 5820: Ms. EDWARDS of Maryland, Ms. DELAURO, Mr. TOWNS, and Ms. LINDA T. SÁNCHEZ of California.
H.R. 5859: Mr. COFFMAN of Colorado.
H.R. 5882: Mr. ROONEY, Mr. CULBERSON, Mr. OLSON, Mr. HOEKSTRA, Mr. MANZULLO, Mr. AKIN, Mr. SAM JOHNSON of Texas, Mrs. SCHMIDT, and Mr. CARTER.
H.R. 5905: Mr. KUCINICH, Ms. WATERS, Mrs. KIRKPATRICK of Arizona, and Mr. JACKSON of Illinois.
H.R. 5928: Mr. KUCINICH, Mr. LYNCH, Ms. CASTOR of Florida, Mr. NYE, Ms. CHU, Mr. PATRICK J. MURPHY of Pennsylvania, Mr. KISSEL, Ms. SUTTON, Ms. HIRONO, and Mr. ISRAEL.
H.R. 5931: Ms. SPEIER.
H.R. 5940: Mr. GRIFFITH.
H.R. 5942: Mr. JACKSON of Illinois and Mr. THOMPSON of Mississippi.

H.R. 5983: Ms. GIFFORDS, Mr. BARROW, Mr. MARKEY of Massachusetts, Mr. STUPAK, Mr. LANGEVIN, Mr. COHEN, Mr. NEAL of Massachusetts, Mr. MOORE of Kansas, Mr. LARSON of Connecticut, Mr. BUTTERFIELD, Mr. ALEXANDER, Mr. SHULER, Mr. CAMP, Mr. MORAN of Virginia, Mr. AUSTRIA, Mr. HARE, Mr. CAPUANO, Mr. FOSTER, Mr. GONZALEZ, Ms. TSONGAS, and Mr. TEAGUE.
H.R. 6017: Ms. HIRONO, Mr. FARR, Mr. GRIJALVA, and Mrs. MALONEY.
H.R. 6025: Mr. HIMES.
H.R. 6036: Ms. CLARKE.
H.R. 6072: Mr. WELCH, Mr. SESSIONS, and Mr. MARKEY of Massachusetts.
H.R. 6078: Mrs. CHRISTENSEN.
H.R. 6099: Mr. HIMES.
H.R. 6114: Mr. SABLAN.
H.R. 6123: Mr. DEFazio, Mr. PLATTS, Mr. FILNER, Mr. MAFFEI, and Mr. HONDA.
H.R. 6127: Mr. BLUMENAUER and Mrs. CAPITO.
H.R. 6128: Ms. MCCOLLUM, Mr. LYNCH, Mr. JACKSON of Illinois, Mr. MICHAUD, Mr. SMITH of Washington, Mr. PASCRELL, Mr. SCOTT of Georgia, Ms. CLARKE, Mr. HALL of New York, Mr. GARAMENDI, Mr. SERRANO, Mr. SCHAUER, Mr. CAPUANO, Mr. GENE GREEN of Texas, Mr. RYAN of Ohio, Mr. PASTOR of Arizona, Ms. FUDGE, Mr. BRADY of Pennsylvania, Mr. MORAN of Virginia, Mr. NADLER of New York, Mr. NEAL of Massachusetts, Mr. KRATOVIL, Ms. KAPTUR, Mr. CHILDERS, Mr. CLEAVER, Ms. ESHOO, Ms. SCHAKOWSKY, Mr. BOCCIERI, Mr. LANGEVIN, Ms. MOORE of Wisconsin, Mr. ENGEL, Mr. FOSTER, Mrs. MALONEY, Mr. AL GREEN of Texas, Mr. GUTIERREZ, Mr. OLVER, Mr. HASTINGS of Florida, Mr. ANDREWS, Mr. ACKERMAN, Mr. CLAY, Ms. EDWARDS of Maryland, Ms. MATSUI, Mr. KENNEDY, Mr. CONYERS, and Mr. MCGOVERN.
H.R. 6153: Mr. STARK and Mr. DOYLE.
H.R. 6174: Mrs. CHRISTENSEN.
H.R. 6181: Ms. EDDIE BERNICE JOHNSON of Texas.
H.R. 6184: Mr. REICHERT and Mr. HARE.
H.R. 6240: Mr. MILLER of Florida, Mr. KING of Iowa, Mr. YOUNG of Alaska, and Mr. LAMBORN.
H.R. 6270: Mr. SCHAUER.
H.R. 6304: Mr. RYAN of Ohio, Mr. CLAY, and Ms. WOOLSEY.
H.R. 6305: Mr. RANGEL and Ms. CLARKE.
H.J. Res. 10: Mrs. CHRISTENSEN.
H.J. Res. 94: Mr. COHEN.
H. Con. Res. 259: Mr. ANDREWS, Mr. GUTIERREZ, Mr. HOLT, Mr. LOBIONDO, Mrs. LOWEY, and Ms. TSONGAS.
H. Con. Res. 314: Mr. MOORE of Kansas.
H. Con. Res. 316: Mr. FRANK of Massachusetts and Mr. MOORE of Kansas.
H. Con. Res. 323: Ms. BALDWIN, Mr. CONYERS, Mr. CULBERSON, Mr. CUMMINGS, Mr. ELLISON, Ms. FUDGE, Mr. GARAMENDI, Mr. GENE GREEN of Texas, Mr. HINCHEY, Mr. HOLT, Mr. HONDA, Mr. ISRAEL, Mr. KING of New York, Ms. MCCOLLUM, Mrs. MALONEY, Mr. MEEK of Florida, Mr. MEEKS of New York, Mr. OLVER, Mr. RANGEL, Mr. RUSH, Mr. SCHOCK, Mr. STARK, Mr. TIERNEY, and Mr. YARMUTH.
H. Con. Res. 327: Ms. CASTOR of Florida, Ms. EDDIE BERNICE JOHNSON of Texas, Mrs. DAVIS of California, Ms. SCHWARTZ, Mrs. MCCARTHY of New York, Mrs. MALONEY, Mr. CARSON of Indiana, Mr. COOPER, Mr. DELAHUNT, Mr. TANNER, Mr. ENGEL, Mr. MARKEY of Massachusetts, Mr. FALEOMAVAEGA, Ms. ROYBAL-ALLARD, Mr. POLIS of Colorado, Mr. CROWLEY, Ms. RICHARDSON, Mr. DOYLE, Mr. MOORE of Kansas, Mr. FRANK of Massachusetts, Ms. KILROY, Mr. SCOTT of Georgia, Mr. BERMAN, Mr. MCDERMOTT, Mr. CONNOLLY of Virginia, Mr. BLUNT, and Mr. CLEAVER.
H. Res. 111: Ms. HIRONO and Mr. PRICE of North Carolina.
H. Res. 249: Mr. MCCOTTER.

H. Res. 278: Mr. SHERMAN.
H. Res. 764: Mr. HONDA, Mr. SCHIFF, Mr. DOGGETT, Ms. ROS-LEHTINEN, Ms. ZOE LOFGREN of California, and Mr. WAMP.
H. Res. 771: Mr. MARSHALL, Mr. MCCOTTER, and Mr. WELCH.
H. Res. 1217: Mr. SNYDER, Mr. KISSELL, Ms. TITUS, Ms. TSONGAS, Ms. PINGREE of Maine, and Mr. MICHAUD.
H. Res. 1355: Mr. DOYLE.
H. Res. 1402: Mr. JACKSON of Illinois.
H. Res. 1431: Mr. LEWIS of Georgia, Ms. RICHARDSON, Ms. JENKINS, and Mr. SCHOCK.
H. Res. 1488: Ms. WOOLSEY.
H. Res. 1524: Ms. LEE of California, Mr. PIERLUISI, Mr. FARR, Mr. AL GREEN of Texas, Mr. CLAY, and Ms. CHU.
H. Res. 1600: Mr. LIPINSKI, Mr. COURTNEY, Mr. WALZ, Mr. TIM MURPHY of Pennsylvania, Mr. WOLF, Mr. BRALEY of Iowa, Mr. KLINE of Minnesota, Ms. EDDIE BERNICE JOHNSON of Texas, Mr. FOSTER, Ms. ESHOO, Mr. LOEBSACK, Mr. STARK, Ms. ZOE LOFGREN of California, and Ms. RICHARDSON.
H. Res. 1621: Mr. WOLF.
H. Res. 1624: Ms. ESHOO.
H. Res. 1625: Mr. FRANK of Massachusetts.
H. Res. 1628: Mr. EHLERS.
H. Res. 1641: Mr. COURTNEY, Mr. MORAN of Virginia, Mr. CONYERS, Mr. TURNER, Mr. INSLEE, and Mr. LUCAS.
H. Res. 1654: Mr. LIPINSKI and Mr. EHLERS.
H. Res. 1655: Ms. HIRONO.
H. Res. 1667: Mr. MOLLOHAN.
H. Res. 1672: Mr. COHEN.
H. Res. 1676: Mrs. MALONEY, Mr. COHEN, and Ms. WOOLSEY.
H. Res. 1677: Mr. FALEOMAVAEGA, Mr. ROHRABACHER, Mr. FRANK of Massachusetts, and Ms. BORDALLO.
H. Res. 1691: Ms. CHU and Mr. MCCARTHY of California.
H. Res. 1695: Mr. ISSA.
H. Res. 1703: Mr. LINDER.

PETITIONS, ETC.

Under clause 3 of rule XII, petitions and papers were laid on the clerk's desk and referred as follows:

171. The SPEAKER presented a petition of the Legislature of Rockland County, New York, relative to resolution No. 441 of 2010 requesting that the United States House of Representatives Pass H.R. 2766 and the United States Senate Pass S. 1215—The Fracturing Responsibility and Awareness of Chemicals Act; to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

172. Also, a petition of Town of Chapel Hill, North Carolina, relative to Resolution No. 20 supporting the Uniting American Families Act; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

173. Also, a petition of City of Hialeah, Florida, relative to Resolution No. 10-75 supporting the Port of Miami "Deep Dredge" Project; to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

174. Also, a petition of City of Miami, Florida, relative to Resolution No. 10-0316 urging the Congress to fund the Port of Miami Deep Dredge Project; to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

175. Also, a petition of The Legislature of Rockland County, New York, relative to Resolution No. 439 requesting that the United States Senate pass the Veteran Employment Assistance Act of 2010; jointly to the Committees on Veterans' Affairs, Small Business, and Armed Services.